<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOT ENOUGH STRESS</th>
<th>NOT ENOUGH STRESS</th>
<th>NOT ENOUGH STRESS</th>
<th>ALMOST ENOUGH STRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERHAPS ENOUGH STRESS</td>
<td>ENOUGH STRESS</td>
<td>LEANING TOWARD TOO MUCH STRESS</td>
<td>SOMewhat Likely TOO MUCH STRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERHAPS TOO MUCH STRESS</td>
<td>MAYBE TOO MUCH STRESS</td>
<td>PROBABLY TOO MUCH STRESS</td>
<td>QUITE LIKELY TOO MUCH STRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERY LIKELY TOO MUCH STRESS</td>
<td>EXTREMELY LIKELY TOO MUCH STRESS</td>
<td>ALMOST ASSUREDLY TOO MUCH STRESS</td>
<td>TOO MUCH STRESS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Spring 2013 Pilot Test

Fall 2013-Spring 2014 -- AVAILABLE

Beginning fall 2014, the SLO tables in the academic program review will no longer be used to record assessment results. Only the CurricUNET Assessment Module will be available.
FAQs

• “Why are we changing from the SLO tables to the new CurricUNET Assessment Module? Wasn’t everything fine before?”

• The Assessment Module pulls directly from records in the CurricUNET database which contain the adopted SLOs for each course and program.
• The SLO Tables in program review do not help guide faculty through the kinds of questions that are essential to reflect on and respond to and which ACCJC expects faculty and institutions to consider.
• The process of uploading both plans and results in the SLO Tables has been primarily the responsibility of department chairs which has significantly increased workload as they have been the only faculty with access to the Program Review. Now all full-time faculty will have the opportunity to assist in this capacity.
• REPORTS
“Is this more work for me than the SLO tables?”

* Not really...
* The module questions were modeled on the information requested in the SLO tables. Unfortunately, not everyone always understood what was meant by “Criteria for Success” or “Use of Results.” The CurricUNET Assessment Module team (made up of SLO Coordinators, SLO Committee members and Curriculum Committee Members) worked to make sure the module clarified these areas and included a few questions based on the Accreditation standards and ACCJC rubric on SLO Assessment.
* These questions should be beneficial for departments to consider when both planning and reflecting on student learning assessments.
“Do we have to move all of the information currently in our program reviews into the new Assessment Module?”

* **No.** You do not need to retype information from past program reviews into the Assessment Module. This information still “lives” in our past program reviews. You will always have a record of this data.
“Is there a manual to help me with the CurricUNET Assessment Module?”

- Yes.
- We currently have one on our SLO Website: CurricUNET Assessment Module Manual (Course Assessment)
“Are there forms available that I might use to help collect the information requested in the Assessment Module?”

- Yes. There are sample, downloadable WORD forms on the SLO Website under “Resources.” These are modeled on the Assessment Module questions and are currently used by several departments to collect information from both full-time and adjunct faculty. Here are the links:
  - Sample ASSESSMENT PLAN form DOC
  - Sample ASSESSMENT RESULTS reporting form DOC
The fall training sessions will be on the following dates and times:

- Monday, October 14 @ 11 AM
- Tuesday, October 22 @ 4 PM
- Monday, October 28 @ 11 AM
- Friday, November 1 @ 12 PM
- Thursday, November 14 @ 3 PM
SLO Coordinators

- Rebecca Eikey (SLO Training, Workshops, Assessment Questions)
- Paul Wickline (Assessment Module, Program Review)