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A. Introduction
Overview

During the past five decades, College of the Canyons, in the Santa Clarita Community College District (SCCCD), has been dramatically transformed, from a small community college serving several hundred students to a multicampus institution serving more than 33,000 students annually. The College’s 367-square-mile service area, the Santa Clarita Valley (SCV), is located in the northwest portion of Los Angeles County. The College currently provides two main campuses plus an approved permanent public safety training site at the Del Valle Regional Training Facility, instructional programs at high schools and at other community locations, including programs for incarcerated or justice-impacted students.

Since the college opened, significant change has occurred. The College has evolved in tandem with the community’s growth, through the guidance and unwavering vision of the Board of Trustees and District leadership. The Board has been stable with minimal turnover. Two of the five current trustees have served for several decades, one for more than 10 years, one for five years, and one new trustee was elected in 2020. The current CEO, Chancellor Dr. Dianne G. Van Hook, has provided stable leadership for 34 years. Four previous CEOs served during the first 19 years of the College’s operation.

Looking at the two main campuses today, one sees a tremendous number of new facilities, including new and fully renovated buildings on the Valencia campus, and ongoing construction at the Canyon Country Campus (CCC). This is the highest level of construction for the future since the original campus was built in the early 1970s. In fact, most of the College’s nearly 850,000 square feet of building space have been added during the tenure of Dr. Van Hook, who began serving as superintendent-president in 1988, and became District chancellor in 2008. She accessed most of the funding for this work by leading the District to succeed in passing three general obligation bond measures during a 15-year period, with the most recent, Measure E in 2016 bringing $230 million in resources to fund multiple projects at both campuses.

Additional resources were added by pursuing grants from the state, federal government, and private foundations. In 2019-20, the College’s efforts resulted in more than $13 million in grant revenue for its programs. Looking at the bigger picture, since the 2014-2015 year, the College has brought in $100,535,127 dollars in grants revenue. Adding more support, the COC Foundation brought the College additional resources amounting to $7,906,540 since 2014. The Foundation also raised $365,000 toward a goal of $500,000 to support the College Promise program, and, in 2020, created the Student Resource and Success (SR&S) Fund to address food and housing insecurity, emergency funds, transportation support, remote learning, technology resources and mental health. The Foundation doubled its goal of $51,000, raising $113,733.

The GO Bond funds, legislative advocacy, support for statewide bond measures, and development of positive community relationships enabled Dr. Van Hook’s leadership to dramatically transform the College to keep up with the community’s growth and to anticipate the educational and training programs that will be needed in the future, thus leading College of the Canyons to become one of the fastest growing community colleges in the nation.

College Formation and History

Local voters created the Santa Clarita Community College District (SCCCD) in 1967, electing a board of trustees that in 1968 hired Dr. Robert Rockwell to be the first superintendent-president of College of the Canyons. The College officially opened classes in 1969, in after-school rooms
at Hart High School in Newhall. In 1970, the District purchased 153 acres on the east side of Interstate 5, moving into modular buildings. This became the Valencia Campus.

When the Board hired Dr. Van Hook, the College operated in eight buildings, including Cougar Stadium. A visionary, she immediately began organizing collegial planning groups and started developing Strategic Plans and Educational and Facilities Master Plans. She then began intense relationship building and advocacy to access and increase capital construction monies and state growth funding that helped growing communities and community colleges throughout the state.

Dr. Van Hook’s leadership resulted in ongoing innovative projects and facilities construction in the District, work that continues today. She led the district through repair, renovation and seismic upgrades after the 1994 Northridge earthquake, and since has led development, construction and renovation of numerous major structures as well as development of the District’s second campus. In addition to developing facilities, she has led the District to engage in numerous innovative partnerships and programs that have improved higher education and supported economic development and job training for the entire community.

Valencia Campus and Del Valle Regional Training Site: Facilities in the West SCV

The Valencia campus projects included unique buildings such as a 926-seat Performing Arts Center. It also included a 110,000-square-foot, multi-story University Center that brings upper division and advanced degree educational programs from university partners to the community. This building includes a separate wing for Academy of the Canyons, a middle college high school. A state-of-the-arts Culinary Arts building was added, along with many general education and support facilities, such as a new and then expanded Library and Learning Center, a new student services and administration building, lecture and laboratory buildings for science, media and fine arts, technology, physical education and general education programs, new parking lots, a new entrance and a multistory parking garage.

Recently, the District received approval of a substantive change proposal for the completed public safety Del Valle Regional Training Facility, which is a few miles north and west of the Valencia campus.

The Canyon Country Campus: Facilities in the East SCV

The District also began exploring a second center and opened the Canyon Country Campus (CCC) August 27, 2007, with 3,401 students. Since then, CCC has served more than 5,000 students annually. Development of plans and designs for permanent buildings began right away and the first permanent building, the Applied Technology Education Center opened in 2011. The campus opened its doors to a state-of-the-art, 55,000-square-foot science building in fall 2021, providing additional access to disciplines such as anatomy, physiology, microbiology, and general chemistry. Work is underway at CCC on a four-story building to house Student Services and The Learning Center. It will sit adjacent to the new science building at the center of the campus. When its permanent buildings are completed, the CCC will serve up to 10,000 students.

Community Engagement and Partnerships

The College participates in many innovative partnerships that have redefined the traditional role of community colleges. These partnerships have broadly helped the SCV develop and improve its educational systems, healthcare, business and economic strength, and social stability, while building respect, financial and voter support, pride and enrollment for the College. The College’s partnerships developed and grew quickly once Dr. Van Hook was hired and began strategic
outreach to other educational institutions, public agencies, organizations and businesses throughout the community. Consequently, many of these programs have developed deep, long-term roots in the SCV. Other programs have been started or been more fully developed during the most recent decade, and some have been implemented quite recently. The College partners with nearly every public and social service agency in the community, including the local school districts, the local hospital and healthcare services, as well as the City of Santa Clarita, Los Angeles County, the Chamber of Commerce, the Valley Industrial Association, the Economic Development Corporation, The Los Angeles County Workforce Investment Board, and a majority of the local small businesses and large companies. Some of the partnerships and innovative programs are discussed below.

The College’s unique University Center offers students bachelor’s and advanced degrees from public and private universities. As of December 2020, the University Center was offering 31 programs, including 16 undergraduate degrees, nine master’s degree programs, one doctoral degree program, and five credential or certificate programs. Since opening in 2002, more than 3,800 students have earned degrees or certificates through University Center partnerships. These partnerships solved a major problem for the District’s students, who identified commuting to Southern California universities as the major block in their ability to earn bachelor’s degrees after finishing at the College to access advanced degree programs.

A partnership with the William S. Hart H.S. District, brought Academy of the Canyons (AOC), a middle college high school to reside in a wing of the University Center building on the Valencia campus. Local high school students enrolled at AOC take high-school classes part of the day and college classes part of their day. In addition to supporting AOC’s high school students, the College has partnered with the Wm. S. Hart District to offer additional programs for high school students to get a head start on higher education, by enrolling concurrently or by becoming dual enrolled students. Currently, more than 1,600 high school students enroll at the College each year, a number that increased during the last four years since college classes started being offered at the high schools during the school day. The total of Concurrent enrolled students, plus Dual enrolled students in 2014 was 890. In 2020 that number was 1,641, an 84.2 percent increase. These numbers are expected to increase even more in the coming years.

The College also has partnerships with public safety agencies. For many decades, it has hosted two to three Sheriff’s Academies each year on the Valencia campus and has provided a dedicated location for the Academy’s programs. In addition, it operates in-service public safety training programs through instructional services agreements (ISAs) for the Los Angeles County Fire and Fire-Lifeguard, Los Angeles Police Department, and Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department. As mentioned above, the College recently received a substantive change approval for a permanent location at the 70-acre Del Valle regional training facility. Also, in collaboration with the L.A. County Sheriff’s Department, the College expanded its offerings for justice-impacted students at Peter J. Pitchess Detention Center in 2015. At that time, the College was part of the Back on Track LA Project initiated by Kamala Harris and was the primary provider of education services. Since then, the College has offered hundreds of general education and career education courses for Rising Scholars in multiple Pitchess Center locations. Instruction is at the South location and the North County Correctional Facility (NCCF). Recently, expansion of continuing education to this population has provided noncredit courses in English, career skills, health, CPR, and green gardener, and life skills courses on parenting, anger management, domestic violence, and substance abuse.
Economic Development

The history of the College’s economic development work in the SCV began shortly after Dr. Van Hook was hired. The College’s first step in meeting these needs was to establish the Employee Training Institute (ETI), which was up and running by 1989. This department of the College began offering customized training for local business employees, with many classes offered at those businesses, even on their shop floors. ETI continues to provide customized job-skills training to businesses and organizations in the Santa Clarita Valley. In 1996 ETI applied for and received its first Employment Training Panel (ETP) funds. In 2019, ETI was awarded more than $749,000 from the Employment Training Panel and in total has received $6,863,568 in ETP funds. It is now applying for its 16th ETP contract to equip local businesses with the training they need to be competitive and thrive in today’s global marketplace. The College’s ETI is one of only six multiple employer providers in the state.

The growing number of Economic Development programs was brought together by creating an Economic Development Division, which since 2003 has generated more than $40.1 million from contracts, and state and federal grants to support partnerships with business.

Since 2006, the College’s Small Business Development Center (SBDC) has been serving the Santa Clarita, San Fernando and Antelope valleys, providing free one-on-one technical assistance and training to entrepreneurs and business owners to help create economic impact through business starts, jobs supported, sales growth, and access to capital. In 2019, the SBDC generated $7.1 million in sales growth and $19.4 million in capital investment impact to local economies, supporting the creation of 982 jobs and 1,325 new businesses. In recognition of the SBDC’s contributions to the local community, the U.S. Small Business Administration presented the SBDC with its Excellence in Service Award in 2019.

The SBDC Team has worked remotely for many years and consequently, it has continued to be accessible during the COVID-19 pandemic, providing outreach, disaster assistance and recovery services, and non-disaster business advising to the community, to entrepreneurs, business owners and nonprofits. Services are delivered via phone and virtually, seven days a week, during regular business hours, early mornings, evenings and weekends. The SBDC has been helping entrepreneurs, business owners and nonprofits apply and secure disaster assistance resources, including the Paycheck Protection Program (PPP), Economic Injury Disaster Loan (EIDL), federal, state and local grants and loans. It has been sponsoring and distributing personal protective equipment (PPE) to businesses in the Santa SCV and Antelope Valley, presenting numerous disaster assistance webinars and helping business owners survive the impacts of COVID-19 through one-on-one technical assistance.

The College also has operated a Center for Applied Competitive Technologies (CACT) since 1998, which offers accelerated training programs to equip individuals seeking careers in advanced manufacturing with the skills they need. Efforts are underway to create a free-standing Advanced Technology Center that will house additional credit and noncredit curriculum so traditional and non-traditional students and incumbent workers can access industry-recognized certifications to fill employment supply gaps in essential infrastructure sectors.

In August 2017, the College launched its Strong Workforce Apprenticeship Group (SWAG) to expand apprenticeships throughout the Santa Clarita region. SWAG has nearly 50 apprentices receiving training in high-demand industries such as advanced manufacturing, logistics, and cybersecurity. Recently, SWAG entered a partnership with Google to offer a certification course...
for entry-level professionals in the information technology field. Also, in 2017, the California Community Colleges Small Business Sector launched a new Strong Workforce Program (SWP) funded project around Self-employment Pathways in the Gig Economy. The purpose of the project is to prepare students to become freelancers and independent contractors in the growing Gig Economy. Under the leadership of Dr. Van Hook, the College was awarded $500,000 to be lead college on the project. Twenty colleges joined as participants.

Major Developments Since Prior Accreditation

Since the 2014 institutional self-evaluation report, the College has added, expanded and championed many important initiatives to support student access, engagement, and success. They include Guided Pathways, College Promise, a major expansion of continuing education/noncredit programs, expansion of degrees and certificates, expansion of online access for students, and much more. These advancements are discussed within the standards appropriate for their content.

On March 13, 2020, in response to the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic and concerns about the safety and well-being of students, staff, and the surrounding community, the College took the unprecedented step of suspending in-person instruction for one week, effective Monday, March 16. This provided time for faculty and staff to transition to remote instruction, with all instruction resuming no later than Monday, March 23. It allowed classes that were already online to continue uninterrupted, and it reduced the number of people on campus, making it possible to reconfigure facilities for safe social distancing, lowering the risk of possible exposure while complying with public health recommendations. The decision was recommended by the College’s Coronavirus Taskforce, an operations team consisting of faculty, staff, students, and administrators working in close consultation with numerous on- and off-campus groups including qualified legal, medical, and technical experts to assess the risks and recommend actions. The College met its deadline, resuming instruction with most classes online, and with safe protocols in place for functions on campus. The taskforce continues to meet and provide advice, counsel, and public information, including ongoing recommendations on providing safe and functional workspaces on campus for students and employees.

From the start of the pandemic, executive leadership has been regularly communicating updates to students, staff, and everyone connected to the College, through email, webinars, web reports, Return to Campus Coalition groups, Board updates, and a list of available website resources for all constituencies. The College has effectively maintained continuous dialogue to meet student and staff needs for information during this uncertain and evolving period. Specifically needed professional development was started with the original goal to give faculty and staff help transitioning to remote instruction. It continues, enhancing technology access and virtual services while supporting faculty and staff with ongoing workshops on available technological platforms to best support students. To ensure the needs of students, faculty, and staff were best addressed, the Institutional Research office produced and distributed multiple student and staff surveys to assess the impact of COVID-19 and to inform forthcoming operations and planning.

The College united in efforts to minimize disruption to the student educational experience. It coordinated a mass laptop distribution program, using safe, drive-thru pickup; provided grants, using COVID funds so students could purchase specific needed technology; loaned high-end laptop computers for resource intensive programs to avoid disruption to instruction; provided technology-aided student tutoring and study opportunities; and established safe, on-campus Zoom zones at all campus sites so students could access broadband internet.
The administration worked closely with employee groups to negotiate multiple memoranda of understandings to safely address faculty and staff needs. The College provided necessary training and ongoing professional development to faculty and staff, including access to laptops, cameras, printers, monitors, microphones, desk configurations, tablets, software, and technical support. The College also added and sustained key software licenses for products such as Adobe Cloud, Proctorio, and Canvas, with 24/7 support; provided access to a Zoom Help Desk where faculty and staff could receive instant support on technical issues in addition to providing support via email and phone; and partnered with the Academic Senate and curriculum committee to create distance learning addenda for all courses offered, along with modified requirements for online teaching certification, while devising new synchronous online certification requirements.

The College quickly worked to develop a COVID-19 Containment, Response and Control Plan to facilitate a safe environment for employees and students. This plan meets or exceeds all current orders and guidance from local and national health authorities, including the California Department of Public Health, the County of Los Angeles Department of Public Health, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and other agencies such as the California Occupational Safety and Health Administration, the Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. The plan addresses the full reopening of campus facilities in light of California’s lifting of pandemic restrictions; ongoing safety mandates; treatment of vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals; and permissible campus activities. The College continues to keep this plan current and has safely arranged for campus visitors to enter each campus at various check-in points to confirm vaccination status or acceptably recent negative COVID-19 test results, based on a fall 2021 Board resolution.

Through it all, College of the Canyons has been a provider of higher education, professional training and opportunity for more than 200,000 people since it opened 53 years ago. Thousands have graduated, and many students returned to pursue their professional careers at the College. Many of SCV’s local businesses are owned by or managed by the College’s graduates. The College’s commitment to excellence is set by its innovative, results-oriented, flexible, and responsive transformational leadership. As the next chapters of the College’s history are written, the institution will build on its vibrant foundation while contributing to its thriving community.

Institutional Profile Data

In the following sections, data are presented for various areas of the College’s operations. Where “student headcount” is displayed, this is an unduplicated count of the number of students. “Enrollment count” indicates the number of enrollments, which may be duplicated counts in instances where students can be counted more than once if they are majoring in multiple programs, are enrolled in multiple courses and course-types (e.g., delivery mode), and/or earn more than one degree or certificate.

College Students within Program Majors

The number of students by program major, including data for fall 2018, 2019, 2020\(^1\) is provided in Table 1. This table, linked in footnote #1, shows enrollment of unduplicated students in programs across the last three fall semesters through 2020. Students may be duplicated between program titles as a student can pursue multiple majors. Business Administration for Transfer had the highest enrollment with 1,509 students in fall 2020.

\(^1\) Table A-1: Number of Students by Program Major (Fall 2018-2020)
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Associate Degrees Earned

The number of degrees earned across academic years 2017-18, 2018-19, and 2019-20, are displayed in Table 2, located in footnote #2. This table shows the number of associate degrees/associate degrees for transfer earned by each program in each academic year. The data are retrieved from the Academic Program Review Tableau dashboard. The total number of associate degrees/associate degrees for transfer earned increased by 580, going from 2,604 in 2017-18 to 3,184 in 2019-2020. This was a 22.3 percent increase. The total number of unduplicated students earning an associate degree/associate degree for transfer increased by 14 percent (increasing from 1,922 to 2,190).

The figure below, featuring degree recipients’ race/ethnicity, was examined to assess the difference in demographics of students graduating with a degree over time. Overall, there was a 119 percent increase during the 10-year period with a 257 percent increase among Latinx identifying students. During this time-period, the annual count for the general student population (excluding the College’s public safety training accomplished through instructional services agreements or ISAs) increased by 20.1 percent, with 20,092 students in 2010-11 increasing to 24,302 students in 2019-20.

Figure A-1: Number of Students Earning a Degree over 10 years by Race/Ethnicity*

*Asian includes Filipinx/o/a, other groups were excluded from the chart due to small group sizes (i.e., Native American/Alaska Native, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, Two or more Races and Unknown).

---

2 Table A-2: Associate Degrees Earned Across Academic Years 2017/18-2019/20
Certificates Earned

Table 3, Certificate Degrees Earned Across Academic Years 2017-18, 2018-19, and 2019-20\(^3\) shows the number of state and locally approved certificates earned by each program during the last three years through 2020. This table is located in footnote #3. The data are retrieved from the Academic Program Review Tableau dashboard, where the number of certificates earned continues to increase, going from 1,957 in 2017-2018 to 2,720 in 2019-2020, a 40 percent increase in a two-year period. The number of unduplicated students earning a certificate increased by 26 percent (increasing from 1,773 to 2,232).

Student Enrollment: Unit Load

Figure A-2 displays the unit load status of students enrolled in fall 2020. Full-time is defined as 12 or more units attempted during the given term, and part-time is defined as fewer than 12 units attempted during the given term. These data were obtained from Data Mart.

Figure A-2: Unit Load: Full-Time versus Part-Time Students* fall 2020 (N = 19,944)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full-Time</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-Time</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Credit</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure A-3: Admit Status fall 2020 (N = 19,944)

- Continuing Student, 58.0%
- First-Time, 12.0%
- First-Time Transfer, 12.2%
- Returning Student, 9.8%
- Special Admit, 7.7%
- Uncollected/ Unreported, 0.3%

* Includes ISAs

Student Enrollment: Admit Status

The previous chart Figure A-3 displays the percentage of students by their admission status during fall 2020. First-time college students are students who are enrolled in the current term and are attending college for the first time anywhere. First-time transfer students are enrolled in the current term, have attended college before, but are attending College of the Canyons for the first time. Returning students are students who are enrolled in the current term and have previously attended College of the Canyons but have missed at least one major term (fall and/or spring). Continuing students are students who are enrolled in the current term and enrolled in the previous term. Special admit are students who are enrolled in K-12, and unknown students are students who are uncollected, unreported, or unknown. Data were pulled from Data Mart.

Student Enrollment: Educational Goal

Figure A-4 displays the number of students by their stated educational goal in fall 2020. The data were pulled from the UST referential file. The most common educational goal is to obtain an

---

\(^3\) Table A-3: Certificate Degrees Earned Across Academic Years 2017/18-2019/20
associate degree and transfer to a four-year institution followed by advancing in current job/career.

**Figure A-4: Educational Goal (fall 2020) (N=19,944)**

![Bar chart showing educational goals and corresponding number of students.](image)

Source: UST referential

**Student Enrollment: Delivery Mode**

Enrollments by delivery mode method between fall 2014 and fall 2020 appear in Figure A-5: Enrollments by Delivery Mode (fall term comparisons). Delivery mode was defined from the Enrollment Academic Program Review Dashboard (2014-2019) and the Fall Success/Retention visualization (2020), and the number of enrollments was defined from MIS data. Online course sections are 100 percent online, and face-to-face includes hybrid sections.

In fall 2020, all but essential infrastructure courses (e.g., Emergency Medical Technician) were delivered remotely and virtually. The distinction displayed in the chart is strictly based on whether the course section was designated and advertised in the schedule of classes as an Online (100 percent online), or Online Distance Education (displayed as equivalent to face-to-face in prior terms). These fall 2020 “face-to-face” sections may or may not have had a synchronous delivery component unlike prior terms where all face-to-face/hybrid sections included synchronous delivery, which required students to meet with the instructor at a designated time/day.
Figure A-5: Enrollments by Delivery Mode (fall term comparisons)

*Due to the stay-at-home orders, face-to-face sections included those that met virtually.

Table 4: Enrollments by Delivery Mode (fall terms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100% Online</td>
<td>5,371</td>
<td>9,098</td>
<td>10,344</td>
<td>19,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face-to-face (on-ground)</td>
<td>42,875</td>
<td>37,510</td>
<td>36,002</td>
<td>23,992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48,246</td>
<td>46,608</td>
<td>46,346</td>
<td>43,812</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to the stay-at-home order, face-to-face designated sections in fall 2020 include those that met remotely/virtually and may or may not have had a synchronous component.


Figure A-6 shows the trend for the number of students enrolled in at least one 100 percent online course section over a 10-year period.

Figure A-6: Number of students Enrolled in 100 percent Online Courses over a 10-year period

Source: 320 file
Student Demographics and Special Populations Data

The following tables illustrate the changes in student demographics at College of the Canyons over time. The tables include the most recent complete data available up to fall 2020 and, in some cases, (2019/20) for annually tracked data. Differences between 2014 (when the last accreditation review cycle was completed) and 2019 are presented. When data are in percentages, the percentage point difference is adopted and when data are provided in counts, percent differences are presented. To assess pre- and post-pandemic impacts, 2020 is also provided.

Race/Ethnicity

Compares the percentage of students by ethnicity in fall terms specified above. The data were obtained from Data Mart.

Table 5: Ethnicity across the 3 fall terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>Difference (percentage point) 2014 vs. 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American/Black</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>+2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipinx/o/a</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>+1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latinx/o/a</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>+5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>-9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Two or more races</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown/Declined to state</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>+3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Student Headcount</strong></td>
<td><strong>20,303</strong></td>
<td><strong>20,018</strong></td>
<td><strong>19,944</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Asian/Pac. Isle, Filipinx, Native American and Unknown not reported as separate groups during these periods.

Gender, Age, and Socioeconomic Status

The next table compares the percentage of students by gender, age and Socioeconomic Status (SES) from fall 2014 to fall 2019 (Difference). To assess pre- and post-pandemic impacts, 2020 is additionally included. The data were pulled from Data Mart. SES is tracked and reported for the corresponding complete annual years. Students were deemed low socioeconomic status if they received either the Pell Grant or California Community Colleges Promise Grant during the academic year. The data were retrieved from the CCCCO SFAW (Student Financial Award File).

A rise in the percent of traditional-aged students can be attributed to the overall substantial increase in concurrent/dual enrolled students.
## Table 6: Gender, Age and Socio-economic Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>Difference (percentage point) 2014 vs. 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Student Headcount</strong></td>
<td>20,303</td>
<td>20,018</td>
<td>19,944</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td>46.3%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
<td>54.0%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 19</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>-3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35+</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Special Populations

The following table provides unduplicated counts for special population students for three fall terms (2014, 2019, and 2020). Differences across time are based on changes in counts.

**Table 7: Special Population headcounts (3 fall terms)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Populations</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>Difference 2014 vs. 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Student Headcount</strong></td>
<td>20,303</td>
<td>20,018</td>
<td>19,944</td>
<td>-1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Service Agreement (ISA)</td>
<td>3,550</td>
<td>3,451</td>
<td>5,197</td>
<td>-2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concurrent/Dual Enrolled</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>1,639</td>
<td>1,641</td>
<td>84.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canyons Promise/First Year Experience (FYE)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>1,393</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled Students Programs &amp; Services (DSPS)</td>
<td>1,264</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>-22.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Generation</td>
<td>3,979</td>
<td>3,987</td>
<td>3,736</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletes</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>-8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended Opportunities Prog. &amp; Services (EOPS)</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>-26.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Students (ISP)</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>-31.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics, Engineering, &amp; Science Achievement (MESA)</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cal. Works</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-54.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster Youth Students</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>70.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incarcerated**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formerly Incarcerated (Ex-offender)**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Because financial aid file data are tracked annually, 2020/21 data are not yet available.
Source: Fall term comparisons Datamart and local MIS files, for FYE and Athletes; Factbook for ISAs.
*Total Student Headcount includes ISAs
Canyons Promise program started in 2017.
**Data for these groups started to be documented in fall 2017 and 2018

The following figures provide visual representations of the change in numbers for special populations over the three specified fall terms.

Figure A-7: Special Population (3 fall terms)

*Canyons Promise program started in 2017

Figure A-8: Special Populations (3 fall terms) (contd.)

*Data for these groups started to be documented in fall 2017 and 2018

Demographics shifts over 20 years
The following table shows the trend data for demographics between 2000, 2010, and 2020. Most populations had relatively small changes in percentage of enrollments over twenty years, except for a significant increase in the percentage of Hispanic/Latinx/o/a students enrolling in the college, and a significant drop in the percentage of white students represented.

Table 8: Demographics 10-Year Trends

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Student Headcount</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American/Black</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipinx/o/a</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latinx/o/a</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more races</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown/Declined to state</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;19 years</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24 years</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34 years</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35+ years</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>54.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Service Area

The following tables illustrate the demographics (race/ethnicity and income) of residents in the College’s service area (2020 population census) in comparison to the demographics of the in-district residing student population (fall 2020 term). White residents represent the largest population in the service area whereas Hispanic/Latinx/o/a represent the largest population among in-district residing students. Among groups representing more than one percent of the total population, Hispanic/Latinx/o/a has the highest participation rate per 1000 individuals.
Table 9: Participation Rate by Ethnicity: In-district Students vs. Service Area Residents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Out-of-district* Students Fall 2020 N (%)</th>
<th>In-district Students Fall 2020 N (%)</th>
<th>In-district Population 2020 N (%)</th>
<th>Participation Rate*** (per 1000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American/Black</td>
<td>302 (5.6%)</td>
<td>346 (3.7%)</td>
<td>14,766 (4.9%)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian**</td>
<td>478 (8.8%)</td>
<td>1,302 (14.0%)</td>
<td>40,569 (13.4%)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latinx/o/a</td>
<td>3,360 (61.9%)</td>
<td>3,960 (42.5%)</td>
<td>99,573 (33.0%)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>13 (&lt;1%)</td>
<td>28 (&lt;1%)</td>
<td>407 (&lt;1%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>1038 (19%)</td>
<td>3,142 (33.7%)</td>
<td>134,939 (45.0%)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/ Two or more races</td>
<td>124 (2.3%)</td>
<td>390 (4.2%)</td>
<td>10,326 (3.4%)</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown/Declined to state</td>
<td>111 (2.0%)</td>
<td>153 (1.6%)</td>
<td>709 (&lt;1%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,426</strong></td>
<td><strong>9,321</strong></td>
<td><strong>301,919</strong></td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Excludes ISAs.

**Asian includes Filipinx/o/a/Pacific Islander

***Participation rate is calculated by dividing the number of in-district residing enrolled students by the corresponding Santa Clarita Valley population (all ages) for each race/ethnicity group, multiplied by 1000. Rates are calculated for groups representing larger than 1% of the community population.

Source: Claritas, Inc. (2020 Santa Clarita Valley Census), CCCCO Data Mart, and UST referential file (Fall 2020).

Table 10: Median household income for Santa Clarita Valley, Los Angeles County, and California

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Santa Clarita Valley</th>
<th>L.A. County</th>
<th>California</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$500,000 or more</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$200,000-$499,000</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150,000-$199,000</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$125,000-$149,000</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000-$124,999</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$75,000-$99,999</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000-$74,999</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$35,000-$49,999</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000-$34,999</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000-$24,999</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$14,999 or less</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Claritas 2020
**Labor Market Data**

The Santa Clarita Community College District service area consists of 301,919 individuals in 2020 and is projected to be 315,731 individuals by 2025. Source: Claritas Inc., March 2020

Table 11: Top Middle-Skill Occupations in the Service Area 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top Middle-Skill Occupations</th>
<th>Projected Annual Job Openings (2020-25)</th>
<th>Average Hourly Wage</th>
<th>Average Annual Wage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bookkeeping, Accounting, and Auditing Clerks</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>$23.62</td>
<td>$49,130.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching Assistants, Except Postsecondary</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>$17.81</td>
<td>$37,046.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy and Tractor-Trailer Truck Drivers</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>$23.74</td>
<td>$49,369.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hairdressers, Hairstylists, and Cosmetologists</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>$18.75</td>
<td>$38,992.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Assistants</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>$16.59</td>
<td>$34,509.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive Service Technicians and Mechanics</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>$21.65</td>
<td>$45,023.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental Assistants</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>$18.95</td>
<td>$39,413.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Assistants</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>$18.36</td>
<td>$38,195.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manicurists and Pedicurists</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>$14.80</td>
<td>$30,777.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool Teachers, Except Special Education</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>$17.31</td>
<td>$36,001.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massage Therapists</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>$23.07</td>
<td>$47,976.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: EMSI Labor Market Analytics, 2021

**Sites**

College of the Canyons includes two campus locations in Valencia and Canyon Country as well as a permanent site center for public safety training. In addition, the College offers occasional classes at other locations throughout the Santa Clarita Valley.

- **Valencia Campus**
  26455 Rockwell Canyon Road
  Santa Clarita, CA 91355

- **Canyon Country Campus**
  17200 Sierra Highway
  Santa Clarita, CA 91351

- **Del Valle Regional Training Center**
  28101 Chiquito Canyon Road
  Castaic, CA 91384

**Specialized or Programmatic Accreditation**

The Automotive Technology Program is accredited by the National Automotive Technicians Education Foundation (NATEF)

101 Blue Seal Drive, SE, Suite 101
Leesburg, VA 20175
703-669-6650
[www.natef.org](http://www.natef.org)

The Center for Early Childhood Education is accredited by the National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC)

1401 H Street NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005
202-232-8777
[www.naeyc.org](http://www.naeyc.org)
The Emergency Medical Technician Program is approved by the Los Angeles County EMS Agency, State of California and the National Registry of EMTs

10100 Pioneer Boulevard, Suite 200
Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670
562-347-1604
https://dhs.lacounty.gov/emergency-medical-services-agency

The Medical Laboratory Technician Program is accredited by the National Accrediting Agency for Clinical Laboratory Sciences (NAACLS)

5600 N. River Road, Suite 720
Rosemont, IL 60018
773-714-8880
www.naacls.org

The Nursing Program is accredited by the National League for Nursing – Commission for Nursing Education Accreditation (NLN-CNEA)

The Watergate
2600 Virginia Avenue, NW, Eighth Floor
Washington, DC 20037
https://cnea.nln.org

The Nursing Program is approved by the California Board of Registered Nursing (BRN)

1747 N. Market Boulevard, Suite 150
Sacramento, CA 95834
916-322-3350
https://www.rn.ca.gov

The Paralegal Studies Program is approved by the American Bar Association (ABA)

321 N. Clark Street, 19th Floor
Chicago, IL 60654
312-988-5618
www.americanbar.org
B. Presentation of Student Achievement Data and Institution-set Standards
The student achievement data and institution-set standards were established with over 100 campus constituents. The process started with workgroups formed to review the Local Goal Setting data in alignment with the California Community Colleges Chancellor’s Office (CCCCO) Vision for Success 2022 goals and the Student Equity and Achievement data. These workgroups were tasked with establishing the proposed targets/goals, which were then vetted through the Institutional Effectiveness and Inclusive Excellence committee, College Planning Team, Classified Senate, Academic Senate, Associated Student Government and then presented to the Board of Trustees. Updates on progress towards the 2022 targets/goals are presented and discussed with these constituent groups each year.

**Success Rate**

Success rates were calculated as the percent of enrollments in the 2019/20 academic year in which students received a passing grade of A, B, C, P, IA, IB, IC, or IPP. The data were pulled from MIS. The three-year mean is 76 percent, the institution-set standard is 78 percent (to be revised 2022).

**Success Rate by Academic Year**

The overall College of the Canyons success rates over the past three academic years are as follows: 2017-2018 (76%, n = 113,761), 2018-2019 (77%, n = 112,074) and 2019-2020 (76%, n = 110,601).

**Figure B-1: Success Rate by Academic Year (2017/18-2019/20)**
Success Rate by Age

The success rates disaggregated by age group in ascending order are as follows: 19 or less (78%, n = 39,513), 20-24 (74%, n = 28,375), 25-34 (74%, n = 11,753), 35+ (77%, n = 7,513).

Figure B-2: Success Rate by Age; Academic Year 2019/20

Success Rate by Ethnicity

The success rates disaggregated by ethnicity ranked from highest to lowest are as follows: Asian (83%, n = 12,160), Pacific Islander (83%, n = 204), White (81%, n = 25,686), unknown (80%, n= 2,812), Two or more (77%, n = 1,716), Native American (74%, n = 374) Hispanic/Latinx (72%, n = 40,355), and African-American/Black (65%, n = 3,847).

Figure B-3: Success Rate by Race/Ethnicity; Academic Year 2019/20
Presentation of Student Achievement Data and Institution-set Standards

Success Rate by Gender

The success rates disaggregated by gender ranked from highest to lowest are as follows: unknown (78%, n = 888), female (78%, n = 57,957), and male (74%, n = 51,756).

Figure B-4: Success Rate by Gender; Academic Year 2019/20

Success Rate by Socioeconomic Status

Students were deemed low socioeconomic status if they received either the Pell Grant or California Community Colleges Promise Grant during the academic year. High socioeconomic students have a success rate of 78% (n = 45,772), while low socioeconomic students have a success rate of 73% (n = 41,382).

Figure B-5: Success Rate by Socioeconomic Status; Academic Year 2019/20
Success Rate by Unit Load

A full-time student is defined as taking 12 or more units during a term, whereas a part-time student takes fewer than 12 units in a term. The success rates disaggregated by unit load ranked from highest to lowest are as follows: full-time (75%, n = 19,294 enrollments) and part-time (72%, n = 15,981 enrollments). Results presented are limited to the fall 2019 unit load as full- and part-time status changes per student across terms.

Figure B-6: Success Rate by Unit Load; Fall 2019

Retention Rate

Retention rates were calculated as the percent of enrollments in the 2019-2020 academic year in which students received a grade of A, B, C, D, F, P, NP, I*, or IPP. In other words, retention is defined as completion of a course with a grade other than withdrawal. The three-year mean is 88 percent. The data were pulled from MIS.

Retention Rate by Academic Year

The overall College of the Canyons retention rates over the past three academic years are as follows: 2017-2018 (89%, n = 113,761), 2018-2019 (89%, n = 112,074) and 2019-2020 (88%, n = 110,601).

Figure B-7: Retention Rate* by Academic Year (2017/18-2019/20)

*Institutional Standard was not set for Retention.
Retention Rate by Age

The retention rates disaggregated by age groups in ascending order are as follows: 19 years or less (90%, n = 45,771), 20-24 years (86%, n = 33,145), 25-34 years (86%, n = 13,662), and 35+ years (89%, n = 8,712).

Figure B-8: Retention Rate by Age; Academic Year 2019/20

Retention Rate by Ethnicity

The retention rates disaggregated by ethnicity ranked from highest to lowest are as follows: Hawaiian/Pacific Islander (92%, n = 225), unknown (92%, n = 3,228), Asian (91%, n = 13,383), White (90%, n = 28,470), Two or more (88%, n = 1,949), Native American (87%, n = 436), Hispanic/Latinx (87%, n = 48,656), African-American/Black (84%, n = 4,945).

Figure B-9: Retention Rate by Race/Ethnicity; Academic Year 2019/20
Retention Rate by Gender

The retention rates disaggregated by gender are as follows: female (89%, n = 57,957), male (87%, n = 51,756), unknown (89%, n = 888).

Figure B-10: Retention Rate by Gender; Academic Year 2019/20

Retention Rate by Socioeconomic Status

Students were deemed low socioeconomic status if they received either the Pell Grant or California Community Colleges Promise Grant during the academic year. The retention rates disaggregated by socioeconomic status ranked from highest to lowest are as follows: high socioeconomic status (90%, n = 52,511) and low socioeconomic status (87%, n = 48,781).

Figure B-11: Retention Rate by Socioeconomic Status (SES); Academic Year 2019/20
Retention Rate by Unit Load

A full-time student is defined as taking 12 or more units during a term, whereas a part-time student takes fewer than 12 units in a term. The retention rates disaggregated by unit load ranked from highest to lowest are as follows: full-time (90%, n = 23,079) and part-time (87%, n = 19,335).

Figure B-12: Retention Rate by Unit Load; Fall 2019

Course Success and Retention by Delivery Mode

The success rates disaggregated by delivery mode ranked from highest to lowest are as follows: online (77%, n = 34,165), face-to-face (75%, n = 76,436), and hybrid are included with the on-ground/face-to-face sections for the 2019/20 academic year.

Figure B-13: Success Rate by Delivery Mode; Academic Year 2019/20

The retention rates disaggregated by teaching method from highest to lowest are online (89%, n = 34,165) and face-to-face (87%, n = 76,436).

Figure B-14: Retention Rate by Delivery Mode; Academic Year 2019/20
In Fall 2019, the success and retention rates were the same for face-to-face, online, and hybrid courses, regardless of the duration of the course.

Figure B-15: Success and Retention Rate for Face-to-Face, Online and Hybrid: Fall 2019

The following tables present success and retention rates for more specific instructional delivery modalities and durations: full semester online, full semester hybrid; five- and eight-week face-to-face, online, and hybrid courses; Personalized Accelerated Learning (PAL) where two courses are taught within one semester with the same instructor, College Now (CNOW), and Dual Enrollment (DUENR) to courses taught in a traditional format (face-to-face, full term).

- **Full Semester**: defined as a class that meets for the length of a semester. Full semester courses are offered in an online, hybrid, or face-to-face format.
- **Accelerated**: defined as a course that is offered in a shorter period of time than semester length courses (e.g., five-week and eight-week courses). Accelerated courses are offered in an online, hybrid, or face-to-face format.
- **Hybrid**: defined as a course that meets occasionally face-to-face in a classroom at scheduled times but has much of the coursework done in an online or alternative format.
- **Personalized Accelerated Learning (PAL)**: defined as back-to-back short-term (eight-week) math and English courses, in which students can complete their math and English course work at a faster pace and personalize their learning experience. More specifically, students enter as a cohort, take two math and/or two English courses back-to-back, and have the same instructor and classmates for both courses.
- **Dual Enrollment (DUENR)**: defined as college courses offered during period one or period seven of a high school day taught by a college instructor.
- **CollegeNow (CNOW)**: courses offered at the high school sites, after hours, and open to both high school and non-high school students taught by a college instructor.
- **GO**: first set of courses in limited number of disciplines offered 100% online for a 5-week duration before standardization of this mode.

Examining success rates, PAL had the highest in Fall 2016 (83 percent); however, eight-week face-to-face had the highest in Fall 2017 (86 percent). In Fall 2018, five-week online courses had the highest success rates (80 percent), while DUENR courses had the highest in Fall 2019 (88 percent). Overall, PAL had the highest retention rates for the Fall 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019 semesters, while full semester online courses had the lowest retention rates. See Table 12.
and Table 13 for detailed percentages by instructional delivery method. Fall 2020 is not included because of the pandemic’s implications, for there are too many confounding variables during this term to make any comparisons.

Table 12: Success Rates by Delivery Mode: Fall 2016-Fall 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery Mode</th>
<th>Fall 2016</th>
<th>Fall 2017</th>
<th>Fall 2018</th>
<th>Fall 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 weeks Online (GO)</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 weeks Online</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 weeks Online</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 weeks Hybrid</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 weeks Face to Face</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Semester Online</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Semester Hybrid</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Semester Face to Face</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUENR</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNOW</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13: Retention Rates by Delivery Mode: Fall 2016-Fall 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery Mode</th>
<th>Fall 2016</th>
<th>Fall 2017</th>
<th>Fall 2018</th>
<th>Fall 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 weeks Online (GO)</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 weeks Online</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 weeks Online</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 weeks Hybrid</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 weeks Face to Face</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Semester Online</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Semester Hybrid</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Semester Face to Face</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUENR</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNOW</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Student Equity & Achievement and CCCCO Vision for Success Goals

Table 14: Student Equity & Achievement Plan and Local Goal Setting\(^4\) (linked in the footnote below and evidence) represents findings of analyses as part of the Student Equity and Achievement (SEA) plan and Local Goal setting alignment with the CCCCO Vision for Success goals. For each metric, the 2020/21 goals were set as targeted projections based on data provided by the CCCCO in 2019 which had 2017/18 outcomes as the most current year of data. Changes to metrics/definitions over the last 3 refresh cycles have yielded changes in the raw numbers for all previous years, some of which may have impacted where we currently are in reference to the goal.

\(^4\) Table 14: Student Equity & Achievement Plan and Local Goal Setting
Presentation of Student Achievement Data and Institution-set Standards

Table 15: Disproportionate Impact: Student, Equity and Achievement Plan and Vision for Success/Local Goals by Subpopulations\(^5\) (linked in the footnote below and evidence) illustrates where Disproportionate Impact (DI) was identified for targeted subpopulation demographic groups with regard to the SEA Plan and Local Goal metrics.

Table 16: Disproportionate Impact: Interaction of Sub-population and Gender\(^6\) (linked in the footnote below and evidence) shows disaggregation within sub-groups by gender. DI for Black/African American is not driven by gender with the exception of transfer to a four-year institution. DI for Latinx would have been missed for three of the metrics with the first slice looking at the group as a whole, but when examining sex by ethnicity, we see DI for retention, attaining the Vision Goal for Completion and transferring to a four-year institution.

**Licensure/Certification Examination Pass Rates**

Finally, the following table provides examination pass rates in programs for which students must pass a licensure examination in order to work in their field of study. In both of these nationally accredited programs, our students continue to exceed the respective institution set standard.

Table 17: Pass Rates for Licensure examinations (3 most recent years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Licensure Examinations</th>
<th>Exam Type</th>
<th>Institution Set Standard</th>
<th>2017-18 Pass Rate</th>
<th>2018-19 Pass Rate</th>
<th>2019-20 Pass Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Registered Nursing (RN)</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>89.0%</td>
<td>89.3%</td>
<td>89.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Laboratory Tech (MLT)</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ACCJC Annual Survey 2020-21

---

\(^5\) Table 15: Disproportionate Impact: Student, Equity and Achievement Plan and Vision for Success/Local Goals by Subpopulations

\(^6\) Table 16: Disproportionate Impact: Interaction of Sub-population and Gender
C. Organization of the Self-Evaluation Process
College of the Canyons began preparations for writing its Institutional Self-Evaluation Report (ISER) during the spring 2020 semester, two years in advance of its scheduled visit by the appointed ACCJC team. On January 30, 2020, Chancellor Dr. Dianne Van Hook invited ACCJC liaison and Vice President—Dr. Gohar Momjian—to the College to provide the executive leadership with an overview of the accreditation process. On April 20, 2020, Dr. Van Hook partnered with Dr. Omar Torres—Assistant Superintendent/Vice President of Instruction and Accreditation Liaison Officer (ALO)—to provide an initial accreditation training to the College Planning Team (CPT) in order to familiarize students, faculty, classified professionals, and administrators with the accreditation process. Members of CPT reviewed the purpose and fundamentals of accreditation, information featuring the revised 2014 accreditation standards and new Quality Focus Essay requirements, and the proposed two-year timeline leading up to the spring 2022 site visit. Shortly before the end of the spring 2020 semester, the ALO worked with the Chancellor, Academic Senate President, Associated Student Government President, and Classified Senate President to assemble volunteers to serve on the evidence collection and writing teams. A subsequent training for these volunteers was held with Dr. Momjian on April 24, 2020, providing a framework for the accreditation process and ISER preparation. Volunteers were tasked to coordinate with their constituents to begin evidence collection after meeting with their teams.

Despite the challenges associated with the raging pandemic, the various teams were able to continue collecting evidence remotely throughout the fall 2020 semester. During the spring 2021 semester, each evidence collection and writing team assembled to review collected evidence and begin the writing process. On March 26, 2021, the ALO hosted a technical ISER preparation training that addressed ISER writing logistics, document length, style guide, and proper draft notation. Thereafter, teams met more often to prepare the initial draft of the document and to review subsequent drafts. A comprehensive draft document was then reviewed by the Chancellor, ALO, editor, and all Standard Team members during the summer and fall 2021 terms. The proposed final draft was presented to CPT on October 18, 2021, and posted on the College’s internet site with notification for interested parties to provide third-party comments. The ALO also presented the final draft to the Academic Senate, Associated Student Government, Classified Senate, and the Board of Trustees before submitting the finalized ISER to ACCJC on December 20, 2021.

**ISER Production Staff**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chancellor</strong></td>
<td>Dr. Dianne Van Hook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accreditation Liaison Officer</strong></td>
<td>Dr. Omar Torres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Editor</strong></td>
<td>Sue Bozeman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Graphic Designer</strong></td>
<td>Kendra Harvey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Administrator Resources</strong></td>
<td>Eric Harnish and Dr. Ryan Theule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evidence Organization</strong></td>
<td>Audrey Fairbanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staff Resources</strong></td>
<td>Ayesha Khan and Stephanie Tamayo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Organization of the Self-Evaluation Process

### Writing Team Composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Administrator</th>
<th>Faculty</th>
<th>Classified and Confidential Staff</th>
<th>Student</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard I</td>
<td>Ryan Theule (chair)</td>
<td>Gary Collis (chair)</td>
<td>Tammie Decker (chair)</td>
<td>John Ayala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daylene Meuschke (chair)</td>
<td>Garrett Hooper (chair)</td>
<td>Catherine Parker (chair)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Don Carlson</td>
<td>Aivee Ortega</td>
<td>Jocelyn Harris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Andy McCutcheon</td>
<td>Cindy Stephens</td>
<td>Linda Malik</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paul Wickline</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jamie Page</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard II</td>
<td>Omar Torres (chair)</td>
<td>Lisa Hooper (chair)</td>
<td>Dianne Avery (chair)</td>
<td>Kayla Jaramillo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>James Glapa-Grossklag (chair)</td>
<td>Peter Hepburn (chair)</td>
<td>Patrick Backes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jasmine Ruys (chair)</td>
<td>Albert Loiaza (chair)</td>
<td>Noelia Borcherding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kathy Bakhit</td>
<td>Erin Barnthouse</td>
<td>Laurie Chacanaca</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gina Bogna</td>
<td>Jeff Baker</td>
<td>Yarely Gonzalez</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jia-Yi Cheng Levine</td>
<td>Regina Blasberg</td>
<td>Sharon Johnston</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jeff Forrest</td>
<td>Chris Boltz</td>
<td>Chloe McGinley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ann Hamilton</td>
<td>Jeremy Goodman</td>
<td>Connie Palazzolo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Harriet Happel</td>
<td>Brittany Huerta</td>
<td>Rebecca Rassool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Michael Joslin</td>
<td>Susan Ling</td>
<td>Debbie Sall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chuck Lyon</td>
<td>Sab Matsumoto</td>
<td>Nichols Schutz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mojdeh Mahn</td>
<td>Garrett Rieck</td>
<td>Evis Wilson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Andy McCutcheon</td>
<td>Diane Solomon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Olivia Ryan</td>
<td>Edith Soto</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joy Shoemate</td>
<td>Cindy Stephens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jennifer Smolos</td>
<td>Jennifer Thompson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paul Wickline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard III</td>
<td>Diane Fiero (chair)</td>
<td>Jason Burgdorfer (chair)</td>
<td>Justin Bradshaw (chair)</td>
<td>Anthony Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jim Schrage (chair)</td>
<td>Nicole Faudree (chair)</td>
<td>Dianne Avery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jim Temple (chair)</td>
<td>Diane Sionko (chair)</td>
<td>Robert Betancourt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sharlene Coleal (chair)</td>
<td>Tim Baber</td>
<td>Stephen Burns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gina Bogna</td>
<td>Jeannie Chari</td>
<td>Michelle Goodman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roy Castillo</td>
<td>Christina Chung</td>
<td>Gail Ishimoto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ann Hamilton</td>
<td>Gary Collis</td>
<td>Rosie Kochendorfer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Harriet Happel</td>
<td>Miriam Golbert</td>
<td>Bob Kuch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jason Hinkle</td>
<td>Rick Howe</td>
<td>Lucy Medina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hsiawen Hull</td>
<td>Anne Marenco</td>
<td>Be Phan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rian Medlin</td>
<td>Pamela Williams-Paez</td>
<td>John Slezinger</td>
<td>Andrea Varney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jason Munoz</td>
<td></td>
<td>Samantha Weber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chad Peters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joy Shoemate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard IV</td>
<td>Dianne Van Hook (chair)</td>
<td>Claudia Acosta (chair)</td>
<td>Michael Monsour (chair)</td>
<td>Janette Mireles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jia-Yi Cheng-Levine</td>
<td>David Andrus (chair)</td>
<td>Marilu Ramirez (chair)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sharlene Coleal</td>
<td>Juan Buriel</td>
<td>Justin Smith (chair)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diane Fiero</td>
<td>Teresa Ciardi</td>
<td>Justin Hunt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jeff Forrest</td>
<td>Justin Hunt</td>
<td>Anthony Morris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John Green</td>
<td>Alisha Kaminsky</td>
<td>Preeta Saxena</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kristina Hancock</td>
<td>Gary Peterson</td>
<td>Andrea Varney</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eric Harnish</td>
<td>Lori Marie Rios</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chad Peters</td>
<td>Patty Robinson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jim Schrage</td>
<td>Rebecca Shepherd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jennifer Smolos</td>
<td>Ambika Silva</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renard Thomas</td>
<td>Diana Stanich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan Theule</td>
<td>Erika Torgeson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Organizational framework on the following page provides an overview of the District’s overall administrative organization for its programs and services. The reference link below the overarching framework provides greater detail of each service area. These charts were current as of August 2021. By the time of the Accreditation External Evaluation Team visit in March 2022, the College anticipates several changes to these charts. On arrival, the Visiting Team members will be provided up-to-date organizational charts.
The enclosed reference citation provides additional details of each service area.7
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E. Certification of Continued Compliance with Eligibility Requirements
Certification of Continued Compliance with Eligibility Requirements

Eligibility Requirement 1: Authority

The institution is authorized or licensed to operate as a post-secondary educational institution and to award degrees by an appropriate governmental organization or agency as required by each of the jurisdictions or regions in which it operates. Private institutions, if required by the appropriate statutory regulatory body, must submit evidence of authorization, licensure, or approval by that body. If incorporated, the institution shall submit a copy of its articles of incorporation.

College of the Canyons, one of California’s 116 community colleges, has authority to operate as a degree granting institution due to continuous accreditation by the Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges (ACCJC) of the Western Association of Schools and Colleges, an institutional accreditation body recognized by the Council for Higher Education Accreditation and the United States Department of Education. This authority is noted on page one of the College Catalog. ACCJC approved the College’s 2017 Midterm Report, which found that “the College has sustained the work accomplished since the last comprehensive evaluation and has maintained compliance with Standards.”

Eligibility Requirement 2: Operational Status

The institution is operational, with students actively pursuing its degree programs.

Since 1969, College of the Canyons has operated continuously as a post-secondary institution. The state Chancellor’s Office Data Mart indicates unduplicated headcounts of 20,018 for fall 2019; 20,914 for fall 2018; and 20,489 for fall 2017; with a total of 5,897 degrees and certificates awarded in 2019-20; 4,976 awarded in 2018-19; and 4,564 awarded in 2017-18. The full range of available degree and certificate instructional programs, found in the current College Catalog, further demonstrates the College’s continued operational status.

Eligibility Requirement 3: Degrees

A substantial portion of the institution’s educational offerings are programs that lead to degrees, and a significant proportion of its students are enrolled in them. At least one degree program must be of two academic years in length.

The College offers 65 two-year area of emphasis (AOE) associate of arts and associate of science degrees, 31 associate degrees for transfer (ADTs), and 146 certificates (104 state approved and 42 locally approved certificates). Associate of arts and associate of science degrees require a minimum of 60 semester units, including general education courses, which can typically be completed in two years by a full-time student. The College Catalog provides a listing of all degrees and certificates offered at the College. In fall 2019, 16,180 students were enrolled in degree-applicable credit courses out of the total 46,346 enrollments. Degrees awarded in 2019-2020 were 3,184, with 2,388 students transferring to four-year colleges or universities and 2,720 certificates awarded. Additional information describing student enrollment in degree and certificate programs can be found on the College’s Tableau data visualization website.

8 College Catalog 2021-2022 Accreditation Authority
9 ACCJC 2017 Confirmation Letter
10 College Catalog 2021-2022 Instructional Programs
11 College Catalog 2021-2022 Instructional Programs
12 Tableau Data Visualization
Eligibility Requirement 4: Chief Executive Officer

The institution has a chief executive officer appointed by the governing board, whose full-time responsibility is to the institution, and who possesses the requisite authority to administer board policies. Neither the district/system chief executive officer nor the institutional chief executive officer may serve as the chair of the governing board. The institution informs the Commission immediately when there is a change in the institutional chief executive officer.

The Santa Clarita Community College District Board of Trustees (SCCCCD) appoints the chief executive officer (CEO) of the College. Dr. Dianne G. Van Hook, Chancellor of the SCCCDC and Superintendent/President of College of the Canyons is the CEO, who possesses the requisite authority to administer board policies and administrative procedures. Dr. Van Hook’s primary responsibility is to direct the operations of the District and lead the College to meet its mission. Dr. Van Hook has led the District as its CEO for 34 years.

Eligibility Requirement 5: Financial Accountability

The institution annually undergoes and makes available an external financial audit by a certified public accountant or an audit by an appropriate public agency. Institutions that are already Title IV eligible must demonstrate compliance with federal requirements.

The SCCCDC is audited on an annual basis by an independent auditing firm. The firm is selected by evaluating the scope of its experience, the size of the firm and its ability to provide backup personnel and a wide range of expertise. References are carefully evaluated. The auditing firm employs Government Audit Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, Audits of State, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations (formerly OMB Circular A-133 and now 2 CFR part 200, subpart F), and the California Community Colleges Chancellor’s Office Contracted District Audit Manual. Financial aid audit information showing Title IV compliance is included in the audit. The Board of Trustees reviews the audit findings, exceptions, letters to management, and any recommendations made by the contracted auditing firm. These are available to the public on the District’s website. Annual audits are conducted for the District, the Foundation, and two General Obligation bonds. The annual audits have been outstanding with unmodified opinions and no material weaknesses identified in all the audits over the last seven years since the College’s last accreditation site team visit in 2014.
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F. Certification of Continued Compliance with Commission Policies
College of the Canyons certifies that it continues to be in compliance with the federal regulations noted below and with Commission Policies on Rights and Responsibilities of the Commission and Member Institutions; Institutional Degrees and Credits; Transfer of Credit; Distance Education and on Correspondence Education; Representation of Accredited Status; Student and Public Complaints Against Institutions; Institution Advertising, Student Recruitment, and Representation of Accredited Status; Contractual Relationships with Non-Regionally Accredited Organizations; and Institutional Compliance with Title IV.

Public Notification of an Evaluation Team Visit and Third Party Comment

Regulation citation: 602.23(b).

The College has appropriately solicited third-party comment. The website provides a link to accreditation information on the homepage of its website under the “About COC” area and within the A-Z index. Included on this page are past self-evaluation and midterm reports, letters from the Accrediting Commission reaffirming accreditation, and a list of accredited programs at the College. Also included is the public invitation to submit comments about the College to our accrediting body, including a link to the third-party comment form and an announcement of the next comprehensive visit in spring 2022. Finally, this source also includes a list of resources including training videos and presentations dating back to April 20, 2020.

The College has also solicited third-party comments through presentations about the 2022 accreditation visit to students and faculty in a variety of venues since spring 2020, including at the faculty Academic Senate, the Classified Senate, College Planning Team meetings, college wide webinars, and a formal announcement and training session at the Board of Trustees meeting on March 24, 2021 announcing the upcoming accreditation visit. The College complies with the Commission Policy on Rights and Responsibilities of the Commission and Member Institutions on third-party comments and commits to cooperating with the visiting peer review team to follow up and resolve any issues that may be raised by third-party comments.

Standards and Performance with Respect to Student Achievement

Regulation citations: 602.16(a)(1)(i); 602.17(f); 602.19 (a-e).

College of the Canyons complies with the associated Code of Federal Regulations and Commission Policies with respect to student achievement as further described in the ISER Introduction. The College has defined institution-set standards for student achievement, which are reviewed and updated regularly through the College Planning Team (CPT) and are shared widely with the campus community.

---
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The College has a long history of setting standards for student achievement, reflected in past accountability metrics. From 2004–2012, the College regularly defined and presented institution-set standards beginning with the Accountability Report for Community Colleges (ARCC) in response to AB 1417. Institution-set standards and student outcome data were further reviewed through the representative College Planning Team as well as presented annually to the Board of Trustees in keeping with accreditation best practices and state mandates. ARCC data later transitioned to performance indicators, in response to the Commission’s call to further establish institution-set standards, and the College established a Performance Indicators sub-committee of the College Planning Team to further review and update these indicators. Between 2012–2018, the College shifted its focus for data review to the Student Success Scorecard through the Performance Indicators sub-committee as ARCC further evolved into a new accountability framework. The Performance Indicators sub-committee subsequently integrated its efforts with CPT’s Institutional Effectiveness and Inclusive Excellence (IE) sub-committee, which served as the lead for reviewing data and establishing initial targets/goals for the College Planning Team and constituent group review. Through these strategies, the College continued to thoughtfully review and address institution-set standards through the Student Success Scorecard and Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative (IEPI) metrics from 2015–2018. The College currently monitors and reviews the Student Success Metrics and Vision for Success 2022 goals according to the State Chancellor’s Office data metrics and accountability frameworks on an annual basis.

The College’s Student Equity & Achievement Plan, Local Goals from the Strategic Plan 2019–2022, and Student Success Metrics are aligned with the CCCCO Vision for Success 2022 goals. The College’s three overarching goals focused on access, engagement, and success are intended to make a positive impact on student outcomes and attend to student needs. The College established 29 specific, measurable objectives that address student needs including disaggregation for student populations and an equity lens that is tied to the College’s mission and overarching access, engagement and success goals.

The College also maintains standards for student achievement in instructional programs, including job placement and/or licensure exam pass rate targets. These elements are incorporated within the annual program planning and review processes, providing departments a regular opportunity to review and update progress on relevant data. Program review and annual reports to the Commission provide updates on standards for student performance, including career education programs.

Program-level outcomes are defined by departments for each academic program in the College Catalog, along with institutional student learning outcomes used to improve student learning and instructional approaches through an examination and discussion of assessments of broad learning outcomes shared by multiple departments and divisions. These ISLOs are as follows: Critical Thinking, Effective Oral Communication, Effective Written Communication, Collaboration, Creative and Innovative Thinking, Information Literacy, Quantitative Literacy, Community Engagement, and Global Responsibility.

Standards and performance with respect to student achievement are relevant to guiding self-evaluation and institutional improvement at the College, and results are disseminated and
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discussed to make improvements. The Office of Institutional Research, Planning, and Institutional Effectiveness (IRPIE) publishes institutional effectiveness measures on its website, and collegewide program planning reviews are available to the college community for all academic and non-instructional programs. Learning outcomes are further presented by the Committee on Assessment of Student Learning (CASL) website. Institution set standards are further aligned with the California Community College Chancellor’s Office (CCCCO) Vision for Success goals through the local vision goals framework as appropriate to a public community college, and these goals are frequently reported through IRPIE, program review, and college planning venues.

Credits, Program Length, and Tuition

Regulation citations: 600.2 (definition of credit hour); 602.16(a)(1)(viii); 602.24(e), (f); 668.2; 668.9.

Credit-hour assignments and degree program lengths are within the range of good practice in higher education. Board Policy/Administrative Procedure 4100 (BP/AP 4100): Graduation Requirements for Degrees and Certificates identifies the minimum number of credits for a degree (60) or certificate (16) along with the College Catalog. The College is guided by the California Community Colleges’ Program and Course Approval Handbook as well as its local Curriculum Committee Handbook for processes to develop courses and program curricula, and therefore, ensure credit hours and degree program lengths are reliable and accurate across classroom-based courses, laboratory classes, and distance education classes. BP 4020 and AP 4020 on Program and Curriculum Development govern the development of curriculum at the District’s colleges and defines a credit hour.

The enrollment fee for California state residents is set by the state legislation. Nonresident tuition is established according to BP/AP 5020: Non Resident Tuition in accordance with applicable state guidelines and regulations.

Transfer Policies

Regulation citations: 602.16(a)(1)(viii); 602.17(a)(3); 602.24(e); 668.43(a)(ii).

College of the Canyons publishes all information related to the transfer of units in the College Catalog. The policies include Credit for Prior Learning, Advanced Placement, International Baccalaureate, College Level Examination Program, Credit for Military Service, and

---

34 Student Data Highlights Fall 2020
35 Board Policy 4100: Graduation Requirements for Degrees and Certificates
36 College Catalog 2021-2022 Graduation Requirements
37 Curriculum Committee Handbook 2021
38 Board Policy 4020: Program and Curriculum Development
39 Administrative Procedure 4020: Program and Curriculum Development
40 Board Policy 5020: Nonresident Tuition
41 Administrative Procedure 5020: Nonresident Tuition
42 Credit for Prior Learning Description College Catalog 2021-2022
43 Advanced Placement Course Equivalency Description College Catalog 2021-2022
44 International Baccalaureate Description College Catalog 2021-2022
45 CLEP Description College Catalog 2021-2022
46 Credit for Military Service Description College Catalog 2021-2022
transfer credits. College of the Canyons has established BP 4235 and AP 4235 for implementation of Credit for Prior Learning, AP, IB, CLEP, and Military Service.\(^{47, 48}\)

College of the Canyons has also established the transferability of courses through articulation agreements with private\(^ {49}\) and public\(^ {50}\) California institutions, along with local high school articulated courses\(^ {51}\) with the College, as per BP 4050 and AP 4050.\(^ {52, 53}\) Transfer information, such as to out-of-state universities, can be found on the Counseling website.\(^ {54}\) Course transferability to the CSU and UC are found in the College Catalog. The College employs a full-time classified staff member designated as the Curriculum Analyst and Articulation Officer, who works with a dedicated counselor to ensure articulation standards are met with the UC, CSU, and private universities.

**Distance Education and Correspondence Education**

**Regulation citations:** 602.16(a)(1)(iv), (vi); 602.17(g); 668.38.

College of the Canyons develops, implements, and evaluates all courses and programs according to the institution’s total educational mission, including those delivered through distance education and correspondence education, in consultation with its faculty, Curriculum Committee, Academic Senate, and Board of Trustees.

The curriculum review process ensures that all distance education courses are developed and implemented according to standards consistent with the official course outline of record and feature regular, effective instructor-initiated student contact.\(^ {55}\) Proposals to offer courses in a distance education format are examined to ensure: (1) methods of evaluation match those in the in-person courses, (2) accessibility is addressed via Section 508 compliance, and (3) regular and substantive student-instructor and student-student interaction is planned and appropriate for the discipline. Due to the recent COVID-19 pandemic, the College also developed provisional distance learning addenda, which allow courses to be offered via distance education when needed during emergencies, through mutual agreement. These addenda are for courses that ordinarily would not be offered in a virtual format (e.g., science laboratory courses).\(^ {56}\)

The curriculum review process also ensures that correspondence education courses are developed and implemented according to standards consistent with the official course outline of record.\(^ {57}\)

The College clearly defines appropriate student learning outcomes for all courses and programs, including those delivered through distance education. It also provides the resources and structure needed to accomplish these outcomes and to demonstrate that its students achieve these
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outcomes through application of appropriate assessment, for example, through the Online Education Department and the Committee for Assessing Student Learning.

The College submits to the Commission Substantive Change Proposals related to programs and certificates that can be completed 50 percent or more via Distance Education, most recently in April 2018. The College informed the Commission of its intent to embark on Correspondence Education for justice-impacted students in a separate communication during July 31, 2020, noting that such offerings are delimit ed to courses offered at our local jails only in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, and no College programs, certificates, or degrees are offered in which 50 percent or more of the courses are delivered via correspondence education.

All classes offered in an online format utilize the District-selected learning management system (Canvas), so that the College can ensure integrity of the online classroom, including student grades and usage data. To maintain integrity in Canvas, students must use a unique username and a password of their own creation to ensure the same person who participates every time and completes a course or program receives the appropriate academic credit. In addition, students may take proctored examinations in The Learning Center at the College, which will also arrange for proctored examinations for students who do not live within easy reach of the College.

In compliance with federal and state law, the College has established procedures governing student records and the control of personally identifiable information. The College adheres to strict confidentiality standards as stated in the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) and California Education Code. No student records, other than directory information, will be released without written consent by the student, except as authorized by the law. In addition, no directory information will be released regarding any student who has notified the Admissions & Records office in writing that such information should not be released. There is no charge associated with verification of student identity.

**Student Complaints**

Regulation citations: 602.16(a)(1)(ix); 668.43.

College of the Canyons has clear policies and procedures outlined on the website, in board policy, and in the College Catalog regarding student complaints. The standards and procedures for student conduct are found in Board Policy 5530, 5531, and 5532. The Student Code of Conduct is found on the College’s website. The standards and procedures for the Grade Review Policy are found in Board Policy 5533. These policies and procedures are also found in the College Catalog. Students may report alleged violations, a grievance, or incident through an Online Complaint Form, Campus Safety, Dean of Student Services, or the Title IX coordinator. Procedures for the grade review process can be found on the College website.
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Certification of Continued Compliance with Commission Policies

If students believe their complaints have not been resolved at the College level, the Online Complaint Form has the contacts for the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office or the Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges/Western Association of Schools and Colleges.

Institutional Disclosure and Advertising and Recruitment Materials

Regulation citations: 602.16(a)(1)(vii); 668.6.

College of the Canyons provides timely and accurate information on its programs, services, locations, and policies to students and the public through various means including:

- Publication of information on the College website
- Publication of information in print format
- Signage and information postings in various campus locations
- Digital signage in key campus locations
- Printed brochures and fliers
- News releases, advertisements and Public Service Announcements in local media
- Mailed newsletters and publications

The College Catalog and Schedule of Classes serve as primary informational tools about the College. The Instruction Office, Public Information Office, Student Services, Admissions & Records Office, and others collaborate to ensure that these publications provide accurate, up-to-date information in a suitable style and format.

The Public Information Office creates and distributes news releases, magazines, brochures, fliers, print and online advertisements, digital displays, and more to provide prospective and current students, College personnel, and community members with relevant and accurate information about the College. The Public Information Office and Graphic Designer publish an official style guide and follow writing, proofreading, branding, and social media guidelines to ensure that information about the College is presented clearly, accurately, and professionally. Additionally, the Information Technology (IT) department manages the College website with input from campus departments and the Web Oversight Committee as appropriate to ensure that students and the public have access to current and accurate information about the College.

The College Catalog, which is accessible both online and in print, also provides information regarding the College’s accreditation status as required by the Commission. All accreditation reports, documents, procedures, resources, and information about the Commission are readily available to students, College personnel, and the public.
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Title IV Compliance

Regulation citations: 602.16(a)(1)(v); 602.16(a)(1)(x); 602.19(b); 668.5; 668.15; 668.16; 668.71 et seq.

College of the Canyons complies with the requirements of Title IV of the Higher Education Act. Since the last accreditation site team visit in 2014, the College has continued to receive unmodified opinions for financial statements and federal awards, as evidenced by the more recent 2019/2020 audit,\textsuperscript{74} 2018/2019 audit,\textsuperscript{75} 2017/2018 audit,\textsuperscript{76} and 2016/2017 audit.\textsuperscript{77} The College complies with all requirements for federal programs. These audit results are reported annually and presented to the Board of Trustees. Student loan default rates are well within the acceptable range defined by the U.S. Department of Education (USDE), as evidenced by the report from the National Student Loan Data System, which shows 16 percent or lower since 2011.\textsuperscript{78}

1. For 2015, the 3-year Official CDR is 15.5 percent based on 91 borrowers defaulting of 585 who have entered repayment.
2. For 2016, the 3-year Official CDR is 11.6 percent based on 66 borrowers defaulting of 568 who have entered repayment.
3. For 2017, the 3-year Official CDR is 5.4 percent based on 25 borrowers defaulting of 455 who have entered repayment.

The College continues to demonstrate diligence in keeping loan default rates at an acceptably low level while complying with program responsibilities defined by the USDE. College of the Canyons has had no negative actions taken by the U.S. Department of Education regarding compliance with Title IV.

\textsuperscript{74} Santa Clarita CCD Final Audit Report for District 2020
\textsuperscript{75} Santa Clarita CCD Final Audit Report for District 2019
\textsuperscript{76} Santa Clarita CCD Final Audit Report for District 2018
\textsuperscript{77} Santa Clarita CCD Final Audit Report for District 2017
\textsuperscript{78} National Student Loan Data System
G. Institutional Analysis


Standard I: Mission, Academic Quality and Institutional Effectiveness, and Integrity

The institution demonstrates strong commitment to a mission that emphasizes student learning and student achievement. Using analysis of quantitative and qualitative data, the institution continuously and systematically evaluates, plans, implements, and improves the quality of its educational programs and services. The institution demonstrates integrity in all policies, actions, and communication. The administration, faculty, staff, and governing board members act honestly, ethically, and fairly in the performance of their duties.

A. Mission

1. The mission describes the institution’s broad educational purposes, its intended student population, the types of degrees and other credentials it offers, and its commitment to student learning and student achievement. (ER 6)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College of the Canyons guiding mission provides the core statement of the College’s most fundamental goals and purposes. It broadly describes the student populations it intends to serve, the various degrees and credentials it offers through its programs, and its commitment to student learning and achievement. The mission provides a clear and inspiring guide to everyone associated with the College.

The review of the mission statement is overseen by the College Planning Team (CPT), the College’s umbrella decision-making group consisting of campus-wide representation. Developed, regularly reviewed, and revised through a college wide collaborative process, the mission is printed, published online and widely disseminated. The College most recently reviewed and updated the mission statement in 2020-21, as noted by the date stamps on Board Policy (BP) 1200 District Mission.79 The Board of Trustees approved the current mission statement on May 12, 2021, following two readings and college wide review.80 The College of the Canyons mission statement reads as follows:

College of the Canyons delivers an accessible, holistic education for students to earn associate degrees, certificates, and credentials, to prepare for transfer, and to attain workforce skills. The College champions diversity, equity, inclusion, and global responsibility, while providing clear pathways in an engaging, supportive environment where all students can successfully achieve their educational goals.81

The College regularly reviews and updates its mission statement to ensure effectiveness according to the Commission Standards. The current mission statement is effective for the College and aligned to the Standards, defining its purpose, student population, degrees and awards, and demonstrated commitment to student learning and achievement in concert with associated vision and philosophy statements that accompany the mission statement. The mission statement was thoroughly reviewed and discussed by the College Planning Team (CPT).82

79 Board Policy 1200: District Mission
80 Mission Statement 2nd Board Reading 2021
81 Mission Statement Website
82 College Planning Team Mission
College Policy Council (CPC), Academic Senate, Classified Senate, and Associated Student Government (ASG) prior to approval by the Board.

The entire mission expresses the College’s broad educational purpose, including specific commitments to “accessible and holistic education,” the championing of “diversity, equity, and inclusion,” and the provision of a “supportive environment where all students can successfully achieve their educational goals.” The mission defines the intended student population, referring to all students in the college community and service area with the ability to benefit from instruction and services. More details about the student population and service area are noted in The College’s Fact Book and in the Introduction section of this report.

The College appropriately describes the types of degrees and credentials offered, stating “…associate degrees, certificates, and credentials, to prepare for transfer, and to attain workforce skills.” These words and phrases broadly describe the community college degrees, certificates, and credentials offered by the College, which are more fully and more specifically described in the College of the Canyons Catalog. The College is committed to student learning and achievement according to the mission statement language, which says “…providing clear pathways in an engaging, supportive environment where all students can successfully achieve their educational goals.” The mission statement describes the College’s commitment to principles and practices of diversity, equity, and inclusion as well as an ongoing commitment to global responsibility. The College supports student learning that enriches lives and furthers success, and this mission is consistent with that of the California Community Colleges, while serving the College in guiding its work.

The mission statement is fundamental to every aspect of the College’s service, so multiple standards refer to it throughout the Self-Evaluation Report. In some cases, footnotes and evidence link to an earlier version than the current one, used in 2014 and subsequently updated and in place by 2016.

Analysis and Evaluation

Coordinated by the CPT, the College’s mission statement and associated documents serve as an impactful summary and inspiring guide to the College, describing its broad educational purposes, intended student population, types of degrees and credentials, and the College’s thorough commitment to student learning and student achievement.

2. The institution uses data to determine how effectively it is accomplishing its mission, and whether the mission directs institutional priorities in meeting the educational needs of students.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

College of the Canyons has a strong culture of inquiry and improvement. It collects, analyzes, and reports data regularly to assess its effectiveness in fulfilling its mission. The Institutional
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Research, Planning, and Institutional Effectiveness (IRPIE) Office is responsible for collecting, analyzing, and reporting on student success data and other metrics related to the mission, and these data are easily accessible on the College’s research website.90 The annual Fact Book91, 92, 93 and multiple data visualization dashboards provide easy access to these data. College forums, including committees such as the CPT,94 the Leadership Education in Action Program (LEAP),95 the Institutional Effectiveness & Inclusive Excellence (IE)2 Steering Committee,96 and the Board of Trustees97 receive data reports that assess the effectiveness of the College’s programs in meeting the College’s mission. The Student Equity and Achievement (SEA) Plan presentation98 to the Board of Trustees, as well as presentations on Guided Pathways,99 are examples of how the College prioritizes student success, equity, and accountability with integrated data. The CPT minutes, IRPIE website materials, and Board of Trustees agendas demonstrate evidence of significant dialogue, presentation, and engagement with guided pathways, student equity, research data, surveys and reports, institutional learning outcomes (ILOs), and institution-set standards.100

The Educational & Facilities Master Plan101 and the Strategic Plan102 goals and objectives serve as progress metrics for the College and the achievement of its mission. The Strategic Plan, for example, includes three overarching goals of access, engagement, and success with twenty-nine specific objectives. Institution-set standards are assessed annually, and all program planning, design, and review objectives are linked to an associated Strategic Plan goal under the mission. The mission directs institutional planning and priorities, as described in detail in the College’s Decision Making Guide.103 The Educational & Facilities Master Plans, Strategic Plans, and Decision Making Guides are planning and guiding documents that are regularly updated. Most references to these documents are to the most recent versions of each; however, earlier versions of each document are also provided here because they were in use during this accreditation cycle.104, 105, 106, 107

In 2015-16, the College produced a heat map to illustrate the disproportionate impact areas in most need of attention based on the Student Success Scorecard data.108 This heat map was instrumental in focusing the College’s attention and resources for addressing areas with the
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A. Mission

greatest need. As the California Community College Chancellor’s Office (CCCCO) transitioned from the Student Success Scorecard to the Student Equity & Achievement and Local Goal Setting (LGS) alignment with the CCCCO Vision for Success goals, new data tables were shared with the campus community showing the College’s integrated SEA/LGS historical data and 2022 goals for these metrics. In addition, the IRPIE office prepared an updated heat map showing where there was disproportionate impact by ethnicity and the interaction of ethnicity and gender.

These data are shared with the campus community through presentations and dialogues with the CPT, (IE)² committee, Academic Senate, Classified Senate, ASG, and the Board of Trustees.

The CPT regularly solicits input from all constituents, clearly communicates its planning goals, shares internal and external opportunities, puts data front and center in college dialogue, and ensures that student equity and success objectives are clearly stated and worked on by relevant college groups.

Analysis and Evaluation

A rich culture of data and meaningful use of data exists at the College, helping to accomplish its mission and direct college priorities to meet the needs of students. Through data resources, presentation content, planning documents, program reviews, and committee materials, the College demonstrates regular use of data to accomplish its mission in practice.

3. The institution’s programs and services are aligned with its mission. The mission guides institutional decision-making, planning, and resource allocation and informs institutional goals for student learning and achievement.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

College programs, services, and planning are aligned with the mission. The College uses a comprehensive program review cycle, including annual updates and three-year comprehensive updates. Each review begins with the institutional mission linked to department objectives and strategic plan goals. Program Review is a process through which instructional and academic support programs systematically assess themselves to ensure they are current, relevant, and appropriate, and that they achieve stated goals and outcomes in relation to the College’s mission.

The mission statement is the key foundation for planning and decision-making at the College. College planning documents, such as the Educational and Facilities Master Plan, and the Strategic Plan begin with the mission. As noted in the Decision Making Guide section on comprehensive planning, the institutional mission, values, and beliefs are key components of the
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planning process alongside research, data, and resources. Institutional plans and processes demonstrate that the College mission guides planning.

The President’s Advisory Council on Budget (PAC-B) works to ensure that the budget allocation process is also driven by the mission, strategic goals, and college wide planning. The Budget Parameters provide further guidelines regarding the process of developing the annual budget in support of the mission, strategic goals, planning documents and academic and administrative program reviews. As noted in Administrative Procedures (AP) 6200 Budget Development, Budget Parameters provide guidelines to assist in the process of developing the annual budget in support of the mission, strategic goals, planning documents, and instructional and academic support (non-instructional) program reviews. The Budget Parameters document further states that the budget will support the Strategic Plan with program review objectives tied to strategic goals in order to request budget augmentations. Budget and planning are tied to the mission and guide resource allocation decisions.

AP 3250 Institutional Planning further states that college wide plans, including the budget, are interrelated and developed with the purpose of advancing efforts of every department on campus in meeting their departmental and institutional goals in support of the College’s mission. College of the Canyons is known for innovation, planning, and results, and this is due to integrated planning processes that are well designed to support the attainment of its mission and goals.

One unique example of programs and services aligned with the College’s mission is the International Services and Programs (ISP) office. The mission says that the College “champions diversity, equity, inclusion, and global responsibility, while providing clear pathways in an engaging, supportive environment...”. Accordingly, the ISP office has supported the development of international and global views, helping to foster perspectives of global citizenship. The ISP office has led a variety of student programs relevant to the goal of global responsibility, and this work has taken place in concert with related civic engagement and project-based learning work as part of the mission statement’s emphasis on an “engaging, supportive environment.” The College of the Canyons Global taskforce, known as COC Global, was established in 2016, and has been instrumental in collaborating with all College stakeholders to strategize and implement tenets of Comprehensive Internationalization, including initiating the Global Studies-AAT program, approved in 2019, and housed within the Political Science Department. COC Global is also in the process of developing a Global Competency Certificate program, anticipated to be complete in 2022. These are a selection of many examples of programs and services aligned with the mission that are making an impact on students.
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Analysis and Evaluation

At College of the Canyons, programs and services are thoughtfully aligned with the College’s mission, which ultimately drives planning, decision-making, resource allocation, and institutional goals for student achievement. The College’s mission drives integrated institutional planning, informed by the CCCCQ Vision for Success, which paves the way for the Strategic Plan goals and their annual links to program design, planning and review objectives and budget prioritization.

4. The institution articulates its mission in a widely published statement approved by the governing board. The mission statement is periodically reviewed and updated as necessary. (ER 6)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College reviews and updates the mission statement and publishes it widely to the college community. BP 1200 District Mission was most recently approved by the Board of Trustees in Spring 2021 following review from the CPT and college wide constituents, such as the Academic Senate, Classified Senate, and the ASG. The mission statement is prominently displayed on the College’s website, in the Catalog, in college wide planning documents and publications, and on posters throughout the physical campuses.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College’s mission is regularly reviewed by the CPT, ultimately approved by the Board, and published widely for the College and community as detailed below. The most recent mission statement was approved in Spring 2021. It is published in the College’s Catalog and on its website, printed on posters that are widely displayed on each campus, and provided in planning and other documents.

Conclusions on Standard I.A. Mission

The College of the Canyons mission statement appropriately describes its purpose, population, offerings, and student learning commitment. The mission is an integral guide to planning and resource allocation, and the College uses data to monitor effectiveness and progress on the mission and related college plans. Moreover, programs and services are aligned with the mission, and program design, planning, and review processes are linked to the mission to ensure that annual objectives and budget requests are driven by the mission. The College has thoroughly publicized the mission and is proud of its focused, student-centered elements.

Improvement Plan(s)

None.
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### Evidence List

| I.A.1.1 | I.A.2.2 | I.A.2.14 | I.A.2.26 | I.A.4.3 |
| I.A.1.2 | I.A.2.3 | I.A.2.15 | I.A.3.1  | I.A.4.4 |
| I.A.1.3 | I.A.2.4 | I.A.2.16 | I.A.3.2  | I.A.4.5 |
| I.A.1.4 | I.A.2.5 | I.A.2.17 | I.A.3.3  | I.A.4.6 |
| I.A.1.5 | I.A.2.6 | I.A.2.18 | I.A.3.4  | I.A.4.7 |
| I.A.1.6 | I.A.2.7 | I.A.2.19 | I.A.3.5  |         |
| I.A.1.7 | I.A.2.8 | I.A.2.20 | I.A.3.6  |         |
| I.A.1.8 | I.A.2.9 | I.A.2.21 | I.A.3.7  |         |
| I.A.1.9 | I.A.2.10| I.A.2.22 | I.A.3.8  |         |
| I.A.1.10| I.A.2.11| I.A.2.23 | I.A.3.9  |         |
| I.A.1.11| I.A.2.12| I.A.2.24 | I.A.4.1  |         |
| I.A.2.1 | I.A.2.13| I.A.2.25 | I.A.4.2  |         |
B. Assuring Academic Quality and Institutional Effectiveness

Academic Quality

1. The institution demonstrates a sustained, substantive and collegial dialog about student outcomes, student equity, academic quality, institutional effectiveness, and continuous improvement of student learning and achievement.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

Student equity and success dialogues take place throughout College of the Canyons, in keeping with the institutional mission\(^{132}\) of “diversity, equity, inclusion…where all students can successfully achieve.” This work aligns with the California Community Colleges Chancellor’s Office (CCCCO) system-level Vision for Success 2022 goals for student achievement. The College Planning Team (CPT)\(^{133}\) regularly dedicates time for key planning, evaluation, and improvement dialogue along with prominently placing data front and center to ensure that student equity and success objectives are clearly understood and addressed by college groups.

The Student Equity and Achievement Plan\(^{134}\) (SEA) and ongoing associated work have helped the College to improve student success through intentional and research-based strategies that support students and aim to close equity gaps for disproportionately impacted groups. The College has a rich history of equity-focused planning, and groups such as the Equity-Minded Practitioners\(^{135}\) and the Institutional Effectiveness & Inclusive Excellence (IE)\(^2\) committee\(^{136}\) regularly research and apply effective student support strategies designed to improve the student experience and outcomes. The work of (IE)\(^2\), along with other cross-functional teams, has helped ensure that equity and success are integrally and prominently part of institutional effectiveness work.

The College continues to pursue new strategies to share actionable student success data to move student success, pathways, and equity work forward. The Institutional Research, Planning, and Institutional Effectiveness (IRPIE) Office has developed a variety of Tableau data visualizations,\(^{137}\) an enhanced data website,\(^{138}\) and training for faculty data coaches\(^{139}\) to facilitate department-level equity and success data conversations and planning. IRPIE also supports program review training, addressing disproportionate impact analyses,\(^{140}\) as well as providing an abundance of research reports and data highlights\(^{141}\) related to student equity, success, and achievement.

Between the end of the previous accreditation cycle and the start of the COVID-19 pandemic in spring 2019, the IRPIE Office continued its intentional and iterative development of data resources to enhance engagement with student success data. These data included 22 new and
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interactive Tableau data visualizations since 2014, along with an average of 62 surveys and 30 research briefs and reports each year to facilitate the College’s engagement with meaningful data. To that end, the IRPIE Office launched a Data Labs program in spring 2019 as part of the first phase of establishing a Data Coaching Model with faculty data coaches.\textsuperscript{142, 143} The purposes of the Data Labs and coaching sessions are to increase awareness and use of institutional, state and federal data to inform planning efforts. In fall 2020, faculty data coaches participated in a collaborative, cross-department team program review training on accessing and using data, for other department chairs with Business Services, student learning outcomes faculty coordinators, Center for Excellence in Teaching faculty coordinators, program review faculty coordinators and IRPIE staff.

Concurrent with the College’s deliberative and data-informed update of its Strategic Plan to focus even more intentionally on student outcomes and equity, the College began a substantial review of its enrollment management planning. The result of this work was an Enrollment Management Plan aligned with college goals focused on increasing access for all students, promoting equity mindedness and impact, and enhancing student success. The Enrollment Management Plan goal notes that the plan “aligns closely with the Strategic Plan...[and] to that end, the Enrollment Management Plan will focus on Access, Equity, and Success.”\textsuperscript{144} As a result of high-quality instruction, student services, and an integrated college focus on student success, the Enrollment Management Plan demonstrates sustained, substantive and collegial dialogue about student outcomes, equity, and educational quality as part of the College’s ongoing institutional effectiveness work.

The College engages in ongoing dialogue about supporting students, faculty and staff through its committee meetings, town halls, and podcast-style talks. The 2020-21 academic year amplified the need to create opportunities for coordinating student and employee town halls on social justice and anti-racism efforts. In 2020-21, numerous dialogues took place beginning with a student town hall that more than 100 people attended through Zoom as part of the (IE)\textsuperscript{2} committee’s annual retreat.\textsuperscript{145} The (IE)\textsuperscript{2} retreat focused on providing a safe space for dialogue about historical, systemic and institutional racism. The (IE)\textsuperscript{2} committee and the College committed to hearing from Black students on their lived experiences. Originally, the committee planned to resume work on the Canyons Completes guided pathways action plan at the June retreat but rescheduled that dialogue due to this critical topic.

The College committed to focusing on civil unrest in the nation by honoring Black students, faculty, and staff. The campus community recognized that there is a tremendous opportunity to hold space for these important dialogues and reflect on what practitioners at the College can do as individuals to affect change within college spheres of influence. As part of this work, the College responded to Chancellor Oakley’s Call to Action for the California Community Colleges in June 2020. The College drafted a local Call to Action Plan and formed a Call to Action Coalition to ensure continued progress and institutionalization of the District’s commitment to
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diversity, equity, inclusion and anti-racism efforts. In addition, a Multicultural Center was created with the goal of increasing equity.

Guided Pathways illustrates the College’s sustained dialogue on student outcomes, equity, and improvement as part of its commitment to improving student learning and achievement. The Canyons Completes framework for guided pathways is designed to facilitate positive movement towards completion of degrees, certificates, and skills building courses for students through improved programs, processes, and services. Canyons Completes dialogues, planning, and improvement plans occur regularly through the work of the (IE)² committee and the CPT. However, the tendrils of Canyons Completes extend completely through College committees and efforts, as an overall institutional redesign approach to continuously improving student outcomes.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College is proud of its substantial dialogue about student learning and achievement in keeping with its strategic plan goals of access, engagement, and success, undergirded by a foundation of student equity. These dialogues accompany equivalently rich analyses of institutional effectiveness and student learning as demonstrated through committees, program planning, and other venues. An integrated planning system for the College via program review is part of effective structures and procedures that support engagement, evaluation, and improvement. Student equity, student success, and the guided pathway framework are deeply discussed within college committees with particular focus by the (IE)² committee and related groups, such as the Equity Minded Practitioners group and student alliances. Equity, success, and pathways dialogues about student outcomes are also frequently a part of Academic Senate discussions, Board meetings, and CPT agendas.

2. The institution defines and assesses student learning outcomes for all instructional programs and student and learning support services. (ER 11)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College defines learning outcomes for all academic programs and administrative units, including student and learning support services. As part of the curriculum development and approval process, course student learning outcomes (CSLOs) are created and approved as part of each official course outline of record (COR). A Chemistry Course Outline is provided in the footnote, as an example of a CSLO. The curriculum committee also approves program learning outcomes for all certificates and degrees. A Land Surveying degree is included as an example of a Program Student Learning Outcome (PSLO). Several additional examples are provided in the footnotes demonstrating that the College provides students with program-level learning outcomes for both certificates and degrees. These are available through the College
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Catalog,\textsuperscript{152} on school and academic department websites,\textsuperscript{153} in the eLumen program pages, and on academic program maps.\textsuperscript{154} Examples are also provided in the footnotes showing that administrative areas, including academic and student support programs, create and assess administrative unit outcomes (AUOs), which include outcomes and other measures of success.\textsuperscript{155} Assessment methods are developed by faculty, approved through the curriculum committee, and outlined on the course outline of record for each course.\textsuperscript{156}

The College has established a history of regular and systematic learning outcomes assessment for all course, program, and institutional SLOs and AUOs. Academic departments and administrative programs at the College complete program review planning documents.\textsuperscript{157} As covered more thoroughly in Standard II.A.2, in completing annual program reviews, departments engage in reflective dialogue about SLOs,\textsuperscript{158} department and institutional outcomes data, and the completion of department objectives tied to the College’s strategic goals.\textsuperscript{159} In 2018-19, tracking of SLOs and Institutional SLOs transitioned to eLumen while AUOs continued to be embedded as a modifiable table within the locally developed online program review module. This transition and the migration of data between the CurricUNET assessment database and eLumen impacted and delayed the ability to capture assessment results at the Course and Program levels. Consequently, the College turned its efforts to aligning course SLOs to institutional SLOs within eLumen and experienced significant successes.\textsuperscript{160} Through ongoing professional development,\textsuperscript{161} faculty are regularly trained to complete assessments in the new system; however, the College is still working with eLumen to address issues regarding data input and extraction as well as the disaggregation of SLO results at the course level. The College has dedicated an SLO coordinator within the Instruction Office to assist with this process. While the original goal was to assess and place in eLumen all course and program SLOs by fall 2020, due to the challenges noted above and the impact of the pandemic, the revised goal is now spring 2022.

Assessment results are collected and analyzed at the program level. For example, the Early Childhood Education program worked to create both signature assignments to assess the course SLOs in all their courses and six key assessments to evaluate the program SLOs and professional program standards from their external accrediting body. Data are collected from assessments of student performance and discussed department-wide with full- and part-time faculty to determine what changes need to be made. These may include changes such as how assignments are scaffolded to ensure they are accurate, authentic assessments; curricular improvements to ensure students are gaining appropriate foundational knowledge with the ability to apply it; and modifications across the program of study to address potential gaps in preparing students for the field. Additionally, to help improve student learning and assessment, the College has adopted and dedicated human and fiscal resources toward implementation of an ePortfolio platform,
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PebblePad,\textsuperscript{162} which departments can adopt to use for program-level outcomes assessment. Significant planning and faculty training have taken place since 2020 to facilitate the institutional roll out of this platform as a means to document and assess attainment of SLOs.\textsuperscript{163}

The College implemented a Data Coaching module in 2019-20 with IRPIE staff training faculty to assist their colleagues with accessing, understanding and using institutional and external data to inform their planning and decision-making processes.\textsuperscript{164} This training includes a partnership between IRPIE staff, faculty data coaches, and the Committee for Assessing Student Learning (CASL) to include SLO data.

Analysis and Evaluation

To inform students, the College has (for more than a decade), been publishing course and program level outcomes in a variety of locations and publications, including the College website, the College Catalog, academic program maps, and other locations. The College has a history of focusing on improvement of student learning through outcomes assessment in all academic programs and academic and student support service areas. This work is done in an established three-year cycle to coincide with the three-year program review and planning process.

3. The institution establishes institution-set standards for student achievement, appropriate to its mission, assesses how well it is achieving them in pursuit of continuous improvement, and publishes this information. (ER 11)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College has historically set standards for student achievement, reflected in past accountability metrics. Prior to establishment of the Performance Indicators sub-committee (a sub-committee of the CPT), the College reviewed data for the Accountability Report for Community Colleges (ARCC) in response to AB 1417 (2004-2012). ARCC data were reviewed through the CPT, which included representatives from constituent groups. The data were presented to the Board annually.\textsuperscript{165} The Performance Indicators committee shifted its focus for data review to the Student Success Scorecard between 2012-2018 as ARCC evolved into a new accountability framework. With establishment of the (IE)\textsuperscript{2} committee as a sub-committee of the CPT, the Performance Indicators sub-committee merged its efforts with the (IE)\textsuperscript{2} committee which now serves as the lead for reviewing these data and establishing the initial targets and goals before they are taken to the CPT and constituent groups. The (IE)\textsuperscript{2} committee’s responsibly shifted focus from prior Student Success Scorecard metrics to the Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative (IEPI) metrics from 2015-2018. It now further monitors and reviews the Student Success Metrics and Vision for Success 2022 goals according to the CCCCO data metrics and accountability frameworks.\textsuperscript{166, 167}

Since Fall 2018, in concert with the update to the 2019-22 Strategic Plan, the College has utilized a local goal-setting process workgroup through the CPT and the IRPIE office to propose targets.
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for the College’s new Strategic Plan goals, aligned with the CCCCO Vision 2022 goals.\textsuperscript{168} The goals were appropriate to the mission and referenced in strategic planning documents. These local goals were first drafted through this workgroup and the CPT subcommittee, (IE)\textsuperscript{2}, presented in draft form to CPT in Fall 2018 and then routed through the governance process in Spring 2019 and presented again to CPT.\textsuperscript{169} This process culminated in presentation and adoption of these local goals, concurrent with the Student Equity and Achievement Plan goals, at a Board meeting on May 8, 2019.\textsuperscript{170}

Data metrics tracked as part of the Student Equity & Achievement (SEA) Plan, and Local Goal Setting process are aligned with the CCCCO Vision for Success goals and integrated with the College mission statement, Strategic Plan 2019-22, and Canyons Completes guided pathways data.\textsuperscript{171} The SEA and Local Goal Setting data along with the College’s 2021-22 goals are publicly available on the IRPIE website.\textsuperscript{172} The integration of the SEA, Local Goal Setting alignment with the CCCCO Vision for Success 2022 goals, Strategic Plan 2019-2022 and Canyons Completes guided pathways is illustrated in a compelling, two-page graphic, locally referred to as the “placemat.”\textsuperscript{173} The placemat presents an integrated view of the success strategies for the College’s guided pathways efforts and their alignment with the College’s strategic goals of access, engagement and success with a foundation of equity. The placemat also showcases the Canyons Completes measurable goals and includes a visually arresting lightbulb icon to denote notable progress updates on these goals.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College has an established process for engaging constituent groups in the review of student and institutional outcomes data on an annual basis. This process begins with review and dialogue within the (IE)\textsuperscript{2} committee, then goes to the CPT, Academic Senate, Classified Senate, and ASG for further review and dialogue. These reviews and dialogues inform modifications to established targets and strategies for achieving the targets. At the conclusion of the review period, an update is presented to the Board and additional input taken into consideration through dialogue with the Trustees.

4. The institution uses assessment data and organizes its institutional processes to support student learning and student achievement.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

College of the Canyons supports student learning and achievement through strategic use of assessment data. The College defines institution-set standards for student achievement, and then it monitors and updates these standards regularly.\textsuperscript{174} Annual program planning and review further links student learning with College planning and operations, with assessment data used to develop program objectives linked to one or more of the College’s strategic plan goals. As the document from the 2020-2021 Program Review SLO Prompts demonstrates, academic programs
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engage in rich, meaningful reflection and dialogue around SLO results. For example, the Business program noted (in a loop-closing process in 2019) that recent discussions had focused on increasing the SLO pass rate by making the assessment a graded component of the course, designing the assessment to authentically assess the SLO. Other discussions included utilizing high-impact educational practices like videos, student survey tools, student self-assessment tools, classroom simulations, and classroom tools to promote higher student engagement and to improve student success. They noted a need for faculty training and support to implement these engaging educational practices, including supplemental services for the training. Many other examples exist within this evidence, demonstrating an institutional culture of learning outcomes assessment and reflection to improve teaching and learning across the College.

Assessment data play a significant part in the development of college planning intended to support student success. IRPIE provides a variety of data-on-demand resources, research materials, and data visualizations on core student achievement measures. The use of interactive visualizations, coupled with concierge “data coach” training of department chairs by IRPIE staff, provide additional means to interact with and disaggregate relevant assessment data and support engagement and related planning efforts.

The College uses assessment data from collegewide standards to support meaningful improvements to student learning and achievement. For example, the College’s (IE)² committee employs a well-established structure to review data, engage in detailed dialogue about gaps and opportunities, and apply these findings to student-improving action according to recurring (IE)² student success workplans. With guidance from CPT, (IE)² holistically examines assessment data from initial student application all the way to completion. Through this process, the College first established Canyons Completes as the overarching strategy for guided pathways and improvement of student success, according to noted gaps in completion data during these analyses.

In practice, regular review, use, and applied engagement with assessment data has created a culture of student improvement that powers the student success work of redesign efforts, such as Canyons Completes, widely known as the College’s integrated guided pathways approach to closing achievement and equity gaps. A visual overview document known as the “placemat” summarizes the alignment of goals with the Strategic Plan, Student Equity and Achievement Plan, and Local Goal Setting alongside of expected outcomes. The ongoing Canyons Completes Action Plan is an example of the regular use of assessment data to organize college processes and support of student success, and this document and related work builds on the prior momentum of the Scale of Adoption Assessment (SOAA) for guided pathways which likewise employed the robust use of assessment data alongside institutional improvement plans.

Overall, the College uses assessment data to identify what is needed to support student learning,
the student experience, and student success goals connected to its mission and develops action plans to address the identified needs.

Analysis and Evaluation

College of the Canyons utilizes thorough assessment data to improve institutional planning and student outcomes. The program design, planning, and review process through program review links objectives, research and assessment data, resource requests, and college strategic plan goals in an institutionally organized and integrated process designed to support student learning and achievement.

Institutional Effectiveness

5. The institution assesses accomplishment of its mission through program review and evaluation of goals and objectives, student learning outcomes, and student achievement. Quantitative and qualitative data are disaggregated for analysis by program type and mode of delivery.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

To support attainment of its mission, the College engages in a comprehensive, systematic, and continuous evaluation of its strategic goals, student achievement metrics, and student learning outcomes. This evaluation informs the planning and resource allocation process. All academic programs and administrative units engage in a robust three-year program review process with annual updates to evaluate program effectiveness and determine how well each is achieving the mission of the College.183 As illustrated within the College’s program review, each academic program and administrative area also has an established departmental mission that aligns with the College’s mission, featured prominently at the beginning of the program review process. Both academic184 and administrative185 programs engage in a review process that includes the establishment and assessment of mission, program goals, and three-year objectives.186 All department-level objectives are also directly linked to one of the College’s three strategic plan goals, providing an annual opportunity at the end of each program review process to review accomplishments and objectives by goal. Planning bodies such as the CPT review the summarized new objectives and new accomplishments from program review according to college goals as part of a summative assessment, gap analysis, and discussion of alignment of efforts.187 Additionally, all programs and areas are prompted to connect their program review planning to the Educational and Facilities Master Plan using an integrated link embedded within program review.188

The process asks all programs to reflect on external and internal factors impacting their programs and consider data trends to identify program improvements.189 It asks academic programs to examine those factors and trends to identify, assess, and improve student achievement outcomes and address gaps in retention, course success, completion, and transfer. Deeper detail is provided
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in II.A.2. Evaluation and analysis results in reflection and action to improve student success, as demonstrated in the Chemistry program review.\(^{190}\) The Chemistry department made major curricular and instructional changes to both the lecture and lab portions of the Chemistry 201 class based on Course SLO assessment results. This is just one of many examples of faculty regularly engaging in discussions and implementing action plans related to assessment results.

Program review forms also ask academic programs to reflect on context and data, including data disaggregation. Program review training prompts include reminders to review longitudinal data (e.g., changes in headcount, class sizes/sections, changes in demographics), compare department trends (to other departments, prior years, and the College overall), triangulate with other data to tell a fuller story, and assess how data relate to other outcomes (e.g., SLOs, new/archived courses, labor market and external factors). See I.B.4 and II.A.2 for additional information.

Other sections of program review include reflection and analysis of SLOs and AUOs, and a Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, Threats (SWOT) analysis. Administrative units also identify, assess, and reflect on the results of AUOs to improve service. Programs document continuous quality improvement through the annual online program review process and engage in dialogue as appropriate at department and division meetings.\(^{191}\)

Within program review, academic programs also evaluate the viability of their curriculum, scheduling, and enrollment management data (such as fill rates and enrollment patterns).\(^{192}\) Faculty, staff, and administrators use Tableau visualizations embedded as links within the program review, and available separately on the IRPIE website, to evaluate and disaggregate these data.\(^{193}\) Additionally, the process asks academic programs to reflect on critical questions related to both course and program SLO assessment such as:

- How have course SLO and/or PSLO assessment results influenced specific changes in your department?
- How have these changes positively impacted student learning, achievement, and institutional effectiveness?
- When learning outcomes (see eLumen) are provided/available for subpopulations of students (sex, ethnicity, or age), reflect on the results, any identified gaps, and plans to address them.

Academic programs’ reflection and analysis demonstrate that faculty, staff, and administrators are focused on using assessment results to improve student learning and success in the classroom.\(^{194}\)

For disproportionate impact, program review training and data coach training have specifically emphasized the need to review when one or more groups of students attain an outcome at a rate that is substantially lower than the benchmark rate. Differences in educational outcomes between subgroups of students may suggest that some groups have less access to support services or key resources. Analysis of these differences may indicate the need for changes to support services.
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and resources and the need to further address obstacles in order to close gaps in student learning. These trainings are coupled with detailed resources from the IRPIE office, facilitating review and evaluation of objectives, learning, and disproportionate impact analysis. IRPIE has made available powerful and interactive data tools, including Tableau disproportionate impact visualizations under the Academic Program Review Success & Retention tab. The visualizations include obvious green checkmarks noting when no initial disproportionate impact was detected along with red exclamation points where potential disproportionate impact was identified.

IRPIE resources make further disaggregation by program type and mode of delivery available, including a new interactive visualization tool provided to compare retention and success rates prior to and after the impact of COVID-19, with disaggregation by letter grade, mode of delivery, or other filters. In addition, the College examines student outcomes by delivery mode and makes these results available to the Board and to the public.

Analysis and Evaluation

College of the Canyons utilizes an integrated process for program design, planning, and review to demonstrate thorough, regular assessment of instruction and services as part of the overall effectiveness of the College. The program review process and comprehensive data resources enable assessment of accomplishment of the mission and evaluation of goals and progress including important disaggregation. More evidence of planning and program improvements resulting from the program review process is documented in Standard II.A.2.

6. The institution disaggregates and analyzes learning outcomes and achievement for subpopulations of students. When the institution identifies performance gaps, it implements strategies, which may include allocation or reallocation of human, fiscal and other resources, to mitigate those gaps and evaluates the efficacy of those strategies.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

Disaggregated data are essential to identifying and addressing disproportionate impact on student subpopulations in order to achieve the College’s mission. The College is committed to addressing these gaps as part of its Canyons Completes guided pathways efforts and its Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Anti-racism focus. The College highlighted these efforts in its Strategic Plan as commitments to student access, engagement, and success. The College applies robust and diverse approaches to the disaggregation, analysis, and dissemination of student achievement and success data for student subpopulations to inform strategies to close gaps based on its strategic goals.

Academic departments have access to disaggregated achievement data in the Program Review Retention/Success Tableau data visualizations and are asked to analyze and reflect on that data, developing action steps within program review (see I.B.5). Departments can review disproportionate impact for courses. Equity gaps and areas of disproportionate impact are
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addressed through department meetings and the Annual Program Review process, as well as comprehensively discussed through the CPT and through its (IE)\textsuperscript{2} subcommittee. The College provides substantial professional development opportunities focused on creating a more inclusive learning environment. For example, the Online Education Office shares best practices in the online learning environment, and the Center for Excellence in Teaching and Learning (CETL) offers courses such as Culturally Responsive Teaching. One of the College’s sociology professors developed and disseminated a handbook of pedagogical materials titled, Culturally Responsive Teaching, which led to a presentation of best practices at an (IE)\textsuperscript{2} Committee meeting and also led to ongoing professional development programs provided by the author.

Additionally, the annual spring convocations have provided faculty resources needed to help develop inclusive, supportive classroom learning environments. The College has hosted many presentations and conversations on diversity, equity and inclusion (DEI), and antiracism led by experts such as Jeff Duncan-Andrade, Veronica Keiffer-Lewis, and Tyronne Howard. By expanding its Equity-Minded definition, the College increased awareness and understanding of how to support historically marginalized students and disproportionately impacted populations through anti-racism-focused and equity-minded dialogues and town halls. Faculty data coaches also provide support for reviewing, interpreting and using disaggregated course and program data.

As noted in I.B.2, College personnel have engaged in discussions through the CPT related to the disaggregation of student success data for decades and with respect to SLO data since 2014. The College continues to work with eLumen to overcome technical issues to generate SLO reports that can provide disaggregated course-level student learning outcomes data. Currently, the suppression of subpopulation data at the course-level is manual and creates delays in generating and providing these reports to course/assessment coordinators and faculty, although the information is still available to faculty and staff for planning.

IRPIE conducted a data session in conjunction with the Center of Excellence for Teaching and Learning. The session was part of a practice, facilitated by CETL, to review, collaborate, and improve efforts and dialogues on the use of data between data coaches and faculty. This work facilitates ongoing review of program-level and college level disaggregation alongside strategic
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plan goals, many of which are also disaggregated by student subpopulation and/or modality within the objectives.

IRPIE maintains and updates a visually succinct disproportionate impact data “heat map” to facilitate analysis of outcomes and achievement for subpopulations of students.214, 215, 216 These data are utilized at the college level and program-specific level to inform program objectives and resource requests.217

As noted in I.A.2, I.B.9, and I.B.3 resource allocation requests, including staffing requests, are fully integrated with the program design, planning, and review cycle and used to respond to disaggregated outcomes and achievement data.218 Activities such as those taken by student success teams demonstrate interventions that are informed by the heat map and the College’s Student Equity and Achievement Plan.219 For example, in winter 2021 the College launched its Student Success Team focused on supporting the College’s most disproportionately impacted student population, Black students.220 The SEA plan as noted above also included targeted student support and staffing aligned with identified disproportionate impact gaps and student needs. The College has also periodically presented its integrated planning and resource allocation model, highlighting the effective use of the planning and resource allocation process to address student needs.221

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College disaggregates learning and achievement data for student populations, analyzes results, addresses gaps, and uses these data for program review and improvement. Interactive data visualization tools embedded within program review enable course, program, and college level disaggregation of student populations, modalities, and a variety of filter formats to identify student performance gaps and accompanying strategies to close those gaps.

7. The institution regularly evaluates its policies and practices across all areas of the institution, including instructional programs, student and learning support services, resource management, and governance processes to assure their effectiveness in supporting academic quality and accomplishment of mission.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

College of the Canyons regularly evaluates and enhances its policies, procedures, and practices, both systematically and per initiative taken by respective campus constituencies. This is done for institutional programs, student and learning support systems, resource management, and governance processes. The College subscribes to the Policy & Procedure Service offered by the Community College League of California (CCLC).222 The service provides the College and other subscribing institutions access to attorney-vetted model policies and procedures, which are
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consistent with applicable California and federal statutory and regulatory requirements. CCLC updates model policies and procedures each April and November, and the College routinely uses the model policies and procedures to consider, evaluate, and revise its own policies and procedures. Appendix H in the College’s Decision Making Guide (provided in full detail in the evidence below) outlines an eight-step process by which the College regularly reviews its existing policies and procedures and/or adopts new policies. The Decision Making Guide says that the CCLC model documents “will serve as a starting point” for the development of new or revised policies.

The first and second step of the Guide’s process describe how members of various constituent groups can initiate new or updated policies or procedures and how the proposals are submitted and moved through thorough review on a path toward acceptance or rejection. During step two, proposals relating to “academic and profession matters,” as outlined in AB 1725, are submitted to the Academic Senate where an additional multistep process takes place. In step three, the College Policy Council (CPC), a collegial consultation committee, considers the appropriateness of the proposals. CPC’s detailed Operating Procedures allow input from all campus constituencies and create other democratic standards for endorsement of proposed new or updated policies and procedures. Step four through eight move proposals through endorsement, modification or reconsideration to the final step where they may be adopted by the Board through two readings. New or revised administrative procedures can become operational without Board approval when endorsed by the CPC.

As stated above, whenever a new or proposed policy or procedure falls within the Academic Senate’s purview, Step two requires that it be considered by the Academic Senate before advancing through the approval process. The Academic Senate, through its by-laws and practices, has established a five-step process for its own work on such proposals. Proposals are routed to the Policy Review Committee (PRC), a subcommittee of the Academic Senate, for detailed analysis and consideration. Under the Academic Senate’s by-laws, the chair of the PRC is one of the Senate’s officers and is responsible for, among other things, presenting an annual report to the Academic Senate on matters pertaining to policy and procedure, transmitting policy and procedure proposals to the full Senate for consideration, and advocating for the Senate’s views regarding proposals pending before CPC.

As with CPC, the PRC’s review involves consideration of relevant laws and related policies and procedures. PRC committee members meet with all campus groups and constituencies having an interest in the proposal, as appropriate, to find mutual agreement and address any disagreements or conflicts. If the PRC endorses a proposed new or revised policy or procedure, the proposal is forwarded to the full Academic Senate for debate and consideration. The PRC regularly reviews 10–20 policies and procedures in great detail each academic year.

---
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Senate’s by-laws, proposals for a new or updated policy or procedure must be considered at two separate meetings.

Annual and ongoing committee evaluations are another means of regularly evaluating practices to support academic quality, effectiveness, and the mission. Through the Committee on Committees (a sub-committee of the CPT chaired by the Chancellor), the IRPIE Office and committee chairs have supported the regular distribution of surveys to participants to evaluate operations, inform and refine goals, and enhance coordination and communication efforts.\(^\text{229}\) In addition to surveys, the College has identified ways to improve coordination and communication between committees and working groups while linking committee work to Guided Pathways and Student Success.\(^\text{230}\) The College also reviews and adjusts planning results, including annual program review, as an opportunity to engage with planning objectives, accomplishments, and needs as part of integrated planning processes and ongoing institutional effectiveness.\(^\text{231}\) The online program review template provides an opportunity for those completing the process to annually provide responses to three questions about the program review process for continuous improvement:

1. What did you like about the online program planning and review?
2. What did you dislike about the online program planning and review?
3. What changes would you suggest to the online program planning and review for next year?

Additionally, the Committee on Assessment of Student Learning (CASL)/Program Review Committee seeks input from faculty, staff, and administrators annually to make improvements to the program review process.\(^\text{232}\)

As noted in I.B.4, I.B.5, and I.B.6 and discussed in the Decision-Making Guide, the program review cycle thoroughly integrates objectives, resource requests, student data, and college goals and this process is reviewed regularly by the PRC.\(^\text{233}\) These opportunities to continually review and improve planning and program review support effectiveness. The impacts of ongoing planning and evaluation are discussed annually through the CPT as a means to ensure responsive planning and review.\(^\text{235}\) Feedback is available for all through the program review process; plans are continually updated; participation is used through committees; and as noted in AP 3250, there are clear opportunities to be involved in plan formulation and plan review.\(^\text{236}\)

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College uses a review process to ensure policies and practices are evaluated for effectiveness as described in the Decision Making Guide. The review process includes input from all constituent groups represented in the CPT and the President’s Advisory Council on Budget, as well as input from other committees and planning groups including the Instructional Deans

\(^{229}\) Committee on Committees
\(^{230}\) Committee on Committees 2019
\(^{231}\) College Planning Team Program Review Planning Objectives and Accomplishments Report
\(^{232}\) CASL-PR Minutes 3.24.21
\(^{233}\) CASL-PR Committee 05.12.21
\(^{234}\) CASL-PR Committee 04.14.21
\(^{235}\) College Planning Team Plan Integration
\(^{236}\) Administrative Procedure 3250: Institutional Planning
Council, Management Advisory Council, Academic Senate, Classified Senate, and the CASL/Program Review committee.

8. The institution broadly communicates the results of all of its assessment and evaluation activities so that the institution has a shared understanding of its strengths and weaknesses and sets appropriate priorities.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College regularly communicates evaluation reports and analyses with the campus community. Communication and analysis of institution-set standards is embedded throughout the College’s website, research reports, Fact Book, planning documents such as the Strategic Plan and associated annual updates, among other sources. Other forums, such as the Instructional Advisory Council, CPT, Opening Day, Chancellor’s webinars, and Convocation include communication and discussion of these materials with broad campus representation. The spring 2021 convocation included detailed review and discussion of improving assessment in online and online-live classes, instructional design strategies to support teaching and learning, and discussion of student engagement and support strategies informed by evaluation. The IRPIE Office also conducts college wide webinars to review findings, such as student and staff surveys.

The CPT shares related materials to inform planning and effectiveness with the campus community, including updated goals and activities. CPT consists of campus-wide representation and serves as a venue through which the College collectively assesses, plans, and evaluates how and in what ways the College is achieving its mission and strategic goals. Additionally, as noted in I.B.6, the (IE)² Committee—a cross functional subcommittee of CPT—examines student achievement data and the results of assessment and evaluation activities to evaluate strengths and weaknesses and set appropriate priorities.

College priorities are based on the planning activities of instructional, student services, fiscal, human resources, and research areas, and the College also considers external community, state, and national factors that impact institutional planning. CPT promotes coordination among collegial consultation committees, ensures that policies and procedures are considered and discussed, and works to integrate the goals, objectives, and action plans of other committees and work units into overall strategic planning and budgeting processes. The College updates the Strategic Plan every three years,

---
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informed by annual program plans and the regular assessment and evaluation of student needs that roll up into updated goals and objectives facilitated by this review.

The Chancellor and faculty co-chair of CPT ensure that CPT discussions, agendas, and planning efforts are strategic, well-coordinated, and are clearly communicated as part of college improvement efforts to respond to opportunities and enhance the success of students. CPT consistently addresses timely topics, relevant planning objectives, and the integration of efforts with planning priorities under the college mission. CPT solicits input from all constituents; clearly communicates its planning goals; shares internal and external opportunities; puts data front and center in college dialogue; and ensures that student equity and success objectives are clearly stated and worked on by relevant college groups.

Multiple planning retreat venues have provided opportunities for CPT to thoroughly review plans and processes, discuss strategies, and address meaningful ideas to achieve college goals and objectives. CPT was a source of excitement and engagement during the 2019-20 year as its work centered around an updated Strategic Plan, assessing relevant student outcomes, and identifying new opportunities. With great flexibility, even in the midst of COVID-19 virtualization of some instruction and services, CPT meetings and retreats further aligned student success and equity efforts, guided pathways, enrollment management strategies, and data-driven research in order to help the College continue to be a model for innovation and institutional effectiveness.

Analysis and Evaluation

College of the Canyons shares institutional assessment and evaluation results with the campus community, utilizing a thorough program review process completed annually by all college programs. Program review materials are shared through program and department meetings and forums, as well as posted electronically. The CPT annually presents summarized objectives and accomplishments of these plans, and the IRPIE Office updates course data used to further support discussion on college strengths, opportunities, and priorities.

9. The institution engages in continuous, broad based, systematic evaluation and planning. The institution integrates program review, planning, and resource allocation into a comprehensive process that leads to accomplishment of its mission and improvement of institutional effectiveness and academic quality. Institutional planning addresses short- and long-range needs for educational programs and services and for human, physical, technology, and financial resources. (ER 19)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College utilizes a comprehensive approach to ensure that planning, including for programs, services, and resources, is aligned with and leads to improvement of effectiveness and quality, and to accomplishment of the College’s mission. The Decision Making Guide clearly and thoroughly outlines the cycle of evaluation and planning, the process for systematic evaluation, and who is responsible by position or group. Program review, planning, and resource allocation

250 College Planning Team January 2021 Retreat - Connecting Our Work draft
251 Strategic Planning Retreat PPT 12.02.19
are integrated within a comprehensive process supporting the mission and improvement of institutional effectiveness and academic quality.\textsuperscript{252}

Figure G-1: Comprehensive & Integrated Planning Model

As noted in the Decision-Making Guide, the conceptual model pictured above describes key elements of integrated planning at the College, including integration with resource allocation, the use of regular assessment, and broad participation. Plans represented in the conceptual model support progress on institution-set standards.

\textsuperscript{252} Decision Making Guide Extract
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The College’s mission is placed at the pinnacle of this process, which paves the way for the Strategic Plan and the associated three goals of access, engagement, and success. The Educational & Facilities Master plan informs and is informed by this Strategic Plan, and it contains detailed needs and projections from annual program review planning. College committees, divisions, and planning groups incorporate these goals and objectives into their planning efforts, with ongoing analysis, assessment, and improvement facilitated by dialogue and the use of data.

In 2018, and throughout the 2018-19 year into the fall semester, the College embarked on a substantial update to the prior strategic plan. Through a series of retreats, CPT meetings, campus and workgroup meetings, a faculty, staff, administrative, and student group drafted a new plan.253 The prior strategic plan listed goals in twelve broad categories, while the new plan intentionally focused on three new primary goals: access, engagement, & success. Prior goals were reimagined as foundational institutional commitments, forming the scaffolding of necessary preconditions to be able to successfully address and achieve the updated access, engagement, and success goals and fulfill the mission of the College.

Developed locally, the three new focus goals were intentionally aligned with the CCCCO Vision for Success, and closely connected to other planning efforts including the SEA plan, local goal-setting efforts, and the Enrollment Management Plan. The updated Strategic Plan—in tandem with the aforementioned planning documents—formed a body of planning materials focused on student success needs at the College connected to the mission. The CPT, with representation from student government, faculty, classified staff and administration, continues to engage in annual dialogue on integration of planning efforts and evaluation of progress towards these goals.254, 255, 256

In Spring 2019, the Board of Trustees approved Local Goal Setting Targets and the SEA plan in alignment with the CCCCO Vision for Success, and additionally the Board received the finalized Strategic plan. The College’s Strategic Plan continues a strong tradition of integrated planning, while also updating and focusing planning efforts in response to emergent opportunities and priorities. As noted, the Strategic Plan was aligned with the CCCCO Vision for Success goals, but also uniquely crafted by the College to address local needs. The planners established the key focus goals of access, engagement, and success with measurable objectives to guide the development of activities to support the plan. They intentionally crafted this plan to be a living, usable document and it has been referenced regularly throughout college wide committee and planning discussions, as well as being fully integrated into the program design, planning, and review process.

The CPT is an example of broad participation in planning and evaluation at the College, as this umbrella decision-making group consists of college wide representatives and is a venue for collective assessment, planning, and evaluation of the ways the College is achieving its mission, purpose, and strategic goals. As noted in the Decision Making Guide and on the committee website, CPT discusses, receives input on, and strategically addresses agenda items such the
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Strategic Plan; the College’s local guided pathways priorities, Canyons Completes; the CCCCO Scale of Adoption Assessment; program planning results and materials; committee effectiveness; and institutional data.257, 258, 259

The College uses the Strategic Plan and other planning documents to focus work on making a difference for students and attending to their needs. The 29 specific, measurable objectives under the three strategic plan goals address student needs. Data are disaggregated for student populations while using an equity lens tied to the mission. The College is proud of a long history of innovative integrated planning that helps the institution approach change and the future with optimism, eagerness, and strong purpose, while remaining nimble and responsive as conditions continue to change. These flexible abilities helped the College during the unexpected challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic, by ensuring the related student success work—such as the Canyons Completes workgroups of (IE)²—are able to remain focused on contemporary student needs and challenges.260, 261

Instruction, Business Services, Institutional Research, and Student Services work closely with faculty and staff to demonstrate institutional integration of planning and resource allocation within comprehensive processes that support the College's mission and student learning.262 Budget parameters established each year through the College’s governance structure highlight not only the College’s understanding of the Student Centered Funding Formula, but also a variety of best practices for the College’s integrated planning processes through the Strategic Plan, enrollment management, attendance accounting, guided pathways, and equity efforts.

Analysis and Evaluation

Thoughtful, regular, student-focused planning helps the College to make progress on student success goals in accordance with planning priorities and institutional mission. Planning is robust, regular, participative, and thoroughly communicated using up-to-date institutional data. Comprehensive planning at the College is focused on the mission. Strategic planning processes utilize clear objectives and priorities, and employ regular evaluation, integrating with research and analysis, and responding to College and system conditions.

Conclusions on Standard I.B. Academic Quality and Institutional Effectiveness

College of the Canyons sustains institutional effectiveness and academic quality through effective integrated planning tools and program review processes. Student learning outcomes are defined and assessed for courses, programs, services, and also on the institutional level. Data and assessment results inform program design and planning through the annual program review process, which is integrated with resource requests and allocations. Overall planning and assessment are driven by data, including disaggregation of student groups, learning modalities, and other strategies to identify gaps and target improvements according to the principles of equity and student success. Program review objectives and resource requests are all mapped to college strategic plan goals within a nexus of integrated planning driven by the College’s
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mission, organized by planning documents, and informed by ongoing dialogue and the use of data for improvement.

Improvement Plan(s)

None.

Evidence List

- I.B.1.1
- I.B.1.2
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- I.B.6.20
- I.B.6.21
- I.B.6.22
- I.B.6.23
- I.B.6.24
- I.B.6.25
- I.B.6.26
- I.B.6.27
- I.B.6.28
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- I.B.6.37
- I.B.6.38
- I.B.6.39
- I.B.6.40
- I.B.6.41
- I.B.6.42
- I.B.6.43
- I.B.6.44
- I.B.6.45
- I.B.6.46
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- I.B.6.48
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- I.B.7.1
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C. Institutional Integrity

1. The institution assures the clarity, accuracy, and integrity of information provided to students and prospective students, personnel, and all persons or organizations related to its mission statement, learning outcomes, educational programs, and student support services. The institution gives accurate information to students and the public about its accreditation status with all of its accreditors. (ER 20)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College provides clear and accurate information to students, staff, prospective students, and the community, utilizing multiple channels, media and materials such as the College’s website, catalog, accreditation website, brochures, the public information office’s website, newsletters, news releases and publications, institutional research materials, the instructional website and more. The College’s mission statement is located prominently on its website, on campus posters, in publications and in the catalog. The catalog also includes program learning outcomes, educational programs, and student support services. Staff and administrators in the Instruction, Student Services, and Public Information Offices fully and carefully review and verify the accuracy of the catalog. The website, catalog, MyCanyons student portal and Canyons Mobile app serve as primary means of relaying information to students, staff, and the community along with social media and electronic communications.

The College provides information about educational programs through curriculum updates for courses and programs submitted to the Board. As noted in I.B.2, the catalog also includes comprehensive information on educational programs for students, staff, prospective students, and the community. The websites for Instruction, Counseling, and each of the academic school divisions further clarify the programs and pathways available for students.

The College’s website comprehensively presents student support services information, including a variety of useful summary websites that integrate key, actionable information for students in a summary form. To assist students, these provide important enrollment information, updates on financial aid processes, scholarships, program opportunities such as Canyons Promise, and other student support resources. The College also uses social media tools to connect with students.

As discussed thoroughly in both I.B. and II.A., the College communicates student learning outcomes in a myriad of locations to multiple constituencies, including in the college catalog, academic program maps, program mapper, on the College’s websites, on course outlines of record, in course syllabi, to students through Canvas, and available in eLumen.

The College conducts a regular review of its curriculum to ensure it is updated. The College’s curriculum committee follows regulations outlined by the California Community Colleges Chancellor’s Office (CCCCO) as required by California Education Code and as noted on the
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Program Course Approval Report. The College has a curriculum review and approval process that is outlined on the curriculum committee webpage, which includes agendas and minutes posted for bi-monthly meetings. The College follows requirements as outlined by the CCCCO to conduct a five-year review for all approved credit and noncredit courses as seen on the revision list and the handbook for the curriculum committee.

The College publishes a new catalog annually and makes it available to students and the public online. The current 2021-22 catalog and previous catalogs for the past 20 years can be accessed. The College updates its website frequently and in a timely manner through requests from each department when there are program changes. The College utilizes OUCampus—a content management system—to maintain and update content on its website while maintaining a consistent, integrated look and functionality. This system was implemented in 2018 along with a full redesign of the college website. OUCampus provides the means for departments to maintain their own webpages and update content as needed, while ensuring accessibility and standardized page elements. The Information Technology Department provides technical support, while the Public Information Office aids with design and content as needed.

Through the Institutional Research, Planning and Institutional Effectiveness Office (IRPIE) webpages, the College provides current, accurate student achievement information, updated on an annual basis. As noted in I.B., the Data Analysis section of the IRPIE website links to numerous reports for the past three years on data such as student success and retention. In addition, reports on student learning outcomes (SLOs) and institutional learning outcomes are posted for the public through the Committee for Assessing Student Learning (CASL).

On its website, the College posts its regional accreditation status. It also posts communications and reports to and from the Commission, along with specialized accreditation recognition for specific programs.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College provides accurate and clear information through a variety of means, including its website, student portal, college publications, the catalog, institutional research office, planning documents, social media, among other sources. Information provided to students, staff, and community members clearly and accurately describes the mission, programs, services, outcomes and the College’s accreditation status.

2. The institution provides a print or online catalog for students and prospective students with precise, accurate, and current information on all facts, requirements, policies, and procedures listed in the “Catalog Requirements.” (ER 20)

---
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Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College produces its catalog each academic year for students and prospective students. Online PDF and Flipbook versions of the 2021-2022 catalog are available on the college website, along with links to PDF versions of past catalogs and catalog addendums. The College also provides catalog addenda as needed prior to the start of each term if new courses or programs are approved and ready to offer in the successive term. Various campus service operations receive printed versions of the catalog. The catalog includes current college information including requirements, policies and procedures. The Instruction Office leads the annual review and update of the catalog, distributing sections of the catalog to appropriate college departments and programs in spring of each year. These departments fully and carefully review their sections for accuracy and revisions for the following year’s catalog.

The 2021-2022 College of the Canyons catalog contains the following catalog requirements:

Table 18: 2021-2022 College of the Canyons Catalog Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalog Elements</th>
<th>Page(s) in the 2021-2022 Catalog</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Official Name, Address(es), Telephone Number(s), and Website Address of the Institution</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Mission</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representation of accredited status with ACCJC, and with programmatic accreditors if any</td>
<td>1, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course, Program, and Degree Offerings</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Learning Outcomes for Programs and Degrees</td>
<td>58-324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Calendar and Program Length</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Freedom Statement</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available Student Financial Aid</td>
<td>45-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available Learning Resources</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Names and Degrees of Administrators and Faculty</td>
<td>325-336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Names of Governing Board Members</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admissions</td>
<td>11-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Tuition, Fees, and Other Financial Obligations</td>
<td>13, 15-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degrees, Certificates, Graduation and Transfer</td>
<td>28, 35-38, 56-57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Policies and Procedures Affecting Students</td>
<td>20-38, 340-362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Regulations, including Academic Honesty</td>
<td>344-355</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Analysis and Evaluation
The college catalog is the complete source of information about the College and its programs. The catalog is reviewed and updated annually to contain the most recent policies, procedures, regulations, programs and learning outcomes. Catalog addendums are produced as needed to provide the most current, accurate information. The College ensures all information listed as catalog requirements are included in the catalog and are available in print and online.

3. The institution uses documented assessment of student learning and evaluation of student achievement to communicate matters of academic quality to appropriate constituencies, including current and prospective students and the public. (ER 19)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard
The College communicates academic quality to students and the community through the College’s comprehensive website, catalog, the CASL website, planning documents, institutional research materials, Board reports, and public information office publications and distributions. As discussed further in I.B. and II.A, the College provides thorough information about student achievement through on-demand interactive visualizations using Tableau, and it communicates student learning outcomes assessment and achievement data through the program design, planning, and review process. Data dashboard pages accessible to the public are also outlined in detail on the college’s website for data visualizations.\textsuperscript{280}

The College collects, uses, and shares assessment data on student achievement to communicate academic quality to the public and to inform improvement internally. Reports to all stakeholders include the following:

1. Course completion data and analysis: Course Success/Retention by Term (Heatmap)\textsuperscript{281}
2. Degree and certificate completion data and analysis: Program Awards\textsuperscript{282}

\textsuperscript{280} IRPIE Data Visualizations
\textsuperscript{281} Course Success Retention Heatmap
\textsuperscript{282} Program Awards
3. Results of assessment of student learning: Various reports available on the CASL webpage\textsuperscript{283}, 284, 285, 286
4. Job placement data for degree and certificate completers\textsuperscript{287}, 288, 289, 290, 291
5. Licensure pass rates/data\textsuperscript{292}
6. Transfer data\textsuperscript{293}
7. Other achievement data related to the college’s mission: data visualizations\textsuperscript{294}

The College also publishes student achievement data for the public through documents such as the Strategic Plan,\textsuperscript{295} Fast Facts,\textsuperscript{296} and other reports for the public.\textsuperscript{297} Additionally, the College website links to federal scorecard information and sources showing student success on the U.S. Department of Education website\textsuperscript{298} along with examples of reports on the CCCC0 website.\textsuperscript{299}

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College collects, uses, and shares assessment data on student achievement to communicate academic quality and inform improvement. In addition to sharing data through committee meetings and in campus presentations, data are made publicly available on the Institutional Research, Planning and Institutional Effectiveness and Public Information Office websites. The IRPIE website houses student data highlights, updated annually with key outcomes and guided pathways related data, as well as Tableau data visualizations and research and evaluation reports and briefs. The PIO houses institutional data through the Fact Book, Annual Report and key data highlights through the Fast Facts publication. The College annually reviews the institution-set standards, including SEA Plan and local goals aligned with the Vision for Success through its committees and governing bodies including (IE)\textsuperscript{2}, CPT, the Academic Senate, Classified Senate, Associated Student Government, and the Board of Trustees. These goals and plans are available on the IRPIE website.

4. The institution describes its certificates and degrees in terms of their purpose, content, course requirements, and expected learning outcomes.

---

\textsuperscript{283} ACCJC 2018 Annual Report
\textsuperscript{284} CASL Website
\textsuperscript{285} SLO Completed Assessment Analysis – Action Plans - Fall 2017 thru Spring 2021
\textsuperscript{286} SLO Prompt Downloads APR 2020-2021
\textsuperscript{287} ACCJC Annual Report 2021
\textsuperscript{288} EMT Alumni Employment Survey Results Memo
\textsuperscript{289} MLT Alumni Survey of 2020 Graduates Results Memo
\textsuperscript{290} Nursing Alumni Employment Survey Results
\textsuperscript{291} Nursing Alumni Employment Survey Instrument
\textsuperscript{292} ACCJC Annual Report 2021
\textsuperscript{293} Fact Book 2021
\textsuperscript{294} IRPIE Data Visualizations
\textsuperscript{295} Achievement Data from Strategic Plan 2019-2022
\textsuperscript{296} College of the Canyons Fast Facts
\textsuperscript{297} Bellwether Award News Release
\textsuperscript{298} College Scorecard
\textsuperscript{299} 2019 Student Success Scorecard
Evidence of Meeting the Standard

College of the Canyons presents clear and comprehensive information about degrees, certificates, and expected learning outcomes. The official curriculum information for all active courses and programs offered by the College is available on the College’s curriculum committee eLumen website,\(^{300}\) which stores all course and program information and is available for public access. The various course outlines of record and program outlines of record are also available in eLumen. The College catalog, containing detailed degree and certificate information, is available in electronic format and is available on the College website.\(^{301}\) The counseling office website provides a comprehensive list of associate degrees and certificates that are hyperlinked to PDF documents outlining the program description, student learning outcomes, program requirements, and major courses.\(^{302}\) Through CanyonsID, students have access to their MAP (My Academic Plan).\(^{303}\) Through the “progress” feature within MAP, students can view program evaluations that include program descriptions and course requirements for their declared majors. Students are also able to view new programs in MAP if needed. Information on certificate and degree programs is also published on academic department websites.\(^{304}, 305\)

With the Canyons Completes guided pathways initiative, the College has developed academic program maps and pathways supported by a collaborative effort between discipline faculty and counseling faculty. Elements of the academic program maps align with requirements for Standard I.C.4. The forms and templates of the academic maps contain data within the college catalog, and address the degree names, program descriptions, and salary data from the U.S. Department of Labor. Additionally, they address program student learning outcomes (PSLOs), courses required for both major concentrations and general education, and electives in semester order. As also discussed in I.B.2, I.C.1, and II.A.2, academic program maps are available on each of the College’s division websites\(^{306}\) and the Program Mapper tool is accessible from both the home page of the College’s website and the home page of each of the academic divisions.\(^{307}\)

Analysis and Evaluation

College of the Canyons provides clear information about degrees and certificates and their associated purposes, scopes, and learning outcomes through a variety of publications and communication strategies. The eLumen site, the College catalog, counseling office website, My Academic Plan, academic department websites, academic program maps, and program mappers are various means through which this important information is made available to the public and the College community.

5. The institution regularly reviews institutional policies, procedures, and publications to assure integrity in all representations of its mission, programs, and services.
Evidence of Meeting the Standard

College of the Canyons regularly and systematically reviews its policies, procedures and publications and has well-defined structures and processes for conducting these reviews. BP 2410 states, “The Board may adopt such policies as are authorized by law or determined by the Board to be necessary for the efficient operation of the District” along with an accompanying Administrative Procedure (AP) BP 2410.

In February 2021, the College Policy Council (CPC) convened a retreat with representative membership to review the committee’s detailed operational procedures along with a planned calendar of policy review for the year. This retreat included an update from a Community College League of California (CCLC) legal expert on important policy and procedure details for CPC consideration.

Model policies provided by the CCLC inform College policy updates, and the CPC reviews them to ensure that policies are equitable and in line with the College’s Diversity, Equity and Inclusion efforts. In addition to the CPC cycle noted above, to ensure regular review and integrity of college policies, the Board actively engages in policy review, including policy connections to student-focused work such as Guided Pathways. In spring 2021, for example, the Board reviewed materials related to an intersession fellowship project for trustees on Guided Pathways, which included discussion of policy and planning perspectives relevant to that work. The CPC routes College policies to pertinent review bodies such as the Academic Senate, Classified Senate, and/or Associated Student Government before final CPC approval and routing to the Board for review and approval.

Campus publications, such as the College Catalog and the Schedule of Classes, are regularly reviewed for accuracy prior to publication. The Instruction Office sends drafts to Executive Cabinet members, student support service managers, and the Public Information Office for detailed review. In addition, websites are continually reviewed and updated as needed. Student Services policies, program information and the Student Code of Conduct handbook are easily accessible on the website.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College’s policies, associated procedures, and publications are fully reviewed on an ongoing basis to assure integrity in how the College’s mission, programs, and services are represented. The process for reviewing policies and associated procedures is detailed in Standard IV as well as identified through the College Policy Council operational procedures.

308 Board Policy 2410: Policy and Administrative Procedures
309 Administrative Procedure 2410: Policy and Administrative Procedures
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313 College Policy Council Policy and Procedure 2021 Retreat Slides
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315 Student Services Website 6.1.21
316 Student Code of Conduct downloaded 6.1.21
6. The institution accurately informs current and prospective students regarding the total cost of education, including tuition, fees, and other required expenses, including textbooks, and other instructional materials.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

The College provides clear and useful information regarding educational expenses to current and prospective students. The College’s California resident student enrollment fee is currently $46 per unit according to the Board of Governors, with the College’s non-resident student tuition, enrollment fee, and capital outlay fee totaling $349 per unit. These and other College fees and related policies are readily available on the College website and in the catalog.

To help students anticipate cost-of-living expenses while attending the College, the Financial Aid Office website offers a Net Price Calculator through the CCCC. This tool provides estimated attendance costs such as books and supplies, room and board/meals, and other related expenses minus estimated grants and scholarships to current and prospective students.

In addition to accurate information about fees and related educational costs, the College makes other resources available to reduce fees and increase educational participation. For example, the Canyons Promise program at the College provides first-time, full-time students the opportunity to enroll tuition free for two years as part of a supportive cohort with additional student counseling and peer collaboration. Many students are also eligible for California’s College Promise Grant, which waives enrollment fees for qualified students for the entire school year. The Financial Aid website and the schedule of classes also include information on types of aid, student fees, and information on course textbook materials. The College is also a leader in Open Educational Resources (OER) and provides many Zero Textbook Cost (ZTC) resources to reduce or eliminate textbook expenses for students. The Schedule of Classes includes options to search for OER and ZTC resources, along with a graphical notation of classes with these available options. More than 25 percent of all class sections utilize OER resources, with additional courses developing them each semester.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College provides current information on the costs associated with attendance, including tuition and fees along with resources regarding textbook costs and other required expenses such as materials fees. This information is included in the catalog, website, and through student services programs. The College provides various means of reducing barriers to participation through aid, grants, scholarships, and college promise programs.
7. In order to assure institutional and academic integrity, the institution uses and publishes governing board policies on academic freedom and responsibility. These policies make clear the institution’s commitment to the free pursuit and dissemination of knowledge, and its support for an atmosphere in which intellectual freedom exists for all constituencies, including faculty and students. (ER 13)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

College of the Canyons supports and values academic freedom and responsibility. In 2020, the Board of Trustees adopted a substantially revised Board Policy (BP) 4030, following discussion through the Academic Senate, College Policy Council, and the Associated Student Government. This discussion and subsequent endorsement resulted in an updated policy that further clarified the College’s commitment to the vigorous pursuit and dissemination of knowledge driven by faculty expertise free of undue outside interference. BP 4030 incorporates the definition of academic freedom from the 1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure, which protects the freedom of faculty to teach, research, and comment upon matters of institutional policy or action without fear or punishment. The policy further protects the academic freedom of students to take reasoned exception to the concepts and conclusions presented in any course of study in a manner consistent with the College’s Code of Student Conduct.

BP 4030 requires that the Academic Senate establish an Academic Freedom committee. Under Administrative Procedure (AP) 4030, the Academic Freedom committee, made up of faculty, is empowered to investigate and consider disputes, controversies, and other academic freedom related matters and offer either informal guidance to all persons involved and/or make formal recommendations that the Academic Senate adopt a position on a question of academic freedom. The Academic Freedom Committee is charged with undertaking to educate the campus community on academic freedom issues and concerns. Members of the Academic Freedom Committee have hosted a well-attended campus panel discussion on contemporary academic freedom issues, and the Academic Senate has also raised awareness of academic freedom through its newsletter.

Academic freedom policy language that is incorporated into collective bargaining agreements bolsters the College’s commitment to academic freedom. The District’s agreement with the American Federation of Teachers Local 6262 provides an example. Academic freedom is also summarized in the regulations and policies section of the catalog.

---
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Analysis and Evaluation

The College publishes its policies on academic freedom and responsibility along with all College policies on an easily accessible board policy website. The academic freedom and responsibility policy describes the College's commitment to intellectual freedom and the pursuit of knowledge for all constituents, including contemporary review and approval of related policy by College wide groups and the Board of Trustees in Fall 2020.

8. The institution establishes and publishes clear policies and procedures that promote honesty, responsibility and academic integrity. These policies apply to all constituencies and include specifics relative to each, including student behavior, academic honesty and the consequences for dishonesty.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College’s catalog declares in its introduction that the College has an expectation of “honesty, integrity, social responsibility, and ethical behavior” from members of the campus community. Through adopted policies and procedures, College of the Canyons emphasizes the values of honesty, responsibility, and academic integrity for all members of the college community. BP 5529 Standard Conduct and BP 5530 Disciplinary Action are Board approved policies which together outline expectations for student behavior and academic honesty and make clear the consequences for students who fail to live up to those expectations. BP 5529 prohibits, among other dishonest and irresponsible behaviors, “cheating, plagiarism, fabrication, and other forms of academic dishonesty, and/or facilitating academic dishonesty ….” BP 5530 describes the varied disciplinary actions that the College may impose on students who fail to satisfy the requirements regarding honesty, responsibility, and academic integrity. These range from a warning to expulsion. Furthermore, BP 5531 Due Process describes the due process rights that a student accused of misconduct may exercise, and the College’s process for the fair investigation and resolution of alleged misconduct. BP 5531 creates a Student Conduct Committee to adjudicate alleged academic dishonesty when less formal resolutions are unsuccessful. In addition, the Academic Senate has adopted a “Statement on Academic Integrity and Plagiarism,” which is posted on the Academic Senate webpage and written for ease of incorporation into instructor syllabi, declaring that “At College of the Canyons, we believe that academic integrity and honesty are some of the most important qualities college students need to develop and maintain.”

Board Policies 5529, 5530, 5531, and the Statement on Academic Integrity and Plagiarism are all published in the College catalog, which is available on the College website under the “Students”
Regarding College employees, BP 3050, Statement of Professional Ethics, imposes on each employee a personal obligation to “demonstrate a commitment to excellence in education without compromise to the principles of ethical behavior” and to uphold the Statement of Professional Ethics, including its requirement that employees maintain “an ongoing dedication to honesty and responsibility.” AP 3050 encourages employees to report violations of the Statement of Professional Ethics to the College administration, and also provides a process for the fair resolution of reported violations.

Furthermore, BP and AP 7360, Discipline and Dismissal - Academic Employees, enable the College to discipline academic employees for dishonesty, unprofessional conduct, or persistent violations of the policies, including the College’s Statement of Professional Ethics.

Similarly, BP and AP 7365, Discipline and Dismissal - Classified Employees, enable the College to impose discipline on classified employees for dishonesty in connection with employment. This allowance is expressly incorporated into the collective bargaining agreement with the California School Employees Association, Chapter 725.

In addition, the Board has adopted a Code of Ethics which imposes on each Trustee the duty to “[h]old the educational welfare of the students of the District as his/her primary concern in all decisions and act only in the best interests of the entire community.”

Finally, the College works to authenticate the identities of its online students who use Canvas, the online learning management system, through individual log-ins and password credentials. Supporting verification of student identities, the College makes available to instructors software called Proctorio, which provides electronic proctoring of online assessments to promote academic integrity, as well as the Turnitin software which seeks to combat plagiarism by comparing student-submitted assignments with a database of previously prepared works.

---
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Analysis and Evaluation

The College has established clear policies and procedures on academic honesty, academic integrity and responsible behavior through board policy that is shared widely in the catalog, website, student handbook, and associated college policies.

9. Faculty distinguish between personal conviction and professionally accepted views in a discipline. They present data and information fairly and objectively.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

BP and AP 4030 establish that College of the Canyons has respect for faculty academic freedom, but the policy and procedure observe that such freedom is subject to the limitation of professional ethics. The policy further incorporates the American Association of University Professor’s definition of academic freedom as outlined in the 1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure (1940 Statement), including its 1970 Interpretive Comments. The 1940 Statement notes that higher education is conducted for the common good and “not to further the interest of either the individual teacher or the institution as a whole.” Moreover, the 1940 Statement, endorsed by BP 4030, notes that faculty, when speaking and writing as citizens, “should at all times be accurate, should exercise appropriate restraint, should show respect for the opinions of others, and should make every effort to indicate that they are not speaking for the institution.” In addition, the first 1970 interpretive comment observes that academic freedom carries with it professional and ethical responsibilities to the institution and to the students. Finally, BP 3050 establishes ethical principles applicable to all employees, including faculty. Among many other ethical requirements, faculty must demonstrate a commitment to impartiality.

Classroom student evaluation surveys also provide a mechanism for feedback on whether faculty present information fairly and accurately, including questions on “establish[ing] a good learning environment” along with feedback on teaching methods and effectiveness.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College has clear policies on Academic Freedom, reflected in BP 4030 and in employment contracts. These ensure that course content is presented in a manner in accordance with the faculty member’s discipline in an academically open and honest environment. There is a clear expectation that faculty distinguish between personal conviction and professionally accepted views. To ensure faculty are conducting themselves in a manner consistent with these policies, there is an established evaluation process that provides a regular feedback loop to instructors on their fair and objective presentation of course data and information. This evaluation process allows for follow-up, and it provides details on a remediation plan when warranted.

358 Board Policy 4030: Academic Freedom
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10. Institutions that require conformity to specific codes of conduct of staff, faculty, administrators, or students, or that seek to instill specific beliefs or world views, give clear prior notice of such policies, including statements in the catalog and/or appropriate faculty and student handbooks.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

Other than its codes of student conduct\textsuperscript{363} and professional ethics,\textsuperscript{364, 365} as a public institution of higher learning devoted to equity, diversity, and integrity, the College does not seek to instill specific religious, philosophical, or other beliefs or worldviews in its staff, faculty, administrators, or students.

Analysis and Evaluation

This Standard is not applicable, as College of the Canyons is a public, non-profit community college that does not require adherence to specific beliefs or worldviews.

11. Institutions operating in foreign locations operate in conformity with the Standards and applicable Commission policies for all students. Institutions must have authorization from the Commission to operate in a foreign location.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

College of the Canyons does not operate in foreign locations.

Analysis and Evaluation

This Standard is not applicable.

12. The institution agrees to comply with Eligibility Requirements, Accreditation Standards, Commission policies, guidelines, and requirements for public disclosure, institutional reporting, team visits, and prior approval of substantive changes. When directed to act by the Commission, the institution responds to meet requirements within a time period set by the Commission. It discloses information required by the Commission to carry out its accrediting responsibilities. (ER 21)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College agrees to comply with the Eligibility Requirements, Accreditation Standards, Commission policies, guidelines, and requirements for public disclosure, as detailed below, along with fully providing institutional reporting, hosting team visits, and taking all required steps to secure prior approval of substantive changes. In addition to agreeing to these actions, the College has, in fact, complied with them and has responded to meet requirements when the commission has directed it to act. It has done so within the time set by the commission.

The College utilizes a variety of means to communicate publicly and to the Commission regarding the College’s compliance with accreditation Standards, Eligibility Requirements, and all reporting requirements. The College’s accreditation website provides links to Commission letters, team reports, midterm reports, prior institutional self-evaluation reports, and substantive

\textsuperscript{363} Board Policy 5529: Student Conduct
\textsuperscript{364} Board Policy 3050: Professional Ethics
\textsuperscript{365} Administrative Procedure 3050: Professional Ethics
change documents. The accreditation website is one click away from the main home page and is viewable through a prominent link on a footer available on all College webpages. The Spring 2022 accreditation team visit is also announced publicly on the website, along with a link to the Commission’s third-party comment form.\footnote{Accreditation Website} The College also notes and maintains compliance with this Standard through use of BP and AP 3200, Accreditation, which also includes complete and accurate disclosures to the College community along with promotion of understanding of the Standards, Eligibility Requirements, policies, and ISER preparation process.\footnote{Board Policy 3200: Accreditation} \footnote{Administrative Procedure 3200: Accreditation}

\textbf{Analysis and Evaluation}

As an accredited institution, the College agrees to comply with all Commission policies, including Eligibility Requirements, Standards, and reporting obligations. The College’s accessible accreditation website provides clear information and disclosure to the college community and public, and the College responds in a timely manner to Commission requirements.

13. The institution advocates and demonstrates honesty and integrity in its relationships with external agencies, including compliance with regulations and statutes. It describes itself in consistent terms to all of its accrediting agencies and communicates any changes in its accredited status to the Commission, students, and the public. (ER 21)

\textbf{Evidence of Meeting the Standard}

College of the Canyons provides comprehensive and accurate information about its relationship with external agencies, including programmatic accreditors, as part of the college’s accreditation website.\footnote{Certificate of Accreditation} In addition to reaffirmed accreditation by the Commission,\footnote{Certificate of Accreditation} the following specified programs at the College are also accredited and/or authorized by the following external organizations:

- Automotive Technology: National Automotive Technicians Education Foundation (NATEF)
- Center for Early Childhood Education: National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC)
- Emergency Medical Technician: Los Angeles County EMS Agency, State of California and National Registry of EMTs
- Medical Laboratory Technician: National Accrediting Agency for Clinical Laboratory Sciences (NAACLS)
- Nursing: National League for Nursing, Commission for Nursing Education Accreditation (NLN-CNEA) and California State Board of Registered Nursing
- Paralegal Studies: American Bar Association (ABA)

Materials and correspondence with the Commission noted on the accreditation website demonstrate that the College is in good standing.
Analysis and Evaluation

The College is fully accredited through the Accreditation Commission for Community and Junior Colleges as well as maintaining programmatic accreditation for those programs noted above. The College demonstrates honesty and integrity in its communication with external agencies, describing its status clearly and accurately in College materials and public notifications including in the College’s accreditation website.

14. The institution ensures that its commitments to high quality education, student achievement and student learning are paramount to other objectives such as generating financial returns for investors, contributing to a related or parent organization, or supporting external interests.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The Strategic Plan describes the College’s commitment to high quality education and successful student achievement and learning. College budget parameters help describe a commitment to educational access, student success, and engagement. The President’s Advisory Council on Budget (PAC-B) updates the Budget Parameters document annually, and the document is presented to the Board, serving as a guide for budget development. Overall, this document provides guidelines to assist in the process of developing the annual budget in support of the College mission, strategic goals, planning documents, and program reviews.

The College’s budget development website describes the planning, coordination, and administration of the annual budget, and provides information for the PAC-B Committee. The website also provides the adopted budget and a comprehensive presentation that describes assumptions used in financial planning. The College regularly provides updates on the budget to the College community.

The College’s conflict of interest policy is also listed under AP 3852, Conflict of Interest Code.

Analysis and Evaluation

College of the Canyons is a California public community college. It does not seek to primarily generate financial returns nor to contribute to a parent organization. The College’s budgets, plans, and conflict of interest policies demonstrate an uncompromising commitment to high educational quality.

Conclusions on Standard I.C. Institutional Integrity

College of the Canyons demonstrates institutional integrity throughout its decisions, communications, policies, procedures, and plans. It conducts its work with integrity and accuracy, and clearly presents its programs, services, data, and accredited status to students and the community. College materials, including resources such as the catalog, are easily accessible.

---
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and convey clear information to students, staff, and the community. In College materials and in the student portal and academic plan, the College presents comprehensive information about degrees, certificates, and learning outcomes. Policies are regularly reviewed to ensure integrity, and policies on academic freedom, student conduct responsibilities, and conflict of interest describe the College’s commitment to high standards and educational quality. Information on the total cost of education is provided for current and prospective students. As a college with ongoing reaffirmed accreditation, College of the Canyons complies with all Standards and Eligibility Requirements and shares communications with the Commission. The College communicates its ongoing reaffirmed status with the College’s internal groups, including with students and with the general public. The College’s primary commitment is to high quality education and student achievement as a public California community college.

**Improvement Plan(s)**

None.

**Evidence List**
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A. Instructional Programs

Standard II: Student Learning Programs and Support Services

The institution offers instructional programs, library and learning support services, and student support services aligned with its mission. The institution’s programs are conducted at levels of quality and rigor appropriate for higher education. The institution assesses its educational quality through methods accepted in higher education, makes the results of its assessments available to the public, and uses the results to improve educational quality and institutional effectiveness. The institution defines and incorporates into all of its degree programs a substantial component of general education designed to ensure breadth of knowledge and to promote intellectual inquiry. The provisions of this standard are broadly applicable to all instructional programs and student and learning support services offered in the name of the institution.

A. Instructional Programs

1. All instructional programs, regardless of location or means of delivery, including distance education and correspondence education, are offered in fields of study consistent with the institution’s mission, are appropriate to higher education, and culminate in student attainment of identified student learning outcomes, and achievement of degrees, certificates, employment, or transfer to other higher education programs. (ER 9 and ER 11)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

Instruction at College of the Canyons consists of 13 academic schools and divisions that support students and the Santa Clarita Valley (SCV) community as listed alphabetically below:

1. Applied Technologies
2. Business
3. Career Education and Integrative Learning
4. Student Services (Counseling, Liberal Arts & Sciences)
5. Economic Development
6. Educational Technology, Learning Resources, and Online Education
7. Health Professions and Public Safety
8. Humanities
10. Mathematics, Sciences, and Engineering
11. Personal and Professional Learning (Continuing and Community Education)
12. Social and Behavioral Sciences
13. Visual and Performing Arts

To fulfill its mission of offering an accessible, holistic education while championing diversity, equity, inclusion, and global responsibility, the College has extensively expanded opportunities for student engagement since its last accreditation report. Aligned with ongoing student learning outcomes (SLO) assessment protocol and commensurate with local control procedures set by its curriculum committee, the College remains current through creation of new and updated

---
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A. Instructional Programs

curriculum based on emerging technologies and best pedagogical practices in response to industry needs aligned with its Educational and Facilities Master Plan and Strategic Plan. One unique example features the formation of the College’s Civic and Community Engagement initiative. A campus civic engagement gap analysis was performed based on feedback from students, faculty, staff, and administrators. The results rendered valuable information, shared with Chancellor Van Hook, who determined it was important to establish a Center for Civic Engagement. By August 2015, the College had created the position of faculty director, Civic and Community Engagement Initiatives, and had secured space for a Center for Civic Engagement on the Valencia campus. This initiative seeks to create a civic-minded campus culture among students, faculty, and staff while emphasizing their place in a global context. The initiative committed to advancing the principles of civic ethos, civic literacy, civic inquiry, civic action, and explicitly dedicated itself to promoting a civic-minded campus culture.

Since the last accreditation report, faculty worked diligently to create enhanced instructional opportunities for courses and programs in all available 96 degree and 146 certificate programs by expanding access via development of additional distance and correspondence education opportunities. As detailed in II.A.7, additional alternative delivery modes were created for students in all classes, featuring online and onlineLIVE (or synchronous instruction) opportunities, emergency situations where a course must be temporarily converted to online or onlineLIVE by mutual agreement between faculty and the District, and via correspondence education for limited courses taught to justice-impacted students when face-to-face instruction may not be possible. Additionally, since the previous accreditation, the College developed more than 200 new tuition-free noncredit courses and close to 50 new noncredit certificates in response to emerging needs of students, community, and business as described in greater detail in II.A.2. The Economic Development Division continues to offer extensive training to local businesses through contract education as described in the ISER introduction and IV.B.6.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College ensures programs are appropriate to higher education, regardless of location or means of delivery, in fields necessary to support the emerging workforce, while carefully adhering to standards of excellence required by faculty and the curriculum committee. This local process makes sure programs are evaluated to ensure alignment with the College’s mission, institution-set standards noted in the Introduction, and lead to student achievement as measured by attainment of SLOs in support of degree, certificate, transfer, and employment attainment.

2. Faculty, including full time, part time, and adjunct faculty, regularly engage in ensuring that the content and methods of instruction meet generally accepted academic and professional standards and expectations. In exercising collective ownership over the design and improvement of instruction, faculty make decisions regarding the content and methods of instruction that are consistent with the College’s mission, the needs of students, and the demands of the workforce. This ensures that the College’s programs and services meet the needs of students and the community. The College also ensures that its programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce by regularly assessing the outcomes of its programs and services. This ensures that the College’s programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce. The College also ensures that its programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce by regularly assessing the outcomes of its programs and services. This ensures that the College’s programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce. The College also ensures that its programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce by regularly assessing the outcomes of its programs and services. This ensures that the College’s programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce. The College also ensures that its programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce by regularly assessing the outcomes of its programs and services. This ensures that the College’s programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce. The College also ensures that its programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce by regularly assessing the outcomes of its programs and services. This ensures that the College’s programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce. The College also ensures that its programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce by regularly assessing the outcomes of its programs and services. This ensures that the College’s programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce. The College also ensures that its programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce by regularly assessing the outcomes of its programs and services. This ensures that the College’s programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce. The College also ensures that its programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce by regularly assessing the outcomes of its programs and services. This ensures that the College’s programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce. The College also ensures that its programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce by regularly assessing the outcomes of its programs and services. This ensures that the College’s programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce. The College also ensures that its programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce by regularly assessing the outcomes of its programs and services. This ensures that the College’s programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce. The College also ensures that its programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce by regularly assessing the outcomes of its programs and services. This ensures that the College’s programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce. The College also ensures that its programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce by regularly assessing the outcomes of its programs and services. This ensures that the College’s programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce. The College also ensures that its programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce by regularly assessing the outcomes of its programs and services. This ensures that the College’s programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce. The College also ensures that its programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce by regularly assessing the outcomes of its programs and services. This ensures that the College’s programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce. The College also ensures that its programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce by regularly assessing the outcomes of its programs and services. This ensures that the College’s programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce. The College also ensures that its programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce by regularly assessing the outcomes of its programs and services. This ensures that the College’s programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce. The College also ensures that its programs and services meet the needs of the community and the workforce by regular...
of the learning experience, faculty conduct systematic and inclusive program review, using student achievement data, in order to continuously improve instructional courses and programs, thereby ensuring program currency, improving teaching and learning strategies, and promoting student success.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

As described in its Curriculum Handbook,389 the College engages in a rigorous, inclusive faculty-led curriculum development and revision process that involves the College’s curriculum committee, (a subcommittee of the Academic Senate), co-chaired by a faculty member and the vice president of instruction/chief instructional officer (CIO).390 Curriculum development and revision, including content and methods of instruction, take place within academic departments and are originated and led by faculty with input from full-time and adjunct faculty, and with department chairs’ support. This process ensures content and methods of instruction for all courses and all modalities (including distance learning and correspondence education for justice-impacted students) meet academic and professional standards, and ensure congruence with the College mission and compliance with Ed Code and Title V.

Career Education (CE) program advisory boards play a significant role in recommending additions and changes to curricula based on business and industry needs.391 Each agenda includes a review of the curriculum, skills for competency as related to SLOs, and the course sequence to achieve a credential, certificate, or degree. For example, theatre program advisory board minutes show dialogue with industry experts leading to creation and revision of the theatre curriculum based on industry needs.392 These efforts are part of continuous quality improvement of programs, removing barriers for students, and emphasizing workforce preparation skills.

Using the eLumen Curriculum and Assessment Management System, faculty develop Course Outlines of Record (CORs)393 through a five-stage workflow process394 that includes the faculty author, faculty department chair and school dean, articulation officer, faculty SLO coordinator, faculty learning resource auditor, a faculty curriculum committee representative from the school, and the curriculum office. Once at stage five, the curriculum is reviewed by the curriculum committee,395 and once approved by the committee, it is forwarded to the Academic Senate and finally the Board for approval. The same process is followed for curricular revisions, at least every five years (excluding career education programs, reviewed every two years), and all program development and revisions follow the same timeline. When proposing any new course, faculty must complete and submit to the curriculum committee a New Course Documentation form396 that helps identify the need and relevancy of the proposed course and explain its alignment to program SLOs and institutional SLOs. This is exemplified by the theatre department’s justification for a noncredit Business of Acting class.397

---
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As part of annual program review, using Tableau visualizations, faculty and deans evaluate each department’s course retention and success data, and program-level success data. The online Tableau data allow disaggregation by course, modality, gender, ethnicity, age, admission status and student academic level. As noted in I.B.5, and I.B.6, faculty examine data to address prompts about action based on student achievement data. As evidenced by responses to this and other areas of program review, data review and assessment have led to improvements in teaching and learning across the College. Additionally, to plan course offerings for the 2021-2022 academic year, faculty and deans used spring 2021 course success and retention data to determine the best modalities for their disciplines, particularly during the pandemic.

Analyzing program review data allows departments to evaluate the overall success of a course, locate disproportionate impact, and devise strategies to improve student success. The data provide several contexts, such as comparison to collegewide statistics and the number of students in data samples. In addition to providing data, the annual program review data trends section requires departments to answer questions about the data, such as:

- Describe department trends, including growth/decline in…student achievement and success (retention, course success, degrees/certificates completed, transfer). How do these trends compare to the College as a whole?
- Given the trends in student achievement and outcomes, what are your ideas for improving student completion in your programs?
- To what extent is there disproportionate impact for achievement or outcome indicators? If there is disproportionate impact, what can be done to minimize it?

In 2014, the College established the Center for Excellence in Teaching and Learning (CETL) to institutionalize promotion of a culture of teaching excellence and to improve teaching and learning skills across the College. Currently, CETL offers seven courses annually, including Introduction to Online Instruction, a Skilled Teacher Certificate, and Culturally Responsive Teaching. These free salary advancing opportunities for faculty are supported by grant funding. Nearly all faculty have completed at least one CETL course since 2014. These classes have been approved as offerings through the noncredit program, beginning Summer 2021.

To advance ongoing diversity, equity, inclusion, and antiracism efforts, in spring 2021, the curriculum committee developed (and the Academic Senate approved) a Cultural Competency Review Checklist that assists faculty in developing course curriculum and individual class syllabi that are responsive to students’ diverse needs. Faculty will review their CORs to ensure their instructional methods and content demonstrate cultural competency and will provide examples of significant contributions from people of diverse backgrounds, ensure the full scope of disciplines are represented, ensure sensitivity to the experiences of marginalized groups, and
ensure texts are culturally competent. This effort will help ensure curriculum and curricular approaches are congruent with the College’s mission.

The College’s math and English faculty engaged in year-long Faculty Inquiry Groups or FIGs\(^{406}\) and attended conferences and institutes to address national, state, and local concerns that developmental math and English curriculum, course sequencing, methods of instruction, and student assessment and placement disproportionately disadvantaged subpopulations of students. Both the English FIG\(^{407}\) and Math FIG\(^{408}\) led to course revisions, creating pre-Statistics courses, cohort-based accelerated programs, and noncredit courses in developmental English and math, reducing transfer-level completion time by two semesters and significantly reducing equity gaps. Faculty engaged in professional development to ensure successful implementation of new curriculum and pedagogy.\(^{409, 410}\) In 2021, the College was recognized with the Bellwether Award for its work to revamp curriculum, significantly improve student access to college level classes and increase student success in those classes.\(^{411}\) With all students placing into transfer-level English and mathematics, the first-semester completion rates for new students rose sharply, from 38 percent to 71 percent in English (2017 to 2019) and 14 percent to 57 percent in mathematics (2015 to 2019). The percent of new students who completed transfer-level courses in both disciplines nearly tripled from 14 percent to 48 percent (2017 to 2019).

Since the last accreditation, the College created more than 200 new noncredit classes and over 40 certificates for students through faculty-led, interdisciplinary, cross-functional collaboration between Instruction and Student Services. This work began in April 2017, when the College received an Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative grant. In Spring 2020, the College instituted a process of mirroring, which allows credit and noncredit students to attend and learn in the same classroom at the same time.\(^{412, 413}\) The College’s first example of mirroring is between KPET 205 and NC.HLTH 002, featuring a Personal Trainer Certification Preparation program.\(^{414}\) The College also engaged in transitioning credit courses to noncredit courses in areas like ESL,\(^{415}\) Career Exploration,\(^{416, 417}\) and Auditioning Skills.\(^{418, 419}\)

The College has been implementing Guided Pathways since 2014. Addressing equity is foundational, emphasizing improving teaching and learning strategies and promoting student success. Faculty, staff, and administrators have participated in hundreds of professional
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development workshops focused on implementation of the guided pathways framework, resulting in establishment of new programs and services for student success including:420

1. Creation of student alliances and a success team for African American/Black students;
2. Establishment of an A2MEND chapter to support students of color;
3. Establishment of meta majors and redesign of the website to feature academic programs;
4. Creation of academic program maps and sequenced pathways for all certificates and degrees;
5. Adoption and launch of the Program Pathways Mapper tool;
6. Establishment of career counseling on the front-end of the student experience;
7. Creation of an equity-minded practitioners workgroup;
8. Establishment of dual enrollment classes for high school students to take college classes during the high school day, while promoting a college-going culture;
9. Adoption and implementation of the new PebblePad ePortfolio platform; and
10. Creation of Career Trees for all career education programs.

Since 2014, the College has increasingly focused on clarifying the path for students, moving them onto a path, supporting them on their journey, and ensuring their learning.

Analysis and Evaluation

To ensure course content and instructional methods meet generally accepted academic and professional standards, faculty spearhead development and revision of courses and programs through clearly defined, collegial processes, including robust enhancement of newly developed noncredit programs and curriculum, along with input from community supporters to ensure curriculum relevancy and currency. Curriculum and student learning assessment are a significant part of the program planning and review process. College faculty, staff, and administrators have collaborated to significantly improve student retention, persistence, and completion while addressing access and equity using internal and external data in decision-making processes.

3. The institution identifies and regularly assesses learning outcomes for courses, programs, certificates and degrees using established institutional procedures. The institution has officially approved and current course outlines that include student learning outcomes. In every class section, students receive a course syllabus that includes learning outcomes from the institution’s officially approved course outline.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

As discussed in I.B.2 and I.B.4, the College has a history of regular and systematic assessment. Using a three-year assessment cycle,421 the College assesses course student learning outcomes (CSLOs), program student learning outcomes (PSLOs), and institutional student learning outcomes (ISLOs). PSLOs and ISLOs guide assessment of certificates and degrees. The results are captured in the SLO prompts embedded in Academic Program Review.422 In partnership with the Committee for Assessing Student Learning (CASL), which has representation from all academic schools, the SLO coordinators ensure assessment occurs and provide necessary faculty support.

420 Guided Pathways Updates College Planning Team
421 COC SLO Handbook Excerpt
422 SLO Prompt Downloads
professional development and training.\textsuperscript{423} Also, more than fifty faculty function as curricular and assessment coordinators,\textsuperscript{424} working with faculty and department chairs to conduct and collect regular assessment of CSLOs and submit an annual report to the Office of Instruction detailing work accomplished each academic year.\textsuperscript{425} As in the SLO prompt referenced above and through other areas of program review, department chairs close the loop of assessment during department meetings, retreats, or special assessment meetings that include full and part-time faculty.\textsuperscript{426}

As part of curriculum development, CSLOs for new courses and modified courses are submitted through eLumen and reviewed by SLO coordinators at Stage 3 with department chairs, deans, and curriculum committee reviewing the SLOs at other stages of the process.\textsuperscript{427} All CSLOs are embedded in the official COR for each course.\textsuperscript{428} All course outlines are stored and available in eLumen. All program learning outcomes for degrees and certificates are listed in the college catalog, the degree program outline in eLumen, the academic program maps linkable from each school website and embedded as part of the framework of the Program Pathways Mapper visualization tool. See I.B.2 and I.C.4 for full details and examples in the evidence.

The College ensures that all students receive syllabi with clearly identified SLOs.\textsuperscript{429} As part of an Actionable Improvement Plan on the 2014 Comprehensive ISER for Accreditation, the Academic Senate passed recommendations for required elements on each syllabus and for storage of syllabi, identifying SLOs as required components of all syllabi.\textsuperscript{430} This information has been included in trainings for new full-time\textsuperscript{431},\textsuperscript{432} and adjunct faculty\textsuperscript{433} since 2017. Deans and their administrative assistants collect and review all syllabi at the start of each fall, winter, spring and summer term to ensure they include SLOs. When SLO information is missing or incorrect, they address the absence with the department chair and faculty member. Additionally, as previously noted, curricular and assessment coordinators are responsible for completing syllabi reviews. All syllabi are stored on the instruction office service for access and retrieval by academic deans and department chairs (through their deans) as needed.\textsuperscript{434}

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College identifies and regularly assesses all learning outcomes for courses, programs, degrees and certificates. All approved course outlines include learning outcomes that are vetted through the curriculum development process as noted in the Curriculum Handbook. The Office of Instruction collects course syllabi for every class section, and each syllabus is reviewed by a curricular course coordinator or department chair to ensure that every student receives a syllabus including learning outcomes from current approved course outlines.
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4. If the institution offers pre-collegiate level curriculum, it distinguishes that curriculum from college level curriculum and directly supports students in learning the knowledge and skills necessary to advance to and succeed in college level curriculum.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College offers pre-collegiate level credit-based curriculum in math, English, and English as a Second Language (ESL)\(^{435}\) as well as a variety of noncredit curriculum in math, English, ESL/VESL (Vocational ESL), basic skills, college success skills, and GED preparation.\(^{436}\) The College distinguishes this curriculum from college level curriculum in the course catalog,\(^{437}\) the schedule of classes,\(^{438}\) and on course outlines of record (COR), indicating which courses are and are not applicable towards a degree.\(^{439}\) The course catalog and COR identify necessary skills and/or knowledge required by all pre-collegiate courses in the form of outcomes that directly align with and prepare students for success in transfer level courses.\(^{440}\) In addition to pre-collegiate course offerings, the College provides numerous learning support services that reinforce skills and/or knowledge necessary for students to advance to and succeed in completing college level curriculum, including online and in-person tutoring,\(^{441}\) self-guided learning activities (GLAs),\(^{442}\) workshops,\(^{443}\) and study jams\(^{444}\) in The Learning Center (TLC) for math, English, ESL, and college success skills, as well as accommodations and services provided by the Academic Accommodation Center (formerly DSPS).\(^{445}\)

Since 2013, the College has been involved with statewide efforts to shorten pathways to transfer level math, English, and ESL. In collaboration with the California Acceleration Project (CAP), the College used institutional research data to reexamine its placement practices and success rates for students entering the developmental course sequences in math, English, and ESL. As a result, the College significantly shortened developmental course sequences in all three disciplines, redesigning curriculum at the pre-collegiate and college levels to better ensure students success, especially for historically disproportionately impacted populations, such as African American males and Latinx students.\(^{447}\) With the passage of AB 705, the College further revised its course sequences, adopting multiple measures self-guided direct placement and replacing many required credit-bearing developmental courses with co-requisite and/or noncredit support for transfer level courses in math, English, and ESL.\(^{448}\)
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Analysis and Evaluation

As demonstrated by the course catalog, schedule of classes, and CORs, the College distinguishes pre-collegiate curriculum from college level transfer curriculum. All pre-collegiate courses identify skills and/or knowledge in the form of outcomes that align with and support successful completion of the college level courses for which they prepare students. With the passage of AB 705, the College further revised its placement practices, dramatically shortened credit developmental sequences, and provided co-requisite and/or noncredit options to better support student success, access, and equity in completing transfer level courses.

5. The institution’s degrees and programs follow practices common to American higher education, including appropriate length, breadth, depth, rigor, course sequencing, time to completion, and synthesis of learning. The institution ensures that minimum degree requirements are 60 semester credits or equivalent at the associate level, and 120 credits or equivalent at the baccalaureate level. (ER 12)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College provides coursework in lower-division transfer/general education, career education, basic skills education, and noncredit. Program and course development are administered by the curriculum committee, a subcommittee of the Academic Senate. Creating new curriculum and revising existing curriculum is reserved to faculty at the College, as they are subject-matter experts. Course and program development processes are outlined in the Curriculum Committee Handbook and follow practices and procedures according to Title V, Academic Senate, and California Community College Chancellor’s Office standards. Locally, the curriculum committee has developed a New Course Documentation Form, Curriculum Review Checklist, and a Cultural Competency Checklist to help faculty create or revise curriculum.

The College catalog lists in a chart all available degree and certificate programs, including noncredit certificates, and it states degree and certificate requirements for every program offered, as well as the local general education and unit requirements to earn an associate degree. All degree and certificate programs have PSLOs that have been reviewed by SLO experts, conform to standards outlined in the faculty SLO Handbook and through the Curriculum Audit Trail to certify the PSLO is measurable. PSLO’s are listed in the catalog and on every program sheet in the College’s curriculum management system. BP and AP 4020 Program and Curriculum Development state, “The programs and curricula of the Santa
Clarita Community College District shall be of high quality, relevant to community and student needs, and evaluated regularly to ensure quality and currency. To that end, the CEO shall establish procedures for the development and review of all curricular offerings, including their establishment, modification, or discontinuance.” BP and AP 4100, 462 Associate Degree and Certificate Requirements, describe the graduation requirements, major fields of study, multiple majors, general education requirements, competency requirements, certificate requirements, and noncredit certificate requirements. BP and AP 4260, 463 Prerequisites/Corequisites/Advisories and Limitations on Enrollment, authorize the district to establish these limitations on enrollment in accordance with the standards set out in Title V.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College provides clear and accessible information regarding available degree and certificate programs and the requirements needed to earn them. The College follows an established curriculum process to ensure appropriate length, breadth, depth, rigor, course sequencing, completion time, and synthesis of learning. The College is committed to developing appropriate programs to help students in transfer, career education, and basic skills.

6. The institution schedules courses in a manner that allows students to complete certificate and degree programs within a period of time consistent with established expectations in higher education. (ER 9)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College makes a coordinated effort to help students complete certificates and degrees in a timely manner. It develops and schedules courses through collegial consultation between department chairs, faculty, staff, and academic deans at monthly Instructional Advisory Council, 464 school, and department meetings. 465 Career education areas have advisory groups that provide valuable input on scheduling (as referenced with evidence in II.A.2). To assist students in completing certificate and degree requirements, the College offers courses at three sites, during the day, in the evening, and on the weekend, in a variety of modalities including in-person, 100 percent online, hybrid, onlineLIVE (synchronous remote), HyFlex, or a combination of any of these, depending on the discipline and students’ needs. 466 Course schedules for career education and transfer programs provide significant flexibility, enabling students to complete their certificates and degrees in a two-year period. 467 This sample schedule workbook shows departments have scope and sequences of courses that provide students various modalities, locations, and times of day. For example, Land Surveying and Water Technology programs have used the HyFlex modality for decades, so working students have easier access to courses. Through careful planning and scheduling between faculty, administrators, and staff, the College offers courses in 16-, 12-, 8-, and 5-week classes during the regular terms (fall and spring), with 5-week sessions in winter and 5- and 8-week sessions in summer. 468 As referenced

462 Board Policy 4100: Associate Degree/Certificate Requirements
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in II.A.13, courses are scheduled in modalities and as short- or full-term classes based on the discipline and students’ needs. For example, the sociology, culinary arts, and welding programs are among many that schedule courses in short-term formats to meet students’ needs. Importantly, the college schedules courses using a Guided Pathways approach, with the courses in every degree and certificate program mapped out for students via Academic Program Maps linked from each school’s website and displayed on the Program Pathways Mapper visualization tool, accessible from the College’s website and each academic school’s home page.

As outlined in the Section Development and Management Principles document, department chairs, school deans, and the Office of Instruction monitor enrollments closely and modify course offerings to accommodate students’ needs. Department chairs, deans, and the associate VP of instruction monitor section waitlists, adding sections to meet student demand where facilities and staffing allow. Decisions on section cancellations are based on multiple factors and consider course characteristics, such as whether they are gateway or capstone courses.

Prior to the pandemic, the College had transitioned to developing a full-year schedule, so students get a full-year view of classes for their educational planning. The College returned to that goal for 2021-2022 planning. Additionally, the College currently serves justice-impacted students through correspondence education at two County jails, offering noncredit and credit courses to meet students’ needs and help them complete certificates and degrees. The College also offers nearly forty dual and concurrent enrollment courses each semester at eight high schools, so students can get an early start on college by earning college and high school credit at no cost. This saves time and money and helps prepare students for the rigors of college level studies. In addition, the College offers more than 200 tuition-free noncredit courses and over 40 noncredit certificates to meet student, community, and business needs.

Analysis and Evaluation

The District has a comprehensive scheduling process to ensure students can complete degrees and certificate programs in a timely manner. Serving a majority part-time student population, the College is committed to adopting processes and policies for schedule development that maximize student access, minimize disruption, and help students complete their programs.

7. The institution effectively uses delivery modes, teaching methodologies and learning support services that reflect the diverse and changing needs of its students, in support of equity in success for all students.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College effectively uses a variety of delivery methods, teaching methodologies and learning support services to deliver content to students in alignment with current standards.
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outlines of record (COR) detail specific methods of instruction appropriate to each course. Delivery methods for instruction by public safety agencies, as contracted through instructional service agreements (ISAs), also align with current standards. Departments that use delivery methods other than, or in addition to, face-to-face instruction must file a Distance Learning Addendum (DLA) indicating if the course is to be taught 100 percent asynchronously (labeled 100 percent online), a mix of in-person and asynchronous instruction (labeled hybrid), or both. Some programs use a special hybrid mode called HyFlex which couples in-person instruction with simultaneous live instruction over web conferencing software to offer flexibility, especially for working students in career education programs. The COVID-19 pandemic led the College to deploy additional delivery modalities. For the College’s justice-impacted students, where instructors could no longer go to the jails, a Correspondence Education Addendum was developed so instruction could continue. To prepare for future disruptions, departments developed Provisional Distance Learning Addenda or Fully Online by Mutual Agreement (FOMA), where a face-to-face course intended to be taught in-person (such as a science laboratory) might be taught in an online format in an emergency. The pandemic also led the College to develop a new delivery method, live instruction over web conferencing software (Zoom), which the College named, onlineLIVE. The curriculum committee is reviewing all DLA forms to better integrate the new delivery methods into a single form, similar to the existing, more traditional, Online and Hybrid DLA options. All these varied modalities are available for the public to view in the eLumen system.

Faculty are required to take training to teach in various online modes, assuring consistent high-quality instruction. Training is at least twice a year, with additional one-on-one training available to teach via correspondence to students in the Incarcerated Education Program. With the pandemic causing most of the College’s offerings, during the last year, to be online or onlineLIVE, the College used Higher Education Emergency Relief Funding to hire an
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instructional designer, who partnered with faculty and staff to develop template Canvas shells that give faculty embedded resources to convert on-campus classes to distance education formats, using current online pedagogical best practices. The Online Education Department has significantly increased professional development opportunities for faculty transitioning to online because of COVID-19. The department collaborated with the Academic Senate to develop training timelines and training requirements for teaching fully online or onlineLIVE classes.

The College uses research to locate and strategically mitigate student success gaps on a college wide scale. To investigate success rates of instructional methods (especially onlineLIVE), the Institutional Research, Planning, and Institutional Effectiveness (IRPIE) team assembled a Tableau visualization to compare fall 2018, fall 2019, and fall 2020 data. It allows departments to disaggregate by method of delivery, down to the course level, and to view success and retention data. This was an additional resource during the schedule development and planning process, better informing decisions on instructional modality and scheduling. Additionally, Annual Program Review Tableau Visualization data lets departments evaluate courses and programs and address any gaps, disaggregating data by year, term, age, gender, ethnicity, etc.

To better support student equity and inclusion, the curriculum committee developed a Cultural Competency Checklist for instructors to design CORs and section syllabi. The Committee for Excellence in Teaching and Learning (CETL) offers a class on Culturally Responsive Teaching, and faculty developed a Culturally Responsive Teaching Handbook. To support faculty teaching in the College’s Incarcerated Education Program during the pandemic (when in-person instruction isn’t possible), the Office of Instruction developed an orientation training program and materials on justice-impacted education teaching strategies, and resources on incarcerated correspondence education. The College’s Student Equity & Achievement (SEA) Plan funds activities that help the college better support students, by identifying gaps in student success. These help develop programs to address disproportionate impact in enrollment, retention, completion of transfer level English and math, number of degrees, certificates, and ADTs awarded, transfers to UC/CSU, private and out-of-state four-year institutions. Efforts to close DI gaps include implementing mentor programs; opening a Multicultural Center; launching Canyons Connects, an early alert and intervention program; funding research and FIGs that develop programs to close DI gaps; increasing transfer workshops; leveraging benefits of student employment; increasing access to services during evening hours; developing African American studies courses in history and sociology; targeting majors with high representation of African
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American male students; providing support to other DI groups such as male Latinos; and other efforts integrated with the Guided Pathways plan, Canyons Completes.\textsuperscript{513, 514}

Students have access to a variety of learning support services, including the Library, TLC, MESA, the Academic Accommodation Center and more, all described fully in II.B. The College is proud that it maintained quality and effectiveness in student learning support services, when moving many of them online due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Canvas support, Student computer support, The Learning Center online tutoring, email and MyCanyons, online printing, and Talk Live with a Librarian are some of the services that adapted fully online to address the pandemic’s impact on students. The College also offered mental health services in an online mode.\textsuperscript{515} When county regulations permitted it, TLC offered safely configured on-campus study spaces.\textsuperscript{516} Additionally, Student Services purchased 500 laptops, launching large-scale distribution of loaner and free laptops, from March 2020 through June 2021 to support students facing financial hardship and technological barriers to online modalities, during the pandemic.\textsuperscript{517}

The College supported equity and student success, as an early champion of multiple measures placement. It was active in the California Acceleration Project by 2013, and well before passage of AB 705 in 2019, the College made significant changes to placement practices and developmental course sequences based on student success data and student survey results gathered by the IRPIE. The research informed changes and gauged their effect on DI student populations, such as Latinx and African American males.\textsuperscript{518, 519} The results have been well documented by the College and acknowledged by several state-level awards from the Statewide Academic Senate and the State Chancellor’s Office.\textsuperscript{520} Recently, the College received the prestigious Bellwether Award for Instructional Programs and Services for its presentation highlighting the College’s implementation of AB 705 for English and mathematics, entitled Dismantling Barriers to Support Students: Placement Exams & Developmental Courses.\textsuperscript{521}

**Analysis and Evaluation**

To address students’ changing needs through the lens of equity and inclusion and respond to circumstances such as the COVID-19 pandemic, the College effectively employs a variety of instructional delivery modalities, including face-to-face, asynchronous online, hybrid, hyflex, and synchronous onlineLIVE instruction, as well as a variety of learning support services, including the Library, TLC, Canvas support, and Canyons Connects, in-person and online. The College’s curriculum committee and Academic Senate play active roles in determining processes and setting timelines for curricula development in different instructional modalities, and for faculty training requirements. Data from the IRPIE team plays an integral role in planning and decision-making during schedule development processes and in helping department chairs and administrators evaluate and identify gaps in student success. The College is committed to
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continuing efforts to improve delivery of instruction through an increasing variety of modalities and to providing students with learning support services in response to their changing needs, guided by principles of equity and inclusion outlined by the College’s strategic goals of Access, Engagement, and Success, aligned with the State Chancellor's Office’s Vision for Success.  

8. The institution validates the effectiveness of department-wide course and/or program examinations, where used, including direct assessment of prior learning. The institution ensures that processes are in place to reduce test bias and enhance reliability.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

Pursuant to the California Community Colleges State Chancellor’s Office Memo and requirement regarding formulation of a Credit for Prior Learning (CPL) policy, the Board approved BP and AP 4235 during the fall 2020 semester. The CPL regulation changes state the assessment methods that can be used for CPL, the general eligibility criteria, and how units earned by CPL may be applied. To promote consistent, transparent, equitable evaluation of an assessment submitted for CPL, each department must create and maintain a rubric, evaluation instrument, or pre-defined standard, appropriate for a given assessment for each CPL-eligible course. The lack of such an instrument, will not preclude a CPL award in a particular case if equitable and appropriate. Students are informed of these opportunities via the CPL website, where they can submit a CPL form.  

During academic year 2020-2021, there were seven CPL entries for five unduplicated students (one student had three separate entries), with twenty-one units awarded. Student Services conducted a survey in spring 2021 to learn which departments intend to offer CPL for work experience. Seventeen departments replied.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

With BP and AP 4235 approved, the College is developing more robust communications on CPL options for students. Transitioning from credit by examination to CPL, the College broadens opportunities for students to earn CPL. The CPL website will need improvement to develop a more user-friendly interface. Continuous improvement can be realized by including instructional videos on the website, so students are aware of the process and their options. In addition, the College does not have other department- or program-wide examinations to validate, and in accordance with AB 705, completely eliminated any biases and disproportionate impact as described in II.C.7 for its math, English and ESL programs.

9. The institution awards course credit, degrees and certificates based on student attainment of learning outcomes. Units of credit awarded are consistent with institutional policies that reflect

---
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Standard II: Student Learning Programs and Support Services

A. Instructional Programs

generally accepted norms or equivalencies in higher education. If the institution offers courses based on clock hours, it follows Federal standards for clock-to-credit-hour conversions. (ER 10)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College’s faculty follow rigorous curriculum approval processes to develop and revise courses and programs and to teach and grade student work. All CORs list the CSLOs and objectives. SLOs align with course objectives and content, thus articulating the knowledge, skills, and abilities a student acquires on successful completion of a course. Analyses of regularly assessed SLO data reveal insights into student performance measures and are incorporated into annual program reviews to improve the curriculum and student success. The College supports the positions of Data Coaches who hold workshops to train faculty on analyzing assessment data for meaning and insight. SLOs for courses are mapped out and aligned to program learning outcomes. Course and program learning outcomes are reviewed through the curriculum approval process and are signed off by SLO coordinators. For consistency with standards set by the Committee for Assessing Student Learning, SLO Handbook, and curriculum committee, Curriculum Handbook, CSLOs and PSLOs are published on course outlines, syllabi, and the catalog as appropriate.

The College complies with federal regulations and commonly accepted higher education standards for grading, credit hour calculations, transfer, career education equivalency, and articulation practices for credit and noncredit curriculum as applicable.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College upholds rigorous curriculum standards. Clear learning outcomes are established and assessed to assure that course credit and degree and certificate awards are based on student attainment of learning outcomes. The College awards thousands of degrees and certificates, enabling students to graduate, transfer, and successfully and competitively gain employment.
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10. The institution makes available to its students clearly stated transfer-of-credit policies in order to facilitate the mobility of students without penalty. In accepting transfer credits to fulfill degree requirements, the institution certifies that the expected learning outcomes for transferred courses are comparable to the learning outcomes of its own courses. Where patterns of student enrollment between institutions are identified, the institution develops articulation agreements as appropriate to its mission. (ER 10)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

During the 2014-2015 academic year, the College offered 18 associate degrees for transfer (ADTs). The College has since increased its offerings to 31 ADTs that allow students to complete a degree with 60 transferable units and gain guaranteed admission to a CSU in a similar major with junior-year status. The College’s website lists approved ADTs. Certain courses in an ADT must be approved by the Course Identification Number (C-ID) system. In 2014-2015, the College had 73 such approved courses, and since then has increased C-ID approved courses to over 300. These are listed in the catalog below each course title, identified by the C-ID descriptor discipline and number, and are also available through the C-ID website. All Transferable courses offered at the College are listed on the Articulation System Stimulating Inter-Institutional Transfer (ASSIST) website. ASSIST is an online public articulation database for students, faculty, and staff to verify the transferability of courses between California Community Colleges (CCC)s and CSU and UC campuses. ASSIST allows users to search for CCC courses with the following approvals: CSU Transferable, CSU-General Education Breadth, CSU US History/Constitution/American Ideals, Intersegmental General Education Transfer (IGETC) for UC and CSU, and UC Transferable courses. ASSIST users can also search for articulation agreements between a CCC and a CSU or UC campus based on specific major agreements and department agreements. The College continues to develop articulation agreements with public, private, and out-of-state four-year institutions in accordance with BP and AP 4050. Recently, the College has begun developing articulation agreements with other CCCs that offer baccalaureate degree programs. These agreements give local students another option for transfer to baccalaureate programs.

The Dr. Dianne G. Van Hook University Center, on the College’s Valencia campus, offers various bachelor’s, master’s, and doctoral degrees through University Center partner institutions. Articulation information is available on the ASSIST website, about CSU/UC partners’ bachelor’s degree programs offered in the Center. Information is available for private colleges and university partners on the College of the Canyons website, where there is also a list of current programs at the University Center. Admission to University Center programs is handled through the respective partner institutions.
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The College also has course-to-course articulation agreements with the Wm. S. Hart Union High School District (Hart District). An articulated high school course is one in which community college and high school faculty have determined that a course offered at the high school level is comparable to a specific community college course. A list of current articulated courses between the College and the Hart District is available on the College website.561

Students who transfer to the College are assisted in evaluation of transcripts from other schools, after they have earned 12 units in residence.562 The Admissions and Records department (A&R) has a Transcript Evaluation Form students complete to have outside transcripts evaluated.563 Evaluators from A&R review classes from local schools to determine which are lower division courses that can be accepted, based on internal databases and articulation agreements. To evaluate outside transcripts from private schools, out-of-state and local colleges, students meet with counselors to discuss their major and educational goals.564 Counselors research and evaluate specific classes to determine if they qualify as transfer credits towards their major, general education requirements or as electives. In addition to ASSIST, counselors use online resources such as Transfer Evaluation System (TES), College Source, and other internal databases to determine how each class can be used. Degree Customization forms identify where individual classes are selected to meet specific degree requirements.565 Degree customizations are processed in a student’s last semester prior to graduation.566

Students can learn about articulation agreements and transfer information through the counseling department and transfer center.567 Both departments have extensive transfer information, links and resources on their websites and offer multiple workshops for new and current students interested in transferring to a university. In “Transfer 101 Workshops,” new students learn about transfer admissions requirements, factors to consider and key recommendations when researching colleges. In “Ready, Set, Apply Workshops,” current students with 30 units or more learn about the steps and process of transferring and the application timeline for public and private schools. Additional workshops include the UC Transfer Admission Guarantee (TAG) and “I Have Been Admitted, What’s Next?” for follow-up steps after being accepted to a university.568 The transfer center hosts sessions and appointments with college representatives, so students can meet individually or in small groups to ask questions about articulation agreements, majors, campus life and transfer requirements. The transfer center offers support for students about the steps and time frames for transfer.569 Counseling and the transfer center offer appointments and express drop-in meetings through the year for students who have transfer or academic counseling questions during any stage of the transfer planning process.

561 High School Articulation
562 Transcript Evaluation Process Catalog
563 Transcript Evaluation Form
564 Counseling Services
565 Transcript Evaluation/Degree Customization Process by Counselor
566 Degree Customization Form
567 Counseling Department and Transfer Center Articulation Agreements
568 Transfer Resources
569 Transfer Center
Analysis and Evaluation

The District provides clear, accessible information on transfer-of-credit policies to ensure student completion and success without penalty. The College shows consistent support for student mobility with comprehensive processes for evaluating transcripts, customizing degrees, and providing resources and services through the counseling department and transfer center.

11. The institution includes in all of its programs, student learning outcomes, appropriate to the program level, in communication competency, information competency, quantitative competency, analytic inquiry skills, ethical reasoning, the ability to engage diverse perspectives, and other program-specific learning outcomes

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College catalog states that general education (GE) courses comprise a body of knowledge that introduces students to the natural sciences, social sciences, humanities and arts, written communications, critical thinking, and physical education and wellness. The College’s GE patterns allow students to meet core competencies by prescribing specific courses in specific areas required for graduation. As noted in I.B.2, in 2016, the College adopted new ISLOs based on the AAC&U LEAP outcomes. All students completing an associate degree must complete one of the GE patterns. The College’s ISLOs align with various listed competencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STANDARD II.A.11 Competency</th>
<th>College’s Institutional Student Learning Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Communication Competency</td>
<td>Effective Oral / Written Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Competency</td>
<td>Information Literacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative Competency</td>
<td>Quantitative Literacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytic Inquiry Skills</td>
<td>Critical Thinking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical Reasoning</td>
<td>Community Engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engage in Diverse Perspectives</td>
<td>Collaboration / Global Responsibility</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Descriptions of each of the ISLOs have rubrics for evaluating the outcomes and help for instructors to develop capstone assessments for their courses. The College has mapped all GE courses to ISLOs for effective evaluation. Specific Program Level SLOs (degree or certificate) are listed with each major in the catalog, Program Map, and on the Program Pathways Mapper. Noncredit certificate programs do not require students to complete the GE
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pattern. These programs have individualized program specific SLOs in the catalog.\textsuperscript{577}

The College shows its commitment to aligning all student learning with ISLOs by including them in its New Course Documentation Form.\textsuperscript{578} All new courses proposed to the curriculum committee must complete this form before curriculum is considered.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

All programs at the College have specific learning outcomes appropriate to the level of study. In addition, transfer level programs are tied to GE and ISLOs. Although the College names the competencies slightly differently, the specified competencies are present. The College is committed to aligning its programs and all coursework to the ISLOs.

12. The institution requires of all of its degree programs a component of general education based on a carefully considered philosophy for both associate and baccalaureate degrees that is clearly stated in its catalog. The institution, relying on faculty expertise, determines the appropriateness of each course for inclusion in the general education curriculum, based upon student learning outcomes and competencies appropriate to the degree level. The learning outcomes include a student’s preparation for and acceptance of responsible participation in civil society, skills for lifelong learning and application of learning, and a broad comprehension of the development of knowledge, practice, and interpretive approaches in the arts and humanities, the sciences, mathematics, and social sciences. (ER 12)

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

The College is dedicated to the philosophy that all students receive the highest quality education possible. Doing so helps ensure learning experiences will enhance students’ academic and career opportunities and develop civic awareness and personal responsibility. The College’s philosophy and criteria for associate degrees and general education are described in BP 4025.\textsuperscript{579} Students receiving an associate degree at the College must satisfactorily complete GE requirements from the following areas: Natural Sciences, Social and Behavioral Sciences, Humanities, Language and Rationality, American Institutions, Physical Education and Wellness, and a Diversity requirement. The areas are described in AP 4025,\textsuperscript{580} and courses satisfying each area are listed in the catalog\textsuperscript{581} and on counseling advising sheets.\textsuperscript{582} The curriculum committee is responsible for reviewing new and existing courses proposed for GE requirements. These typically take place at the last Curriculum Committee meeting of the academic year.\textsuperscript{583, 584, 585, 586, 587} Courses that meet CSU GE Breadth (CSU-GE) and IGETC are also listed in the catalog\textsuperscript{588} and on counseling
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A. Instructional Programs

advising sheets. Courses are submitted for CSU-GE and IGETC consideration typically once a year in December by the College’s articulation officer, consulting with disciple faculty. Students who wish to complete an associate degree for transfer (ADT) must complete either the CSU-GE or IGETC transfer pattern in addition to the major requirements of the ADT.

Analysis and Evaluation

Students receiving an associate degree at the College must satisfactorily complete GE requirements. The catalog and other college materials provide clear, accessible information to students and prospective students about available GE courses and transfer patterns. The College follows an established process for review of local GE courses, and submission of courses to the CSU and UC systems for consideration for transfer patterns.

13. All degree programs include focused study in at least one area of inquiry or in an established interdisciplinary core. The identification of specialized courses in an area of inquiry or interdisciplinary core is based upon student learning outcomes and competencies, and include mastery, at the appropriate degree level, of key theories and practices within the field of study.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The development of degree and certificate programs at the College incorporates industry experience, theoretical expertise by faculty, experts in their respective fields, and practice standards and guidelines for career education (CE) programs that have state regulatory or national standards and competencies. CSLOs are developed within the context of the PSLOs that map to the College’s ISLOs. These outcomes are published in the college catalog, available online. The Guided Pathways (Canyons Completes) project clusters learning areas and fields of study into student Pathway Mappers that provide paths for successful progression of affiliated courses toward certificate or degree completions within focused fields of study. The function of the Program/Pathway Mapper project is to provide clear discipline- and career-related skills, knowledge, and abilities that can reflect students’ interests and guide their course progressions in a manner that keeps students engaged on the path to certificate and degree attainment for entry into the workforce or transfer to four-year institutions.

The College’s transfer programs align SLOs in their disciplines for intersegmental learning connections, to maximize articulation with UC, CSU, and private four-year institutions. Biology is an example of a transfer-oriented department with an established interdisciplinary core. It requires courses in chemistry, math, and physics in addition to biology for completion of its IGETC requirements and its AS-T degree. It also supports human anatomy, human physiology, and general microbiology courses that meet general education
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requirements. Interdisciplinary courses for the biology AS-T degree were developed through the department’s program planning process and are transferable within the CSU system.596 The College ensures that all new degree and certificated programs are developed within appropriate curriculum structures and processes by faculty with subject-matter mastery and expertise in their fields.597 Faculty within CE areas maintain recency and industry expertise, including meeting industry standards for professional development. The Nursing faculty illustrate this with subspecialties of clinical practice and ongoing required licensure. Curriculum in CE areas is developed with guidance from associated advisory committees that recommend any industry-related specialized content and needed level of skill mastery.598, 599

The South Central Coast Regional Consortium (SCCRC) provides a mechanism for advisement to colleges with resources and capacity to collaborate on local and regional needs in a manner that promotes efficiency and eliminates duplication or competitive programming. This most effectively supports equitable student access to high-need programs and to measure regional achievement of indicators of student and incumbent worker educational progress. The College has 10 industry sectors represented in its CE programs.600 Faculty and advisory committees have developed and refined the courses and course sequences to prepare students for certificates, degrees, paths leading to satisfying careers, or completion of transfer requirements articulating with 4-year colleges or universities. Current student job placement data shows the College’s success in giving students knowledge, skills, and attitudes for successful placement in program-related jobs.601 Students who completed CE courses had a median 31 percent wage increase.602

All degrees at the College meet the State Chancellor’s office requirements.603 The College offers 31 approved AA-T and AS-T degree programs that guarantee admission to the CSU system with junior standing. In the 2019-2020 academic year, the College offered 96 degree programs, 146 certificate programs, and awarded a record 3,184 degrees, a 12 percent growth rate over the previous year. Of the 61 career education programs offered 2,713 certificates were awarded.604

Analysis and Evaluation

All credit certificates and degrees at the College originate from research and experience in fields of study, current practice models, and industry expertise with emphasis on student preparation for the workplace or transfer to four-year institutions. Through ongoing development of the Canyons Completes model, program-level objectives are aligned with course-level objectives to provide guidance and support necessary for students to find their path, stay on their chosen path, and complete their path in preparation for entry into the workforce with relevant skills and capabilities or to transfer to continue toward their degree completion goals. 605
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14. Graduates completing career-technical certificates and degrees demonstrate technical and professional competencies that meet employment standards and other applicable standards and preparation for external licensure and certification.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College offers 28 CE degree and certificate programs\(^\text{606, 607}\) with track records of curriculum staying current with industry standards.\(^\text{608, 609, 610, 611}\) Graduating students successfully attain professional and technical competencies to pass licensure exams\(^\text{612}\) and compete for jobs.\(^\text{613}\)

CE programs follow local, state, and federal curriculum standards and are periodically reviewed as appropriate.\(^\text{614, 615, 616}\) They are led by faculty and department chairs who engage in professional development to stay current with industry standards.\(^\text{617, 618}\) In addition, CE programs are reviewed annually for program improvements using completion, certification, licensure pass rates, and job placement data.\(^\text{619}\) Many programs are supported by faculty director\(^\text{620}\) positions to assure program quality and maintain compliance with external accreditation or approval agencies.\(^\text{621, 622, 623}\) Using multiple funding sources, the CE programs successfully acquire resources necessary to provide effective instruction.\(^\text{624}\) Students completing CE courses in disciplines that don’t require degrees, such as the water program, have also had successful outcomes such as job placement, increased earnings, or success in passing licensure exams. CE programs successfully provide the education and training students need to meet professional obligations.\(^\text{625}\) Additionally, CE faculty work with industry partners to develop content that prepares students for success in the workplace.\(^\text{626}\) Advisory boards meet once a semester for all programs.\(^\text{627, 628, 629}\) Insight and recommendations from advisory boards are integrated into program modifications.
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Students in many of the College’s CE programs earn local degrees or certificates and take state or national licensure exams. For example, the College’s 2021 ACCJC Annual Report shows MLT program completers sit for The American Society of Clinical Pathologists’ Board of Certification national MLT Exam and have a 100 percent pass rate. Nursing students sit for the National Council Licensure Examination and have an 89.4 percent pass rate, and EMT students who complete the program sit for the National Registry EMT examination and have a 90.8 percent pass rate. Examining job placement data demonstrates that the College’s students attain technical and professional competencies that meet employment standards. They gain employment in an expeditious manner and earn more than before they completed their programs.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College’s CE program students have remarkable success after graduation, with high pass rates on professional licensure and certification exams, demonstrating a high level of technical and professional competency in their fields.

15. When programs are eliminated or program requirements are significantly changed, the institution makes appropriate arrangements so that enrolled students may complete their education in a timely manner with a minimum of disruption.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

Pursuant to Title 5, Section 51022(a), the College’s Board has adopted BP and AP 401 to address initiation, modification, or discontinuance of courses or programs. The program viability (PV) committee is responsible for implementing BP and AP 4021. The PV committee meets regularly to evaluate the feasibility of initiating, modifying, or discontinuing academic programs. Decisions are based on qualitative and quantitative evidence and considerations of program human, physical, and financial resources needed. Until recently during this accreditation cycle, there has been no need to discontinue any programs. Due to changes in industry and educational requirements, the sports medicine associate degree was brought to the PV committee for discontinuance. After reviewing its degree discontinuance narrative, the PV committee developed a detailed discontinuance proposal form to ensure any program that applies for discontinuance is carefully assessed. The proposal form addresses how discontinuance of a program will impact students and how committed resources would be reallocated. The PV process requires a timeline during which students can reasonably complete a discontinued program, including requirements that the use of course substitutions, program information from other colleges or districts, and catalog rights be clearly stated. Similarly, the solar program was subsequently brought to the PV committee for discontinuance. Once
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discontinuance is approved by the PV committee, a recommendation is made to the curriculum committee to process discontinuance of the curriculum associated with the program.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

To safeguard student completion, the College has created effective operational procedures for assessing program viability including modification and discontinuance. All future requests for program modification and discontinuance will adhere to established processes, complete the required documentation, and ensure students are not adversely affected.

16. The institution regularly evaluates and improves the quality and currency of all instructional programs offered in the name of the institution, including collegiate, pre-collegiate, career-technical, and continuing and community education courses and programs, regardless of delivery mode or location. The institution systematically strives to improve programs and courses to enhance learning outcomes and achievement for students.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

The College Planning Team has a culture of planning driven by the College’s mission, values, and philosophy statements.\(^{640, 641}\) The College’s strategic vision is characterized by three words: access, engagement, and success. Administrators, faculty and staff engage in a systematic process of annual and three-year cycles, guided by the program review committee operating procedures\(^ {642}\) and the Academic Senate.\(^ {643}\) All academic departments and administrative programs complete full program reviews every three years with annual updates for general transfer,\(^ {644}\) career,\(^ {645}\) and continuing and community education courses.\(^ {646}\)

The Curriculum Handbook\(^ {647}\) serves as a guide to faculty and administrators on the evaluation and improvement of curriculum processes. Additionally, there are several guides and webpages available to faculty who are addressing both course and department assessment.\(^ {648}\) These include guides for multiple assessment activities\(^ {649}\) including assessment rubrics.\(^ {650}\) Continuous improvement of student learning outcomes (SLO)s is of primary significance for all faculty. The SLO Handbook\(^ {651}\) is updated regularly and serves as a key resource. In addition, input sought by advisory boards for all CE programs each semester ensures that instructional quality is maintained, current, and in alignment with workforce needs.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College demonstrates strong commitment to continuously improve the quality and currency of all instructional programs through program review, curriculum, and assessment including all
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delivery modes and locations. As the College moves forward, program review and curriculum development processes will continue to be systematically integrated in institutional planning as demonstrated by CPT’s work, Canyons Completes, and IRPIE data.

Conclusions on Standard II.A. Instructional Programs

The College ensures that all instructional programs meet the standards set by ACCJC and the U.S. Department of Education. Faculty serve an integral role in creating and maintaining curriculum, with support from classified professional staff, the administration, and industry partners. The College’s overarching mission is to help students attain their educational goals in an efficient way, while meeting emerging workforce needs. Curriculum is maintained and offered in a variety of modalities and locations to support student momentum, degree and certificate completion, transfer, and employability. As part of its ongoing responsiveness, the College will continue to develop new courses and programs aligned with workforce needs (especially in industry sectors with demonstrable labor market demand); enhance project-based learning opportunities; increase engagement with local business and industry; and further reduce equity gaps for traditionally underrepresented students through continued diversity, equity, and inclusion efforts. The College aims to expand Canyons Connects use and develop Program Maps for programs that cater to students’ different needs, including part-time and online, with mapped pathways into four-year transfer institutions and University Center partner institutions. Finally, as part of the College’s Canyons Completes framework, efforts to promote a college-going culture with exposure to career education pathways will be expanded to middle-school students in grades 6–8, to engage them in dual enrollment once in high school.

Improvement Plan(s)

College staff will further engage together to monitor SLOs mastery and achievement data for all modalities (II.A.16) and create a more robust CPL website interface for students (II.A.8).
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<td>II.A.14.21</td>
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<td>II.A.15.6</td>
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</tr>
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<td>II.A.16.1</td>
<td>II.A.16.2</td>
<td>II.A.16.3</td>
</tr>
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<td>II.A.16.5</td>
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<td>II.A.16.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. Library and Learning Support Services

1. The institution supports student learning and achievement by providing library, and other learning support services to students and to personnel responsible for student learning and support. These services are sufficient in quantity, currency, depth, and variety to support educational programs, regardless of location or means of delivery, including distance education and correspondence education. Learning support services include, but are not limited to, library collections, tutoring, learning centers, computer laboratories, learning technology, and ongoing instruction for users of library and other learning support services. (ER 17)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

College of the Canyons supports student learning and achievement, providing a wide variety of current, in-depth library and learning support services to students, faculty, and other employees. Library collections are on the library website, library website, and tutoring, computer laboratories, learning technology, and instruction are included on these services. A range of platforms notify students and faculty of services. The Learning Center (TLC) informs faculty and staff of available student support resources via the College Catalog, official faculty handbooks, orientation materials, and departmental and division meetings. Faculty and staff also receive guidance on TLC support services via training presentations, emails, and flyers.

All services offered at the Valencia campus are offered at the Canyon Country Campus (CCC), so students receive support irrespective of location. The facility at CCC is smaller, so service is on a smaller scale. Nonetheless, each facility includes a selection of physical materials, access to computers, Wi-Fi access, study space, library instruction, and reference support from

---

652 Library website
653 TLC Website Homepage
654 TLC Website About Us
655 Bringing Library into Online Instruction 08.12.20
656 Library instruction request form
657 Library Instruction Tracking Spring 2020
658 Library Instruction Tracking Fall 2019
659 MLA and APA on Commons 10.22.20
660 Fall 2020 FLEX Calendar
661 New Employee Orientation Agenda 091620
662 College Catalog Library and TLC Information
663 TLC YouTube Informative Video
664 College Faculty Handbook
665 College New Employee Orientation Agenda 09.16.20
666 Math Department Meeting Agenda 11.06.20
667 Humanities Meeting Agenda 10.05.20
668 TLC Faculty Training Presentation Document
669 TLC Email to Faculty
670 TLC Flyer
671 TLC Infographic Flyer
The library provides services online and remotely (telephone, for example), including reference assistance and library instruction. Services are also accessible through the library website, Canvas and YouTube. The library has content in Canvas to provide access for students through their online learning environment. Among the resources available through the library’s website are journal articles, eBooks, and streaming media. All online resources are available regardless of location, whether users are participating in online education or otherwise.

The College participates in the California Community College Rising Scholars program that provides instruction for students in the criminal justice system. For incarcerated students, the College supplies all instructional supplies they need for credit and noncredit classes. Faculty provide tutoring and office hours to the Rising Scholars for select classes such as English.

TLC provides free access to a wide range of tutoring services and academic workshops for students at both physical locations and web-based access through the virtual campus. TLC staff develop and maintain a wide range of educational resources to support students outside of regular tutoring hours. These online and remote resources include Guided Learning Activities (GLAs) for English, math, and chemistry courses, workshops designed to develop writing and communication skills, and test review sessions for specific subjects.

TLC informs students and the community about services via the Catalog, handouts at college events, in-class presentations, guided tours, the Student Newsletter, textbook inserts in the College’s Open Educational Resources, and digital marquees and social media.
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Students are given detailed instructions on access and use of support services through physical and online documents. In addition to test proctoring services through faculty requests, TLC also provides proctoring for GED and various certification and industry exams.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets the standard that library and other learning support services are sufficient in quantity, currency, depth, and variety to support educational programs, regardless of location or means of delivery, including distance education and correspondence education.

2. Relying on appropriate expertise of faculty, including librarians, and other learning support services professionals, the institution selects and maintains educational equipment and materials to support student learning and enhance the achievement of the mission.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College relies on appropriate expertise to select and maintain educational equipment and materials to support student learning, with the library using its own faculty expertise to guide selection. Discussion of selection and broader provision of services takes place in regularly scheduled one-on-one meetings and in library-wide meetings and retreats. The library also seeks feedback from classroom faculty and takes that into consideration in decision making regarding materials and services. In conjunction with campus IT and campus facilities services, the library maintains equipment sufficient for supporting student learning.

TLC staff meet on a weekly basis to evaluate current practices, develop additional resources, and address potential barriers to student learning. Additional meetings are scheduled as needed to address shelter-in-place alerts, fires, and other emergencies as well as academic integrity, retention and success rates, and additional academic concerns. An English faculty liaison and a staff member focused on student athlete support attend these meetings, hold office hours in TLC, create workshops for students in their areas, and provide direct mentorship and

---

697 TLC Digital Marquee Information
698 TLC Website Online Live Zoom Tutoring Instructions
699 TLC Website Canvas Support Instructions
700 TLC Emergency Preparedness Drill Information Sheet
701 TLC Website Testing Center Information
702 Library policies
703 Library Retreat Agenda 08.18.20
704 Library Retreats
705 Librarian Meetings
706 Database survey (Fall 2018)
707 Databases Survey Comments Fall 2018
708 Collected Feedback on Database Trial 11.18.19
709 Nursing Databases Trial Invitation
710 Annual Library Data Survey 2019-2020
711 TLC Staff Meeting Email
712 TLC Shelter-In-Place Drill Meeting Agenda
713 TLC-Library-Assessment-Culinary Internal Emergency Preparedness Drill
714 TLC Employees and Academic Integrity Document
715 TLC Retention and Success Analysis
716 TLC Computer Use Rules and Guidelines Training Document
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support to students. In addition to subject-specific trainings, TLC tutors and receptionists receive trainings on diversity, sexual harassment, emergency incidents, customer service, TLC rules and guidelines, and commonly asked questions. The College’s faculty also receive tutoring satisfaction surveys designed to enhance and improve TLC tutor skills. Equipment necessary for TLC services is tracked and updated through inventory documents and reports.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets the standard that library and other learning support services rely on appropriate expertise of faculty, librarians, and other learning support services professionals to select and maintain educational equipment and materials to support student learning and enhance the achievement of the mission.

3. The institution evaluates library and other learning support services to assure their adequacy in meeting identified student needs. Evaluation of these services includes evidence that they contribute to the attainment of student learning outcomes. The institution uses the results of these evaluations as the basis for improvement.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College evaluates library and other learning support services to assure they adequately meet identified student needs. The library completes an annual program review and uses that process to assess its progress toward goals. Annual review draws on data that the library collects to shape its goals and objectives. Examples include qualitative surveys on specific services, such as databases or instruction, and qualitative data on overall library services. The library also collects quantitative data on interactions with library users, employing the DeskTracker platform, and data on use of the collection and participation in instruction sessions. The library uses its data collection for program review, in librarian and departmental meetings, and at retreats.

References:

717 TLC Website Contact Us Information
718 TLC Athletic Coordinator Job Information
719 Learning Resources Meeting Agenda
720 TLC Diversity and Sexual Harassment Training Information
721 TLC Fire Information Document
722 TLC and Campus Wide Shelter-in-Place Drill Information
723 TLC Customer Service Checklist
724 TLC Employee Training Check Sheet
725 TLC Receptionist Common Questions and Answers Document
726 TLC Faculty-Staff Survey
727 TLC Computer Inventory Update
728 TLC ZTC Textbook Inventory List
729 TLC Emergency Supply List
730 IT TLC Maintenance Report
731 Adobe Product Email
732 Library Program Review
733 Library Instruction Survey Results Fall 2019
734 Display Responses 12.03.19
735 Circulation Data Fiscal Year 2019-present
TLC staff monitor and analyze use of support services via term tutoring-hours reports and academic-year tutoring summaries, and through measures that capture veteran student use, student athlete use, and use by students in specific courses.\textsuperscript{736, 737, 738, 739, 740} Feedback is solicited via the College’s annual student surveys and TLC on-campus and remote surveys.\textsuperscript{741, 742} These surveys provide feedback that drives goals and objectives for improvement. TLC provides Summary Reports and documents to faculty and staff via email and employee meetings.\textsuperscript{743}

A Learning Center Specialist monitors student activity records daily for errors or inconsistencies, using the CI Track program and making manual data corrections as necessary. The specialist runs cumulative progress reports covering all student activity in TLC at weeks 4, 8, 12, and 16.\textsuperscript{744} At the close of each semester, the specialist exports all data for the semester directly from CI Track, corrects any remaining errors, and separates the FTE generating from non-FTE generating activity. The non-FTE generating hours (study, testing) are tabulated solely for internal analysis of student activity. The FTE generating activity is separated into general categories (tutoring and supplemental workshops such as GLA/Workshops) before being broken down further by subject area (English, math, computer applications & web technologies). Once all data has been reviewed, the total FTE generating hours are reported to the Learning Center Director and MIS.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College meets the standard that library and other learning support services are evaluated to assure their adequacy in meeting identified student needs, and that these evaluations are used in departmental program reviews to assess development of and progress toward goals, objectives and plans for improvement.

4. When the institution relies on or collaborates with other institutions or other sources for library and other learning support services for its instructional programs, it documents that formal agreements exist and that such resources and services are adequate for the institution’s intended purposes, are easily accessible and utilized. The institution takes responsibility for and assures the security, maintenance, and reliability of services provided either directly or through contractual arrangement. The institution regularly evaluates these services to ensure their effectiveness. (ER 17)

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

When the College relies on or collaborates with other institutions or organizations for library or any other services, it documents that formal agreements exist and that the resources and services are adequate and are easily accessible and utilized. The College has board policies and
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\textsuperscript{736} TLC Tutoring Hours Report  
\textsuperscript{737} TLC Academic Year Totals Email  
\textsuperscript{738} TLC Veteran Use Report  
\textsuperscript{739} TLC Student Athlete Academic Mentor Survey  
\textsuperscript{740} English 089 Tutoring Survey  
\textsuperscript{741} College 9th Annual Student Survey  
\textsuperscript{742} TLC Survey Results Report  
\textsuperscript{743} College Employee Staff Meeting Document  
\textsuperscript{744} TLC 4-Week Internal Report
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administrative procedures governing contracts with outside entities\(^{745, 746}\) and the accessibility of information technology.\(^{747, 748}\) The arrangements are for critical library and learning support services. These include arrangements with the Council of Chief Librarians to provide a library services platform, numerous databases, and the library’s 24/7 chat reference service platform.\(^{749}\) The library also contracts with vendors for additional databases.\(^{750}\) In addition, the library contracts with vendors for utilities, such as OCLC’s bibliographic utility or DeskTracker, that support the library’s ability to provide services and assess their value.\(^{751, 752}\)

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this Standard. When it relies on or collaborates with other institutions or other sources for library and other learning support services for its instructional programs, it documents that there are formal agreements in place, that evaluations through program review demonstrate such resources and services are adequate for the institution’s intended purposes, and that they are easily accessible and utilized. The College does not rely on other institutions or sources for its tutoring support services.

Conclusions on Standard II.B. Library and Learning Support Services

The College meets Standard II.B. Evidence shows that the College supports student learning and achievement through a library and tutoring center, which serve students at physical campuses and online. The College regularly evaluates these services to determine if they are sufficient to support educational programs and student needs. It relies on faculty and other learning support services professionals to select and maintain equipment and materials to support student learning and the mission. The College evaluates its learning support services and conducts annual program reviews to ensure that they contribute to the attainment of student learning outcomes and fulfill the College’s mission. The results of these evaluations are used to improve. The institution documents formal agreements and evaluates the services provided by external sources.

Improvement Plan(s)

The College will provide expanded tutoring services to justice-impacted students who are participating in instruction beyond English (II.B.1).

\(^{745}\) Board Policy 6340: Bids and Contracts
\(^{746}\) Administrative Procedure 6340: Bids and Contracts (Excluding Public Works and Facilities Use)
\(^{747}\) Board Policy 6365: Contracts – Accessibility of Information Technology
\(^{748}\) Administrative Procedure 6365: Contracts – Accessibility of Information Technology
\(^{749}\) FX Membership Agreement Community College League of California 06.30.25
\(^{750}\) FX Subscriber License Agreement Intelecom 06.30.22
\(^{751}\) FX Master Services Agreement OCLC, Inc. 06.30.24
\(^{752}\) FX Service Agreement Compendium Library Services, LLC 01.31.24
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<tr>
<td>- II.B.1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- II.B.1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- II.B.1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- II.B.1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- II.B.1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- II.B.1.8</td>
</tr>
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<td>- II.B.1.9</td>
</tr>
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<td>- II.B.1.10</td>
</tr>
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<td>- II.B.1.15</td>
</tr>
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<td>- II.B.1.17</td>
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<td>- II.B.1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- II.B.1.19</td>
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C. Student Support Services

1. The institution regularly evaluates the quality of student support services and demonstrates that these services, regardless of location or means of delivery, including distance education and correspondence education, support student learning and enhance accomplishment of the mission of the institution. (ER 15)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

College of the Canyons regularly evaluates the quality of all student support systems through its program review process, through institutional research conducted via surveys and focus groups, and through administrative unit outcomes (AUO)s. The College reviews data to ensure that services meet student needs. The Student Services departments listed in the footnote below offer quality services to the College’s students year-round. Each office is available to students through Valencia, Canyon Country, Del Valle campuses, and online. The College uses a standard program review template completed by all administrative departments on a three-year cycle with annual updates. The template has an overview, the mission statement, AUOs, objectives, accomplishments, external and internal factors that affect the program, budget, and program needs. Each department must complete the review for its budget to be approved. The Institutional Research, Planning, and Institutional Effectiveness (IRPIE) office conducts surveys and focus groups to ensure services are effective and meet students’ needs. The departments regularly review survey data to make needed updates and changes. The IRPIE office also assists with the Community College Survey of Student Engagement (CCSSE), which can disclose needed changes. For example, the survey showed that students wanted more information about total cost of education prior to enrollment. The College now provides the cost information in online and in-person orientations and added it to advising modules for students. The Financial Aid Office developed an ongoing workshop in Personal Financial Literacy that led to creation of a program of noncredit courses, Money Management: College and Beyond, Resources and Strategies for College, and Online Learning Strategies. These offer a noncredit College Success Toolkit Certificate.

In 2016, the College received a Title V grant to increase online tutoring and counseling services, letting the College hire a 100 percent online counselor, increase online tutoring, and expand services by implementing an online student education planning tool named My Academic Plan (MAP). It was developed through the Colleague Self-Services modules and implemented by all counselors across all Student Services departments. Increased use of MAP by students gave the Instruction Office a list of classes students needed, helping enrollment management planning. The Counseling office increased online hours for students, expanding to nights and weekends, and to off-site locations, such as the Pitchess Detention Center (PDC) for men, where the College offers courses and on-site tutoring and academic counseling. During 2020-21, due to COVID-19, the courses and services were moved to correspondence education. Offerings are now made available to the women’s Century Regional Detention Facility.

---
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The expansion of concurrent and dual enrollment accelerated services to local high school sites. Services such as the Academic Accommodation Center (formerly Disabled Student Programs & Services) and tutoring are available on site. By partnering with the William S. Hart high school district, concurrent and dual enrolled students can access the library services, online and on site.  

The Student Equity and Achievement (SEA) Plan, as well as the Vision for Success Goals and Local Goal Setting, show that the departments’ efforts make an impact on student success. The College’s dedication to equity for its students has increased services and impacted the way it serves students, improving student success and retention rates annually as shown in Part B of this ISER. The Scale of Adoption Assessment outlines the expansion of services, including Canyons Connects, the early alert and retention program, opening of the Multicultural Center, creation of the Student Success Team dedicated to Black Students (who face higher disproportional impact than any other student group at the College), and the development of program maps.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College meets the standard of regularly evaluating the quality of student support services and demonstrates that these services, regardless of location or means of delivery, including distance and correspondence education, support student learning, and enhance accomplishment of the mission. This is evident through program review, surveys, meeting and exceeding local goals, the expansion of services, Guided Pathways, services off site, and improvement in student outcomes. These actions allow the College to continuously improve the quality of services to students.

2. The institution identifies and assesses learning support outcomes for its student population and provides appropriate student support services and programs to achieve those outcomes. The institution uses assessment data to continuously improve student support programs and services.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

The College annually reviews the AUOs through program review. Each department identifies areas for assessment, and through data analysis, conducts assessment on services for students. The data allows departments to implement improvements in a timely way. Student Services analyzes the program review data annually, using the Annual Student Survey, Community College Survey of Student Engagement, IRPIE survey data, the SEA plan, Guided Pathways implementation, and focus groups to continue to make improvements across the College. Significant emphasis has been placed on reducing disproportionate impact (DI), particularly for the African American/Black and Latinx student populations. To help mitigate DI, Student Services has implemented services such as the Hub (a counseling center dedicated to career exploration); Counseling on the Go (providing students with counseling after regular business hours); Canyons Connects (an early alert and retention program); Alliances (faculty and staff led affinity groups designed to improve student connections to campus); and a new Multicultural...
Student Services actively addresses students' needs by enhancing Wi-Fi access, promoting financial aid information, distributing laptops during the pandemic, forming a Basic Needs Center (BaNC), addressing homeless student issues, and simplifying registration access for international and incarcerated students. Under the guidance of the associate VP of student services, the Associated Student Government (ASG) creates a welcoming, inclusive environment, encouraging student growth and development by supporting services such as the BaNC, ASG computer labs, and Campus Escort Service. These allow the College to fund additional student employment, enhancing student experiences and overall success. With these support programs, the College’s aspirational Local Goals are met or exceeded each year.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College identifies and assesses learning support outcomes for its student population and provides appropriate student support services and programs to achieve those outcomes. The College uses assessment data to continuously improve student support programs and services. Improvements, based on data and student input, are made on a regular basis. Collaboration across campus is a core component to ensure student success.

3. The institution assures equitable access to all of its students by providing appropriate, comprehensive, and reliable services to students regardless of service location or delivery method. (ER 15)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College assures equitable access for all students by providing appropriate, comprehensive, and reliable services regardless of location or delivery method. All Student Services are offered at the Valencia, Canyon Country, Del Valle, and online locations. Students can access registration, education planning services, orientation, advisement videos, forms, petitions, and more, online. Ask Canyons, an online service, provides an artificial intelligence database that answers thousands of student questions 24 hours a day, seven days a week on the College’s website. The College expanded the service in summer 2021 to include a Live Chat program. The Learning Center offers in person and online tutoring services, ensuring students can get help at any time or location. The College has developed a Student Resource Guide, outlining each service and ways students can access them. The Guide is available on the College’s website, in paper form, and is linked in Canvas for easy access. During the pandemic, Student Services developed a one-stop “Ask Me” page that lists every way students can get services. It is a
website quick link and on Canvas. The Catalog and addendums notify students of all services.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College assures equitable access for all students by providing appropriate, comprehensive, and reliable services regardless of location or delivery method, using program review, student surveys, and data collection to assess students’ ability to access all programs. The College regularly makes improvements to ensure equitable access to services, regardless of modality.

4. Co-curricular programs and athletics programs are suited to the institution’s mission and contribute to the social and cultural dimensions of the educational experience of its students. If the institution offers co-curricular or athletic programs, they are conducted with sound educational policy and standards of integrity. The institution has responsibility for the control of these programs, including their finances.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

The College offers robust co-curricular programs, activities and athletic teams. These, in alignment with the mission, contribute to the social and cultural dimensions of the students’ educational experiences. Governed by Board Policy 5400 Associated Students Organizations, and by Board Policy 5517 Student Clubs and Organizations, the Campus Life and Student Engagement office offers a wide variety of clubs and organizations. The ASG represents all students at the College, to improve their experiences through advocacy and empowerment. ASG works with other student clubs and organizations, faculty, staff and administration as well as the SCV community, the state legislature, and the Board. By August 2020, there were more than 60 clubs and organizations, seven honor societies, and eight Alliances. These groups enhance learning and provide opportunities to network and enjoy college life.

Alliances started in 2019 through the College’s Equity Minded Practitioners workgroup. Alliances are affinity groups through which students learn to navigate college, connect with faculty and staff mentors, meet with counselors, financial aid advisors, and other resource specialists, and discuss issues in a safe space. The College is expanding the number of alliances to provide more students with connections to the campus. The College is enhancing Guided Pathways by connecting Student Success Teams to alliances, starting with the Black Student Alliance (BSA). A partnership between Counseling and the BSA has provided the College’s Black students with a robust connection to resources available on campus, including dedicated counselors and mentorships with peers, faculty, and staff.
The Office of Campus Life and Student Engagement handles budget and planning for ASG related activities, with oversight and annual audits. It works with the COC Foundation and the Fiscal Services Department to ensure accounting practices and fiscal controls are compliant.

The College offers 17 athletic programs for students, eight men’s and nine women’s teams. The athletic programs align with the College’s mission, the State Chancellor’s office program requirements, and the California Community College Athletic Association (CCCAA). The College is a member of the Western State Conference. The College offers an array of academic services to help student-athletes reach their full potential, including dedicated academic counseling. Student-athletes have access to a sports medicine program working to prevent injuries and helping players recover from them. The Athletics programs complete all required documentation to ensure equity for all of the College’s student-athletes. It files the Statement of Compliance of Title IX Gender Equity report (Form R-4) to follow the State of California education code. It also completes the Equity in Athletics Data Analysis (EADA) annually, as required by the U.S. Department of Education. In addition to completing the College’s departmental program review, it completes a WSC Program Review, as required by the Western State Conference. The next WSC midterm report will be in fall 2022. The Dean of Physical Education, Kinesiology, Athletics and the Athletic Director manage the budget and plan for athletics-related activities, working with the College’s fiscal services office to ensure accounting practices and fiscal controls are compliant and auditing is done on an annual basis. The athletics department also works closely with the College’s Foundation and the Campus life and Student Engagement Office to monitor all fundraising activities.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College is proud of the co-curricular and athletic programs it offers to students. Each year, the College strives to build better programs as students’ needs change. The College is flexible and adaptable in the way it offers programs and services based on students’ needs. The College’s co-curricular and athletics programs are suited to the mission and contribute to the social and cultural dimensions of its students’ educational experiences.

5. The institution provides counseling and/or academic advising programs to support student development and success and prepares faculty and other personnel responsible for the advising function. Counseling and advising programs orient students to ensure they understand the requirements related to their programs of study and receive timely, useful, and accurate information about relevant academic requirements, including graduation and transfer policies.
Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College provides counseling and advising programs for all students from pre-enrollment to graduation and beyond. The Counseling services outlined in Board Policy 510\(^{788}\) include 19 full-time counselors, 27 part-time counselors, four full-time academic advisors, seven classified support staff, college graduate assistants, interns, and college assistants.\(^{789}\) Counselors go through a robust training schedule prior to meeting with students to ensure accuracy, with emphasis on a culture of student success.\(^{790}\) The College graduate assistants and interns also go through rigorous training prior to meeting with students.\(^{791}\) Ongoing training is offered weekly for all faculty and staff in the Counseling Department.\(^{792}\) The services include academic, career, transfer, life skills, and special population counseling. Services are provided in person and online. All offices providing counseling use SARS to book appointments and document notes from each meeting.\(^{793}\)

Programs include Academic Advising,\(^{794, 795}\) Academic Counseling,\(^{796, 797, 798}\) Academic Standing,\(^{799}\) Athletics Counseling,\(^{800}\) CalWORKs,\(^{801}\) Canyons Connects,\(^{802, 803, 804, 805}\) Canyons Promise,\(^{806}\) DSPS Counseling,\(^{807}\) EOPS/CARE,\(^{808}\) Guided Pathways,\(^{809}\) RISE,\(^{810}\) Mathematics, Engineering, Science Achievement (MESA),\(^{811}\) Transfer,\(^{812}\) Veterans Counseling,\(^{813, 814}\) International Students Academic and Visa Compliance,\(^{815}\) and Welcome Day.\(^{816}\)

The College offers a wide variety of programs and services to ensure students receive the counseling services they need. The College also offers a Behavioral Intervention Team to assist
students needing urgent support. The Health and Wellness Center also provides mental health services as well as workshops and trainings to assist students, staff, and faculty.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College offers a wealth of counseling services for students. These are designed to support them, help them meet their educational needs, and assist them in completing their educational goals. Counseling services across the campus assist in academic, career, transfer, and life skills. The College promotes early connection to advising and counseling services, to ensure students know their program maps and are familiar with the resources available to them.

6. The institution has adopted and adheres to admission policies consistent with its mission that specify the qualifications of students appropriate for its programs. The institution defines and advises students on clear pathways to complete degrees, certificate and transfer goals. (ER 16)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

College of the Canyons has adopted and adheres to admissions policies consistent with the College mission. Board Policy (BP) 5010 outlines admission criteria for students. Information related to admissions and enrollment procedures are outlined on the College website and in the College catalog. International student admission criteria are clearly outlined on the College website. Also, on the College’s website are the admissions and enrollment criteria for the College’s Early College Program, including dual and concurrent enrollment programs. Student services meetings and trainings disseminate information related to the admission criteria to ensure accurate information is provided.

The College uses the credit and noncredit California Community Colleges application system, CCCApply, and also maintains a system for paper applications. The paper application is offered in English and Spanish; however, it is greatly reduced because students need to have a California Community College Identification Number (CCCID) to use the online learning management system. The College has worked diligently with its Student Services offices to ensure all students continue to have access to the application online or by paper and to assist students in the modality they choose.

The College adheres to all residency requirements as required by the California education code and Title 5 regulations. The College’s BP 5015 - Residency Determination describes the requirements for being considered a California resident for enrollment fee purposes. Admissions and Records staff members in the credit and noncredit departments are available to assist students with residency reclassification by email, zoom, or face-to-face appointments.

Some programs, such as Nursing and Medical Laboratory Technician, have additional admissions criteria. These programs follow all requirements set by external accrediting.
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agencies. All admission criteria are published on the College’s website and in the College Catalog.

The College defines clear pathways to complete degree and certificate programs and transfer goals. The College hosts Program Maps on its website and on departmental page websites. It also provides academic advising at the beginning of students’ educational journeys, followed by career exploration and personalized academic and transfer related counseling. The Counseling Department website includes information related to general education, transfer articulation agreements, Transfer Admission Guarantee (TAG) agreements, videos and workshops.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College has adopted and adheres to admission policies consistent with its mission. These policies specify the qualifications of students appropriate for its programs. Through multiple venues, the College advises students on clear pathways to complete degrees, certificate, and transfer goals to best meet their needs. College of the Canyons continuously seeks to improve its admissions and advising process, implementing various ways to remove barriers to student access.

7. The institution regularly evaluates admissions and placement instruments and practices to validate their effectiveness while minimizing biases.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College meets the standards, policies, and procedures for the evaluation of assessment instruments used by the California Community Colleges. It regularly evaluates admission and placement processes to validate effectiveness while minimizing biases and disproportionate impact in accordance with AB 705. As required by BP 5053, the College abides by all regulations governing assessment instruments and practices. It has amended assessments for math, English and ESL programs, addressing the equity implications and impact of assessment testing for placement into college level coursework. At the start of fall 2016 the math assessment process was significantly updated to a new model. Using data collected from the College’s students and research on national data, the mathematics department started using a disjunctive model for placement. The new model provides a STEM pathway for business, science, technology, engineering, and mathematics, and a statistics pathway for liberal arts. This uses students’ high school coursework and GPA histories to assist in placement on math pathways designed to fit their major areas of study. Starting in 2019, every student has been placed directly into a transfer-level mathematics course, with or without co-requisite
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support. All placement is in line with the law, calling for placement determination based on high school coursework and GPA, no longer requiring an assessment tool. The College designed its own placement model, using students’ self-reported data to recommend class placement. All students have access to transfer-level coursework; however, some are placed in transfer-level courses with support classes attached. This follows the regulations of AB 705 and gives high support to students who are likely to need it to succeed in transfer-level courses.

For English courses, the placement process gathers information about students’ high school courses and GPAs. While all students are placed in English Composition, students are given recommendations for noncredit supplemental courses such as sentence structure, paragraph structure, or thesis development. The English department regularly reviews the data to ensure student success and to review disproportionate impact. For ESL and International students whose primary language is not English, assessment changed from placement tests to recommendations based on English usage at home and in the workplace. Based on students’ answers and their English history, recommendations are made for placement levels in noncredit and credit ESL courses. ESL courses don’t have prerequisites, eliminating placement tests. Assessment and noncredit staff help students determine their appropriate level of ESL courses.

The College’s math and English departments have instituted AB 705 coordinators and faculty inquiry groups to review data, improve course outcomes, and offer extensive professional development for faculty. The departments are leaders in California for their work on assessment and removing barriers to students’ completing degrees. This led to the Bellwether College Consortium awarding the College its nationally recognized Bellwether award in 2021. This award is discussed in more detail in II.A.2.

The Student Services offices, Instruction, IRPIE, Management Information Systems (MIS), and math and English departments work closely together to ensure all systems are set up effectively, including prerequisites and registration for students to enroll in appropriate courses. Student Services has many training sessions to be sure all staff know of the changes, including communicating and working with the College’s high school partners.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College continuously evaluates placement practices to validate effectiveness while minimizing biases. Since 2014, the College has evaluated the effectiveness and equity of assessment processes and used measures to reduce and eliminate disproportionate impact related to assessment. Before AB 705, the College recognized systemic assessment and curricular barriers to student success and began developing improvements to curriculum and assessment that promote student success and degree completion.

---
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8. The institution maintains student records permanently, securely, and confidentially, with provision for secure backup of all files, regardless of the form in which those files are maintained. The institution publishes and follows established policies for release of student records.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College maintains student records according to BP and AP 5040\(^{841}\) and maintains strict guidelines on release of records as required by BP 5040 and BP 3310 to ensure all records are backed up and/or destroyed properly as required.\(^{842}\) The College maintains student records in a way that ensures privacy of all such defined student records and only permits access to or release of information by appropriate school officials, student written permission, or by subpoena power. Information on records privacy and release, and directory information is described in the catalog and in an annual notice to students.\(^{843, 844, 845}\) Every employee is required to submit a confidentiality agreement when logging onto their college computer; and the Colleague student information system confirms the confidentiality agreement.\(^{846}\) Student Services offices have also developed FERPA training for all employees who handle student records.\(^{847}\) The College ensures all records are backed up daily on local servers and off-site. The College hosts ongoing trainings on cyber security, password protections, backing up data, and data storage.\(^{848}\)

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets the standard of records retention, privacy, and securely backing up data. The Information Technology and Student Services departments work together to ensure all data is secure and kept confidential.

Conclusions on Standard II.C. Student Support Services

The College meets this Standard, providing comprehensive and effective Student Services programs and services, supporting students from pre-enrollment services through completion of their educational journeys. The department uses program review to set objectives and analyze data to make significant improvements each year. College services are available in face-to-face, online, or hybrid formats. Services are available where students choose to learn, at the Valencia, Canyon Country, Del Valle, or online campuses, or at off-site locations. The College offers co-curricular and athletic programs, meeting the needs of all students, through honor societies, clubs and organizations, alliances, and athletics. All programs adhere to the guidelines set by the state.

Improvement Plan(s)

The College is dedicated to continuous quality improvement. The Student Services division is currently reviewing basic needs services the College provides, including addressing homelessness, food insecurity, access to social programs, and mental health needs. The College

---
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is dedicated to establishing programs that expand the current services of the Basic Needs Center and will expand its offerings to give students greater access to needed services (II.C.3).

**Evidence List**
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Standard III: Resources

The institution effectively uses its human, physical, technology, and financial resources to achieve its mission and to improve academic quality and institutional effectiveness.

Accredited colleges in multi-college systems may be organized so that responsibility for resources, allocation of resources, and planning rests with the district/system. In such cases, the district/system is responsible for meeting the Standards, and an evaluation of its performance is reflected in the accredited status of the institution(s).

A. Human Resources

1. The institution assures the integrity and quality of its programs and services by employing administrators, faculty and staff who are qualified by appropriate education, training, and experience to provide and support these programs and services. Criteria, qualifications, and procedures for selection of personnel are clearly and publicly stated and address the needs of the institution in serving its student population. Job descriptions are directly related to institutional mission and goals and accurately reflect position duties, responsibilities, and authority.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The Board of Trustees and the SCCCD have established guidelines on the type and number of personnel needed to meet the College’s mission and student needs. Staffing is addressed in long and short-range District planning documents. Requests for new personnel are reviewed and evaluated by the appropriate academic or classified staffing committees as well as Executive Cabinet members and the Human Resources (HR) Office. The District has policies and procedures to ensure well-qualified employees, with standards of skills, education and experience that exceed minimum qualifications. These adhere to all regulatory external and internal agencies, and policies and procedures are clearly stated in job descriptions. Each description states requirements for the duties, responsibilities and authority, and how the position supports the College’s mission and goals. Minimum requirements are built into templates and are adjusted as needed. HR staff ensure hiring procedures follow the Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Plan. Title V requirements, California Education Code, District policies, and other regulations. Full- and part-time faculty hiring adheres to
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California community colleges guidelines. The College posts open positions on its HR webpage, the California Community College Registry, a variety of websites, publications and other media to reach qualified and diverse applicants. The HR department posts opportunities on the HR webpage, where applicants can apply through the iGreentree tracking system.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

College of the Canyons meets this standard. The integrity and excellence of programs and services are met through strategic and thoughtful planning, careful analysis of and adherence to best practices of creating, developing, and staffing with high-quality support personnel, administrators and faculty who successfully fulfill and exceed the outlined goals and mission of the College.

2. Faculty qualifications include knowledge of the subject matter and requisite skills for the service to be performed. Factors of qualification include appropriate degrees, professional experience, discipline expertise, level of assignment, teaching skills, scholarly activities, and potential to contribute to the mission of the institution. Faculty job descriptions include development and review of curriculum as well as assessment of learning.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

The District requires academic employees to possess the minimum qualifications prescribed by the California Community Colleges Board of Governors. Faculty must meet requirements in the current edition of Minimum Qualifications for Faculty and Administrators in California Community Colleges or request and provide proof of equivalency. The District ensures college faculty are experts in their subject areas, skilled teachers, serve the needs of a diverse student population, will foster overall college effectiveness, and represent the district’s diversity. The District also ensures faculty have requisite skills to teach in distance learning. Provisional certification requirements were temporarily approved in response to the pandemic to ensure a minimum standard of professional development and training. Job descriptions include developing and reviewing curriculum and assessment of student learning. Curriculum development is also included under Article 12 of the COCFA contract and SLOs and student assessment are addressed as part of the full-time faculty self-reflection process. HR, in consultation with the Academic Senate’s Minimum Qualification and Equivalencies Committee and the screening committee chairs or department chairs, evaluate an applicant’s minimum qualifications and screening committees check their scholarly work. Hiring part-time
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864 Minimum Qualifications Handbook – California Community Colleges p.10 - 27
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faculty is more streamlined, with a similar process. SLO assessment is in the part-time faculty self-reflection process.

Analysis and Evaluation
The District’s job descriptions, policies, procedures, and processes ensure hiring of qualified faculty, subject area experts, and skilled teachers, who serve the needs of a diverse student population. They also ensure faculty develop and review curriculum and assess learning. The District and Academic Senate are working to incorporate these duties into the general template.

3. Administrators and other employees responsible for educational programs and services possess qualifications necessary to perform duties required to sustain institutional effectiveness and academic quality.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard
The District complies with the Board of Governors’ minimum qualifications for administrators, published in the California Code of Regulations, Title 5 section 5340. These include a minimum of a master’s degree and “one year of formal training, internship, or leadership experience reasonably related to the administrator’s assignment.” In keeping with Section 4 of AB 1725 and subsequent legislative action, the College ensures it selects individuals aware of the principals of shared governance. The vice president of HR develops the job announcements with participation of the appropriate administrative supervisor. Announcements clearly state job duties, knowledge, skills, abilities, and minimum qualifications necessary for job performance.

The District also complies with California Education Code mandates that hiring criteria “demonstrate clear evidence of sensitivity to and understanding of the diverse academic, socioeconomic, cultural, disability, and ethnic backgrounds of community college students, staff and community.”

Analysis and Evaluation
Through a careful recruitment and hiring process, the District ensures that educational administrators meet the qualifications necessary to perform the duties required to sustain institutional effectiveness and academic quality.

4. Required degrees held by faculty, administrators and other employees are from institutions accredited by recognized U.S. accrediting agencies. Degrees from non-U.S. institutions are recognized only if equivalence has been established.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard
The District adheres to strict policies and procedures to verify the required educational degrees of all faculty, administrators, and classified employees are from institutions accredited by recognized U.S. accrediting agencies. If an applicant’s coursework is from a foreign institution, the District requires all coursework and degrees be verified through an equivalence and

---
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translation process by a National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES)\textsuperscript{883} member agency, or other agency recognized by the U.S. Department of Education. HR verifies candidates possess required degrees by reviewing official transcripts.\textsuperscript{884}

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The District ensures all required degrees are from institutions accredited by U.S. Department of Education recognized agencies, and all degrees earned outside the United States are evaluated by an NACES member professional agency. The HR office verifies the accredited status of the institution and the authenticity of official transcripts.

5. The institution assures the effectiveness of its human resources by evaluating all personnel systematically and at stated intervals. The institution established written criteria for evaluating all personnel, including performance of assigned duties and participation in institutional responsibilities and other activities appropriate to their expertise. Evaluation processes seek to assess effectiveness of personnel and encourage improvement. Actions taken following evaluations are formal, timely, and documented.

**Evidence Meeting the Standard**

All staff are regularly evaluated on criteria specified in negotiated collective bargaining agreements or administrative contracts. Evaluations review required duties, performance, and improvements since the last evaluation. The goal of evaluations is timely feedback and professional development. All faculty evaluations occur at regular intervals.\textsuperscript{885} Criteria examine proficiency in classroom teaching, including the currency and depth of knowledge in the subject area; the ability to establish and accomplish clear lesson objectives; proficiency in effective written and oral communication; and the use of a variety of teaching methods and materials that are challenging to students, are appropriate to the subject area, and are consistent with department practices. Criteria also examine organization of presentations and activities in the classroom; the use of good time management in the classroom; and the use of appropriate assessment methods.\textsuperscript{886, 887, 888} Full-time faculty are evaluated on their respect for students and their colleagues, professional growth, department and college responsibilities.\textsuperscript{889, 890} Effective online teaching is evaluated through observations specific to the subject and delivery modality.\textsuperscript{891}

Represented classified staff evaluation procedures and criteria are in the California School Employees Association (CSEA)/District agreement.\textsuperscript{892} Evaluations are on quality and quantity of work, work knowledge and habits, personal relations, and initiative.\textsuperscript{893} The evaluations identify
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areas for improvement and professional development. All classified and classified confidential employees have defined probationary periods. Evaluations are defined for CSEA members in the collective bargaining agreement and for confidential employees in the Confidential Classified Employees Group Memorandum of Understanding.\(^{894, 895}\)

Administrators complete annual self-evaluations submitted to their vice president or the Chancellor.\(^{896}\) The process requires goal setting and planning for the upcoming years. Administrators identify areas for improvement, new responsibilities, community and college engagement, and assessment of their team. The self-evaluation links goals to specific initiatives. The administrator’s supervisor reviews and comments on the self-evaluation.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The District meets this Standard. Evaluations contribute to institutional effectiveness and improvement by observing and measuring individual performance, continuously setting new performance goals, and assessing the training or support needed to attain new goals.

6. The evaluation of faculty, academic administrators, and other personnel directly responsible for student learning includes, as a component of that evaluation, consideration of how these employees use the results of the assessment of learning outcomes to improve teaching and learning. (Effective January 2018, Standard III.A.6 is no longer applicable to this ISER cycle. The Commission acted to delete the Standard during its January 2018 Board of Directors meeting.)

7. The institution maintains a sufficient number of qualified faculty, which includes full-time faculty and may include part-time and adjunct faculty, to assure the fulfillment of faculty responsibilities essential to the quality of educational programs and services to achieve institutional mission and purposes.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

The District has policies and procedures in place to maintain appropriate faculty staffing levels. The Chief Instructional Officer (CIO) uses the College budget and planning process to determine the appropriate number of faculty positions for the next year.\(^{897, 898}\) The procedure includes assessing annual program reviews.\(^{899}\) There is also a detailed process in which the Academic Staffing Committee (ASC) solicits, reviews, and prioritizes requests for new and replacement positions. The CIO and a faculty member appointed by the Academic Senate serve as co-chairs, and there are faculty representatives from each school/division and from human resources. The ASC deliberates and makes recommendations for new and replacement positions to the

\(^{894}\) Confidential Classified Employees Group MOU with the SCCCD 2017-2019  
\(^{895}\) Classified Staff Performance Evaluation Form  
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\(^{897}\) Board Policy 7120: Recruitment and Selection  
\(^{898}\) Administrative Procedure 7120A: Hiring Procedures Contract Faculty (Tenure-Track) p. 2  
\(^{899}\) 2019-2020 Program Review Chemistry
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CEO, who reviews them, provides feedback, and makes recommendations to the Board, considering budget, FON, and student and program needs.

From Fall 2014 through Fall 2020, the District either met or exceeded the FON every year, and the ratio of full-time to part-time has increased since fall 2014. The ratio of students to faculty has improved since then too. The support service ratio of full-time and part-time counselors to students has improved since fall 2016. The District currently employs 215 full-time faculty. Short-term increases in demand are addressed by hiring part-time (adjunct) faculty. Currently, the District employs 520 adjunct faculty & noncredit part-time faculty. The number of full-time faculty for the District increased between 2014 and 2018. In 2019 and 2020, there was a slight dip in the number of full-time faculty, due to budget cuts and a retirement incentive.

Analysis and Evaluation

As a result of comprehensive hiring processes, the District is able to maintain a sufficient number of full-time and part-time faculty to fulfill the District’s mission and purpose. The District’s use of annual program reviews to evaluate the number and organization of its faculty is effective in supporting programs and services. The Academic Staffing Committee is effective in assisting in the assessments, determining and making recommendations to the Chancellor for the hiring of replacement and additional faculty.

8. An institution with part-time and adjunct faculty has employment policies and practices which provide for their orientation, oversight, evaluation, and professional development. The institution provides opportunities for integration of part time and adjunct faculty into the life of the institution.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The District has policies and practices providing adjunct faculty orientation, oversight, evaluation, professional development, and opportunities to participate in district-wide governance and committees. HR provides onboarding to all new employees, and the Instruction office holds a paid orientation for new adjuncts. An Adjunct Handbook provides in-depth reference information. The school deans provide oversight of adjunct faculty with
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support from department chairs. At the discipline level, full-time faculty share responsibility with administrators to select, mentor, train, and conduct peer evaluations of part-time faculty. An article in the AFT Collective Bargaining Agreement specifically addresses the process for regular evaluations of adjunct faculty, including evaluation instruments. The District/AFT Collective Bargaining Agreement includes an article on Professional Development that provides nine paid hours per semester of professional development for adjunct faculty. The College also encourages adjuncts to attend a comprehensive professional development program with 10 FLEX days per academic year. In addition to programming specific to adjunct faculty such as semi-annual adjunct orientation, the College offers an award-winning Skilled Teaching Certificate Program for all faculty. Adjunct faculty may participate in more than 600 professional development programs each year. The District provides opportunities for adjunct faculty to be involved in District life by including them in participatory governance processes, division activities, and committees. Many serve on committees including the College Policy Council (CPC), Equity Minded Practitioners Committee, and the EEO Advisory Committee. The AFT collective bargaining agreement compensates members to join various important committees.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The District meets the Standard. The College has employment policies and practices for adjunct faculty, which provide orientation, oversight, evaluation, and professional development. It provides for integration of adjunct faculty into the life of the College.

9. The institution has a sufficient number of staff members with appropriate qualifications to support the effective educational, technological, physical, and administrative operations of the institution.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

The District uses an integrated budget and program review process to ensure sufficient staffing. The Classified Staffing Committee and division administrators review budgets and requests for staff. HR pre-screens all candidates to ensure requirements are met, and new
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employees have an in-person orientation. The District follows policies and procedures for hiring set in board policy,\(^{928}\) and Collective Bargaining Agreements.\(^{929}\)

**Analysis and Evaluation**

Using a multi-layered program review, budget, request and approval processes to determine which and how many staff are needed, the District has sufficient staff to fulfill the educational, technological, physical, and administrative operations of the College. Staffing needs are tied to the District’s overall mission and the growth of the student population.

10. The institution maintains a sufficient number of administrators with appropriate preparation and expertise to provide continuity and effective administrative leadership and services that support the institution’s mission and purposes.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

The CEO has authority to plan, oversee, and evaluate the College’s administrative structure.\(^{930}\) Each division, operation, and major program has an administrative leader. Administrative hiring procedures\(^{931}\) ensure qualified, prepared administrators with appropriate expertise. Position announcements state requisite and desired knowledge, abilities, education, and experience.\(^{932}\) In 2020, the District maintained 65 classified administrators and 31 educational administrators, totaling 96, a sufficient number. Administrative staff hiring responds to student and program needs, aligned with the mission,\(^{933}\) reflecting overall growth. Administrators regularly attend conferences and professional development programs. To grow the College’s own leaders, it encourages employees to participate in the Leadership in Action Program (LEAP),\(^{934}\) designed to provide all employees the opportunity to succeed in any leadership position with necessary skills.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The District meets this Standard. Processes are in place to ensure employing an appropriate number of administrators with the qualifications and expertise needed for effectiveness and continuity of leadership to support the mission and purposes. The District uses its integrated planning and program review process, the budget development process, and emerging institutional priorities to determine these needs, and makes additions and reorganizations as necessary, resulting in a highly qualified, experienced, and motivated administrative team.

11. The institution establishes, publishes, and adheres to written personnel policies and procedures that are available for information and review. Such policies and procedures are fair and equitably and consistently administered.
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Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The District has a clear process for establishing board policies and administrative procedures governed by BP and AP 2410, explained in the Decision-Making Guide. District policies and procedures are reviewed regularly and updated as needed. As part of the process, all new and revised policies and procedures that impact constituent groups are routed to those groups for comments that go to the Board. This transparency ensures policies are fair, equitable, and consistently administered. Board policies are posted on the website, available for review by all employees, students and the public. When employees are hired, they acknowledge that they have reviewed key policies. The District administers its personnel policies and procedures consistently and equitably. Employees may go to HR with any complaints.

Analysis and Evaluation

The District ensures consistent and equitable application of personnel policies and procedures by publishing them and by new employee on-boarding, orientations and trainings. Personnel policies and procedures are applied consistently and fairly. District board policies are routinely reviewed and updated to reflect recommended language from CCLC’s Policy and Procedure Services, to which the District subscribes.

12. Through its policies and practices, the institution creates and maintains appropriate programs, practices, and services that support its diverse personnel. The institution regularly assesses its record in employment equity and diversity consistent with its mission.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The District has policies and practices that create and maintain programs, practices, and services to support its diverse personnel. Board Policies and Administrative Procedures on nondiscrimination, Equal Employment Opportunity, prohibiting discrimination and harassment, and investigating complaints alleging discrimination or harassment show the College’s commitment to fair treatment of employees. The District asserts its commitment to diversity in BP 7100 “Commitment to Diversity” and in BP 1200 “District Mission.” The College’s Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Plan, most recently revised and approved in 2019, addresses the requirements of state law and the regulations contained in Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations relating to fair employment and best practices to create a diverse workforce. The Plan is posted to the District’s website. Among important findings are
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significant underrepresentation. The EEO Plan reflects the College’s commitment to equal employment opportunity and a diverse workforce. During this accreditation cycle, the EEO Plan was previously revised in 2015 and is also included for reference.\textsuperscript{949} The College has many diversity-related professional development activities sponsored by various departments. The Equity-Minded Practitioners workgroup promotes the acknowledgement of equity gaps, identifies barriers and responds through dialogue and reflection.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The District meets the standard. The College creates and maintains appropriate programs and services to support its diverse personnel through its policies and practices. It regularly monitors and assesses its record in employment equity and diversity consistent with its mission. The EEO Advisory/Diversity Committee takes an active role in implementing the EEO Plan, supporting diversity initiatives, and creating a positive campus climate.

13. The institution upholds a written code of professional ethics for all of its personnel, including consequences for violation.

**Evidence Meeting the Standard**

The College upholds a written code of professional ethics in BP 3050 Statement of Professional Ethics.\textsuperscript{950} It outlines the ethical obligations of those acting on behalf of the College. The details of the Professional Ethics code are provided in footnotes and evidence. All personnel who violate District policies and procedures may be subject to appropriate consequences written in the policy and respective bargaining agreements.\textsuperscript{951}

**Analysis and Evaluation**

Board-approved policies ensure that all personnel are held to the same ethical standards and that violations of this code will be dealt with accordingly. Consequences for violation of the code of ethics are subject to an investigation of such violation and potential disciplinary action.

14. The institution plans for and provides all personnel with appropriate opportunities for continued professional development, consistent with the institutional mission and based on evolving pedagogy, technology, and learning needs. The institution systematically evaluates professional development programs and uses the results of these evaluations as the basis for improvement.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

The College has offered an average of 810 professional development sessions annually for all college employees.\textsuperscript{952} In addition, faculty, classified staff, and administrators are provided opportunities to participate in Flex Week,\textsuperscript{953} Classified Development Week,\textsuperscript{954} and annual retreats, respectively.\textsuperscript{955} The Professional Development Department conducts an annual needs
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assessments for each group. Every session has clear learning outcomes, and each participant is asked to evaluate learning outcomes. Program effectiveness is assessed annually.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The District meets the standard. The Professional Development Program aligns with the mission, offering relevant programs and meeting the needs of employees. All programs are evaluated, and the results of assessments are used to plan improvements.

15. The institution makes provision for the security and confidentiality of personnel records. Each employee has access to his/her personnel records in accordance with law.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

All personnel records are securely and confidentially held in the HR Department, consistent with AP 7145. Personnel files for all employees are kept in lockable file cabinets, in a locked file room that can be accessed only by HR staff. Personnel files for student workers are in locked file cabinets in the Student Employee Office. The HR Office is fully secured.

All collective bargaining agreements stipulate employees may review their personnel files by notifying HR and completing a release of documents form. All employees may review their records in accordance with state law, bargaining unit agreements, and AP 7145.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The Human Resources Department maintains all personnel files in locked cabinets, and personnel files for all regular employees, part-time faculty, and temporary faculty are additionally in a locked file room. Employees may review their personnel records in accordance with collective bargaining agreements and the law.

**Conclusions on Standard III.A Human Resources**

The College ensures that sufficient number of well-qualified faculty, staff, and administrators support effective programs and services for students and the community. Personnel are effectively evaluated, appropriate policies and procedures are equitably accessible and administered, and the entire campus community engages in available professional growth and development.

**Improvement Plan**

None.
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B. Physical Resources

Physical Resources continue to be integrally planned and implemented by the College and the community at large, resulting in the physical assets necessary to provide the spaces needed to meet the mission of the College. Over the last 20 years, the College has passed three local bond measures that have provided more than $400,000,000 of funds along with $78,000,000 of state-matching funds. This has allowed the College to complete 87 projects, adding 650,000 square feet of physical space with another 10 projects currently in planning phases. Since the last Accreditation in 2014, the College has spent more than $120,000,000 of local funds and $13,000,000 of state-matching funds, adding 177,000 square feet of physical space. In addition, multiple modernization projects and the implementation of a voluntary ADA Transition Plan as a statewide leader have provided value to the College community. The College has also completed a variety of energy conservation and sustainability projects, ranging from LED lighting retrofits to the installation of a bloom fuel cell project that produces most electric demand in clean, net-zero fashion while saving hundreds of thousands of dollars on an annual basis. Using the principles and strategies detailed in this response, the College continues to plan and implement physical resources that provide the physical foundation necessary for student success.

1. The institution assures safe and sufficient physical resources at all locations where it offers courses, programs, and learning support services. They are constructed and maintained to assure access, safety, security, and a healthful learning and working environment.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

College of the Canyons assures safe and sufficient physical resources at all its locations. Facilities are constructed and maintained to assure access, safety, security, and a healthful learning and working environment. The District annually evaluates and updates its Injury and Illness Prevention Plan, with the District’s Risk Management consultant, Keenan and Associates. The Plan identifies potentially unsafe or unhealthful conditions and stipulates procedures and work practices to maintain safe and healthy working conditions. The District’s Human Resources Department and the consultant implement all required training on each of the campuses to meet the requirements of mandatory job safety training based on the Plan.

District facilities are inspected annually by Keenan and Associates, and by the L.A. County Fire Department, L.A. County Department of Health Services, the State Water Control Board, the Department of Industrial Relations (Cal/OSHA) Elevator Unit, the State Water Resources Board, the South Coast Air Quality Management District (AQMD) and various other governmental agencies. The risk management consultant also conducts annual hazardous materials inventories reports. They becomes part of the College’s Chemical Hygiene Plan, overseen by the District’s Chemical Hygiene Officer. On receipt of reports from the College’s risk management and outside agency evaluations, the Facilities Department begins any needed corrective action.
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The Facilities Department oversees construction and maintenance of all campus facilities to ensure compliance with the Educational and Facilities Master Plan (EFMP) and Decision Making Guide. All projects—modifications, improvements, and new on-site and off-site facilities—are designed, constructed, and inspected according to the Uniform Building Code, California Building Code, Americans with Disabilities Act, and National Fire and Electrical Codes. Projects are approved by the Division of the State Architect and completed under the auspices of a full-time State-certified Inspector of Record. In addition, the District has purchased, implemented, and trained staff in a web-based Emergency Notification System, providing voice, email, and text messaging to students and staff during emergencies. To ensure remediation of any unsafe condition in and around its facilities, the District has a web-based work order system (Tamis) to input maintenance, custodial, grounds, warehouse, HVAC and telecommunication work orders. Priority is given to safety requests regardless of location. All District sites receive equal oversight, regardless of location.

Physical resource planning is integrated with institutional planning using the Strategic Plan, Decision Making Guide, EFMP, and Annual Program Reviews reviewed and updated on a regular basis (every 5 years at a minimum). The EFMP is developed with students, staff, faculty, and community members. The Five-Year Construction Plan uses projected growth and weekly student contact hours (WSCH) to determine sufficiency of facilities using the capacity/load ratios for various types of planned space use: lecture, laboratory, office, library, physical education, meeting and assembly, data processing and health services.

Since 2003, the District has passed three local general obligation (GO) bonds—Measures C, M and E—which, combined with state-matching funds, has let the District implement complete build out of several Educational and Facility Master Plans over the past 18 years. Currently, the District is developing the 2022-27 EFMP, which expends all remaining local GO Bond funds in Measure E. Projects will address all facilities needs to meet educational goals in the Plan.

Analysis and Evaluation

Through integrated planning, College of the Canyons provides adequate access, facilities, and resources and assures safety, security, and a healthful learning environment, regardless of
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location. In addition, Board Policies 6800 and 6850 outline the District’s obligation to always provide and maintain safe working conditions and equipment, to comply with standards prescribed by applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations affecting employee safety, and to conduct continuous education and training to develop safe practices.

2. The institution plans, acquires or builds, maintains, and upgrades or replaces its physical resources, including facilities, equipment, land, and other assets, in a manner that assures effective utilization and the continuing quality necessary to support its programs and services and achieve its mission.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The District plans, constructs and maintains its physical resources to enhance and support student learning programs and services. Physical resource planning, integrated with institutional planning, uses the EFMP, driven by the Strategic Plan and coordinated through the College Planning Team (CPT), to support the College’s mission, goals, and priorities. The Facilities Planning Committee reviews proposed programs and facilities projects for congruence with overall educational and facilities master plans and identifies gaps between identified needs and proposed programs. Agendas and minutes of these meetings are posted on the Facilities website. Each year, prior to submission of the Five-Year Construction Plan, the College compiles the instructional needs, prepares, and submits projects that meet the needs of instructional programs. The Facilities Department coordinates planning with staff from each department that will occupy a new or renovated space. The groups work with the Facilities Department, which works directly with the Master Architect to ensure each space meets the needs set in the planning documents.

The 2016-22 EFMP includes a Biodiversity initiative that invites participation through the use of the campus grounds as a living lab for inquiry and experiential learning. Examples include several established garden areas where research is conducted and the community is welcomed. Using the campus grounds in this way as a resource for cooperative projects facilitates dialog across campus departments and entities. The initiative is a major theme of the EFMP (2016-22) and elements of it have been included in all new projects and retrofitted into existing landscapes.

In 2015, the District began planning an ADA Upgrade project. The first phase, entry/exit, was completed in 2020. The next, site upgrades, was submitted to DSA in May of 2021, with construction to begin in late 2021. Planning for the last phase, restroom upgrades, will start in June 2021. When done, the Valencia campus will meet current ADA codes—one of the first Districts in the state to accomplish this.

986 Board Policy 6800 and 6850
987 Strategic Plan 2019-2022
988 Facilities Planning Committee Agenda 12.5.19
989 Facilities Planning Committee Agenda 4.13.21
990 Facilities Planning Committee Minutes 12.5.19
991 Facilities Planning Committee Minutes 4.13.21
992 Five Year Capital Outlay Plan 2022-2023
993 Biodiversity Initiative
994 Board Approval of ADA Plan 10.13.15
995 ADA Plan
Prior to 2003, the District participated annually in the state’s Scheduled Maintenance grant programs, which let it maintain, repair and replace equipment as needed. Since 2003, the District has allocated resources for that funding priority using local GO bond funds. Through the program review process, equipment and systems are identified for repair/replacement. Facilities staff evaluate requests and provide responses to requestors. Although the state has continued to fund Scheduled Maintenance/Instructional Equipment grants, the District has used its local bond funds for scheduled maintenance. That saves these state grants for instructional equipment.

As part of the District’s efforts to support sustainability, it has participated in and expended all allocations of the California Clean Energy Jobs Act (Proposition 39) every year of the five-year Act. Funds from Prop 39 were used to upgrade EMS software, install LED lighting, replace fume hood control systems, and replace HVAC starter motors with Variable Frequency Drive units. Not only did this meet the goals of the Act, but it allowed the District to update and upgrade existing energy-consuming systems, providing years of reduced operating costs, reduced energy consumption, and promoting overall system reliability.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The District’s mission and strategic plan drive all planning for the College’s educational goals, which drive planning for the facilities, equipment and other assets needed to meet the goals. All plans and actions for acquiring, building, maintaining, and upgrading or replacing physical resources, including facilities, equipment, land, and other assets, are done through this process, ensuring effective utilization and the continuing quality necessary to support the College’s programs and services, and to achieve its mission.

3. To assure the feasibility and effectiveness of physical resources in supporting institutional programs and services, the institution plans and evaluates its facilities and equipment on a regular basis, taking utilization and other relevant data into account.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

Annually, the District prepares and files a state Space Inventory report summarizing the entire square footage of facilities and identifying use of each space by TOP Code. It also annually files a 5-Year Construction Plan combining the state’s enrollment projections for the District with the Space Inventory data to calculate the usage capacities for various types of space. Results over 100 percent indicate under-utilization of that space type, and results under 100 percent indicate more space is needed for those TOP code spaces to meet enrollment projections. To comply with requirements for participating in state facilities funding, the District must maintain a current EFMP. The current one is the 2016-22 plan; the 2022-27 plan is being developed. The District completes annual reviews of all programs and compares them to the EFMP. At the conclusion of the program review process, in anticipation of submitting the 5-Year Construction Plan, Executive Cabinet reviews the data of both planning documents and suggests revisions, if any, to the type, impact and priority of new projects in order to meet instructional priorities. This

---
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analysis determines which projects, of what size and type of space, should be completed in what order. If necessary, the EFMP is revised to meet the recommendations of the review.

In order to be responsive to changing instructional needs and priorities, the District has an additional process and planning group for Program Viability. This evaluates changes in the instructional environment to recommend opportunities that should be considered immediately. If recommendations of the Program Viability group include facilities, those projects are prioritized, funded and commenced in a time frame that allows realization of the opportunity. As part of the annual program review, existing equipment functionality and need for new and replacement equipment are evaluated and may be considered “forced cost requests.” Requests are evaluated and prioritized by the President’s Advisory Council on Budget (PAC-B). Equipment is then purchased, repaired, or replaced as funding allows.

Analysis and Evaluation

Educational planning directly results in physical resource planning, using the EFMP as a guide. Plans are evaluated and updated regularly, using the annual program review, 5-Year Construction Plan, and program viability tools. This regular and ongoing sequence of planning and evaluation processes, which employ utilization and other relevant data, assure the feasibility and effectiveness of the College’s physical resources, including its facilities and equipment.

4. Long-range capital plans support institutional improvement goals and reflect projections of the total cost of ownership of new facilities and equipment.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

All District long-term planning begins with the Strategic Plan, which sets the goals and is coordinated through the CPT. The Educational Master Plan (EMP) addresses initiatives integrated in the Strategic Plan and is the planning guide for the Facilities Master Plan (FMP). The FMP evaluates current facilities, how those facilities do or don’t meet improvement goals established in the EMP and provides a gap-analysis recommendation on facilities needed to meet the EMP goals. Recommendations may include building additional facilities; modernizing existing facilities (including Secondary Effects projects); or altering furniture and equipment to update spaces as programs revise instructional methods. Recommendations in the FMP are updated annually with submission of the 5-Year Construction Plan (5-YCP) to the State Chancellor’s Office. District planning always includes projections of the total cost of ownership of new facilities and equipment and projections for cost of secondary effects, retrofitting and altering use of existing facilities.

In addition to the 5-YCP, the District submits an annual 5-Year Scheduled Maintenance (5-YSM) report. It indicates planned scheduled maintenance over a 5-year period, to keep facilities operating at peak efficiency. Scheduled Maintenance projects are paid using local

1001 Administrative Procedure 4021: Program Viability
1002 Forced Cost Evaluations
1003 Strategic Plan 2019-2022
1004 Educational Master Plan 2016-2022 (Pages 23-354)
1005 Facilities Master Plan 2016-2022 (Pages 356-463)
1006 Five Year Capital Outlay Plan 2022-2023
1007 Five-Year Scheduled Maintenance Plan
Measure E GO bond funds,\textsuperscript{1008} which provide adequate monies to sustain the maintenance needed to keep operations running effectively with expenses at a minimum. The facilities department’s operational budgets\textsuperscript{1009} are critical parts of the overall district budget. To minimize ongoing costs of maintenance and repair, equipment is identified that is reliable for a long time. This saves labor costs and reduces expenses. The District has a master specification\textsuperscript{1010} list of equipment and materials so it can warehouse spare parts and so facilities staff can be familiar with maintaining and repairing specific equipment. These are key to reducing total cost of ownership and managing the facilities budget.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The District continuously evaluates its physical resources to provide facilities and services necessary to meet its long-range planning and improvement goals, with educational program planning driving facilities planning. Coordination of instructional needs with proposed and available facilities is an integral part of the planning and cost projection processes, which provides efficient capacity/load ratios. Combining space efficiency while assuring those operational systems are maintained at optimal levels, minimizes total cost of ownership.

**Conclusions on Standard III.B. Physical Resources**

The District’s physical resources meet the College’s instructional needs and improvement goals, through an ongoing, interactive, collaborative planning process. Physical resources are created with health and safety as primary priorities, abiding by all state processes while meeting all regulatory requirements. Resources are planned and designed to be optimally efficient over their life cycle, minimizing energy, maintenance and labor costs. The District’s planning processes produce effective, safe, efficient facilities while maintaining the lowest possible total cost.

**Improvement Plan(s)**

None.
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1. Technology services, professional support, facilities, hardware, and software are appropriate and adequate to support the institution’s management and operational functions, academic programs, teaching and learning, and support services.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

College of the Canyons provides centralized technical support through the Information Technology (IT) division. IT is divided into three main areas: Computer Support Services, providing hardware, software, and audio/visual support; Enterprise Applications supporting Ellucian Colleague, the Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system along with College wide programming projects; Infrastructure and Information Security, overseeing the server environment, data network, and cybersecurity. Also, IT and the Online Education department share responsibility for the online learning environment. Online Education supports integrating technology into teaching and learning and gives students flexibility to take courses remotely.

Technology needs are identified at the unit, program, or department level through the Program Planning and Review (PPR) process, described fully in several other standards.

IT has several collegial and specialized committees: The Technology Committee, co-chaired by the Vice President of Technology and a faculty member, promotes use of technology, evaluates its effectiveness and availability, and ensures that technology programs and services support the College’s mission and strategic goals. TC coordinates all technology planning to ensure consistency, and it reviews progress towards the Technology Master Plan (TMP) goals. The executive director of Enterprise Applications chairs the Colleague “Stand-Up” committee, comprised of members from departments that depend on the Enterprise Resource Planning or Student Information System to operate. Meeting to review and recommend enhancements to the Ellucian Colleague ERP system, this committee discusses priorities for new projects or functionality, schedules downtime for updates, and plans testing. The Web Committee oversees the College’s websites. Co-chaired by the president of the Academic Senate and executive director of Infrastructure and Information Security, the Web Committee is a forum for enhancements, design updates, development of standards, and accessibility compliance. The Educational Technology (EdTech) Committee, co-chaired by

---
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the director of Online Education and a faculty member, focuses on excellence in teaching and learning with technology. EdTech develops recommendations on online teaching and learning, reviews new technology teaching tools, and supports uses of technology to help students succeed.

Additionally, in 2020, the College created an Information Security Committee chaired by the System Security Administrator to create and review security policies, procedures, and guidelines.

Per the TMP, District technology is standardized.\textsuperscript{1026} IT maintains extensive application programs,\textsuperscript{1027} supporting classroom and office operations, and new technologies\textsuperscript{1028} are evaluated regularly. Computer equipment is replaced every five years,\textsuperscript{1029} and technology and software standards are reviewed to meet faculty, staff, and student needs. IT evaluates all purchases of technology to assure compatibility, security, and minimize cost. Most ongoing technology purchases are handled through IT.\textsuperscript{1030} This lets the College negotiate contracts for competitive pricing, and quantity purchasing. The Contracts, Procurement and Risk Management (CPRM) department’s Purchasing Handbook directs employees to meet with IT to approve technology purchases.\textsuperscript{1031} The CPRM department and IT staff meet weekly to review technology contracts, assessing adherence to Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act.\textsuperscript{1032}

To provide effective technical support, the Valencia and Canyon Country campuses have IT offices\textsuperscript{1033} and helpdesks staffed six days a week.\textsuperscript{1031} All support requests and projects are recorded in TrackIT, an online ticketing software that in 2020-21 logged 11,641\textsuperscript{1033} support requests. The College maintains a secure network infrastructure\textsuperscript{1034} to support academic and administrative operations including distance education and remote employee work. A ten-gigabit connection to each campus and an additional ten-gigabit connection between each campus provides redundancy to minimize outages. Firewalls, spam filters, and Intrusion Detection Systems control the traffic allowed into and out of the campus data network. Buildings are interconnected via either a one gigabit or ten gigabit fiber uplink. All classrooms, computer labs, meeting spaces, and offices offer both direct, hardwired connections and wireless connections to the campus data network. Instructional computer labs are available on both campuses, with Microsoft Office and course specific software. Computer Support Services provides training in supported technologies. Each campus has a Technology Center\textsuperscript{1035} with computers for employee use and training,\textsuperscript{1036} and equipment can be checked out for College business. The College maintains most servers on the premises, and several application programs are located in the
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cloud for reliability of key systems. In 2016, the District migrated to Canvas, a hosted Learning Management System as part of the CCC consortium. In 2019, the District’s email system for employees was migrated to Microsoft’s Office 365 environment. Agendas and minutes for the Board of Trustees reside in BoardDocs, a cloud hosted governance meeting software. The District’s curriculum catalog and Student Learning Outcomes are stored in eLumen, a cloud hosted system. The College is creating a comprehensive disaster recovery plan to ensure continued operations of critical systems in case of a disaster. Currently, all critical systems and network equipment at both campuses are connected to Uninterruptable Power Supplies (UPS). Additionally, critical information is backed up regularly and stored in a secure location. IT negotiates maintenance contracts with key vendors to provide rapid replacement components to minimize downtime. The District has a co-location facility in Northern California\textsuperscript{1040} where key systems and data are replicated in case of a Southern California disaster.

\textbf{Analysis and Evaluation}

The College meets this standard. IT reviews technology services, professional support, hardware and software on a regular basis to ensure they meet the needs of the administrative and instructional programs. The Technology Committee and Educational Technology Committee, meet regularly to evaluate technology and technical support, making recommendations for changes or improvements.

2. The institution continuously plans for, updates and replaces technology to ensure its technological infrastructure, quality and capacity are adequate to support its mission, operations, programs, and services.

\textbf{Evidence of Meeting the Standard}

The District continuously plans for new technology, updates, and replacements to ensure technology meets its needs. Many such plans and projects are described in the sections above and below. IT maintains a detailed inventory\textsuperscript{1041} of hardware and software owned or leased by the District and replaced according to a replacement cycle detailed in the TMP.\textsuperscript{1042, 1043}

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{1040}Co-Location Agreement
  \item \textsuperscript{1041}IT Equipment Inventory Sample
  \item \textsuperscript{1042}Technology Master Plan Replacement Cycle
  \item \textsuperscript{1043}Technology Master Plan Computer Lab Replacement Schedule
\end{itemize}
In 2014, a Technology Innovation Fund was established with one-time funds to encourage faculty to explore new technologies in their courses. Call for proposals were sent out several times each year, and the TC reviewed and approved each proposal. The program ended in 2018 but not before thirty requests had been funded, several of which are still in use today. In 2018, an “Innovative Ideas” program, building off the success of the innovation fund, was started to include both instructional and non-instructional proposals and was no longer limited to technology proposals. Innovative dialogues were scheduled several times throughout the year, where employees could unite to discuss their ideas, identify synergies, and strengthen their proposals. This program ran until fall 2019, funding twenty (20) additional innovative projects.

In 2015, the District launched a student online education plan called My Academic Plan (MAP). Integrated with the Colleague ERP, it updated students’ ability to create scenarios for different majors, plan schedules, and register. The College’s first mobile app, Canyons M, was made available in 2018 as a result of a student proposal made to the Chancellor during open office hours. Canyons M started serving as a student portal in fall 2021, providing access to online resources and a self-service registration system. Upgrades to the wireless network include access from parking lots, allowing students to work in their cars during the pandemic.

In 2016, the voters passed GO Bond Measure E, which included funding for technology equipment and infrastructure replacement and upgrades. These funds supplement General Fund monies, Instructional Equipment Block Grants, and other state and federal sources. To

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Valencia</th>
<th>Canyon Country</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee Computers</td>
<td>1,681</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1,791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Computers</td>
<td>1,927</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>2,304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Servers</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual Servers</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Labs</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laptop Carts</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart Classrooms</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless Access Points</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ensure District computers are up-to-date with software and security patches, the District uses Microsoft System Center Configuration Manager (SCCM) for all Microsoft Windows machines and JAMF for all Apple devices. These allow centralized and efficient management of software installations and system patching. To ensure timely, secure access and retention of information historically in hardcopy, the District expanded document imaging through OnBase.

In 2020 and 2021, during the height of the pandemic, IT expanded support for students and employees working remotely, creating a webpage with resources for faculty, staff, and students to adapt and thrive in the online environment. IT launched a Zoom room virtual help desk with technical staff giving users live support. As most employees and students lacked sufficient technology at home, IT organized a drive-thru checkout system so Help Desk Technicians could deliver needed equipment to people in their vehicles while maintaining physical distancing. With Student Services, IT purchased and distributed low cost laptops during drive-thru events, so students could participate in remote classes. Student Services and IT established live and email support to assist students with email, Office 365, and CanyonsID issues.

IT conducted an online survey of employees in 2021 to evaluate their satisfaction with the technology environment. Of those who responded, 89.3 percent were either satisfied or very satisfied with the adequacy of technology resources available to them; 94.5 percent were satisfied or very satisfied with the quality of service they received from IT; and 90.74 percent were satisfied or very satisfied with the reliability of the technology resources available at the College. The results of the satisfaction survey further show employees find the technology support and resources are both appropriate and adequate to support operations.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard. The IT Department, in coordination with the Technology Committee, continuously plan updates and replacement of technology to ensure adequate capacity to support operations and instruction. The process, outlined in the TMP, ensures the College can fund and replace equipment on a regular basis.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College provides appropriate technology resources, upgrades and maintenance to reliably and effectively support all locations where it offers courses, programs and services, including online learning, to ensure consistent accessibility, security, and safety across the District. The Valencia and Canyon Country campuses are connected to the Internet through the Corporation for Education Network Initiatives in California (CENIC). Access is facilitated through a secure data network at each location. Access is restricted depending on user type. Classroom technology is standardized across the District. Each setup includes a computer, projector, document camera, and integrated speaker system. Standardization ensures faculty teaching at any location can expect identical equipment in their classrooms. For specialized programs, including Photography, Computer Networking, and Media Entertainment Arts,

1051 2021 Information Technology Satisfaction Survey
additional equipment specific to the disciplines are added. To support hybrid student learning due to the pandemic, the College designed hybrid and flexible (HyFlex) classrooms. These allow faculty to teach on campus with the support of cameras, writing tablets and smart white boards to facilitate simultaneous in-room and onlineLIVE instruction.

Administrative application programs are available at both locations and critical applications are duplicated to ensure continued access in the event of an outage. The College’s online learning environment is hosted off-site using Canvas, ensuring high availability for students. All critical infrastructure equipment is covered by vendor maintenance contracts. The District also maintains a limited inventory of spare equipment. All District-issued employee laptops have preinstalled tracking software, activated if a device is reported stolen. Employee laptops and desktops that could contain sensitive information are encrypted to prevent unauthorized access. In 2019, the College launched a Single-Sign-On (SSO) project called CanyonsID to consolidate access credentials for all applications. In spring 2021, the project was completed increasing the security of the College’s programs. Along with the move to SSO, in December 2019, the College transitioned all employees to Office 365 for email. This move substantially increased the email storage capacity for each person and increased the reliability of the system. In March 2020, the College enabled OneDrive for all employees to provide secure, hosted storage for District electronic files.

In 2017, the District contracted with a network security vendor to assess the District’s information security and provide recommendations. As a result, the District hired a Systems Security Administrator responsible for establishing policies and procedures on information security, staying abreast of current security vulnerabilities, conducting annual assessments of third-party vendors, and addressing data security issues. Leveraging resources from the CCC Information Security Center, the District implemented vulnerability management through the cybersecurity company, Tenable, to continuously monitor, identify, and report vulnerabilities on the District’s network. In spring 2021, the District contracted with another outside vendor to reassess the District’s information security.

The District utilizes a cloud-based Emergency Notification System, Blackboard Connect (BBC), to notify employees, students, tenants, and vendors by email, phone, and text in the event of a campus emergency. All computer labs and employee workstations feature Alertus, an application that launches a pop-up information window and audible alert during an incident. The District also implemented a paging system so a message can be sent to all installed phones in classrooms and labs, and a comprehensive public safety camera system that records activity on the Valencia and Canyon Country campuses with an option for live viewing if necessary. IT maintains a backup system that runs nightly, ensuring the College’s critical data and programs are backed up. IT randomly tests backups to ensure viability. Additionally, the
College contracted a co-location facility in Northern California to house critical application programs. This can be activated in the event of a local emergency to ensure the continued availability of essential applications such as the College’s website and internal file servers.

Analysis and Evaluation
The College meets this standard, ensuring technology resources at all locations where courses, programs, or services are offered are implemented and maintained. The IT department provides multiple programs, backups and systems that ensure reliable access, safety and security.

4. The institution provides appropriate instruction and support for faculty, staff, students, and administrators, in the effective use of technology and technology systems related to its programs, services, and institutional operations.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard
The College provides multiple avenues for technology training and instruction for employees and students. These include new employee orientations, training through the Online Education Department, Professional Development department programs, technology workshops, IT training workshops, The Learning Center (TLC) support for students, support for students in computer labs provided by the Associated Student Government (ASG), and more. The College hosts Professional Development workshops based on annual needs assessment surveys,1058 and technology workshops1059 are offered through the year. The IT and Professional Development departments collaborate on a summer technology series,1060 covering topics on proper and effective use of technology in the classroom and administrative areas. All new employees take an orientation during which cybersecurity awareness is discussed along with explanations of how to acquire technology and receive training.1061

The Online Education (OE) Department offers comprehensive training1062 for faculty who teach online. From Spring 2020 through Spring 2021, OE offered 290 trainings for faculty, spanning more than 340 hours, in which 1,100 faculty (duplicated) participated. OE offers workshops on more than 25 different topics. OE also has resources1063 for faculty who want to develop or use Open Educational Resources (OER). Students can now complete Zero Textbook Cost (ZTC) pathways in six programs of study.1064 More than 60 separate courses use OER in lieu of commercial textbooks. IT offers extensive technology training1065 throughout the year. It has developed a frequently asked questions1066 and short, focused technology videos. In 2019, IT launched a cybersecurity training program1067 for all employees. IT also produces an electronic

1058 Professional Development Needs Assessment Survey
1059 Professional Development Summer Technology Institute Workshop List
1060 Professional Development Summer Technology Institute Workshop List
1061 PowerPoint Presentation for New Employee Orientation
1062 List of workshops and trainings offered by Online Education
1063 List of resources offered by Online Education
1064 List of Programs of Study
1065 List of Technology Trainings
1066 IT FAQ Website
1067 Information on Cybersecurity Training program
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newsletter,\(^{1068}\) PoweredOn, containing articles on technology projects, technology tips for increased productivity and security, and a calendar of upcoming technology trainings.

The College provides several avenues for students to get help with technology for their classes. Faculty assist students enrolled in their classes with course specific technology and The Learning Center (TLC) provides tutors to help students with computer coursework and instruction. Also, the Associated Student Government (ASG) operates a computer lab where students can access technology and get help with Office 365, email, and other software. For students who need assistance with their online courses, OE provides a support website\(^{1069}\) where they can access a variety of resources including 24/7 phone support for Canvas.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard. The College’s Professional Development office works with IT, Online Education, and faculty mentors to ensure faculty training needs are met. Faculty, TLC, the ASG Computer Lab, and the Canvas phone and chat systems provide student support, offering extensive technology resources so they obtain the training they need to be successful.

5. The institution has policies and procedures that guide the appropriate use of technology in the teaching and learning processes.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

Board Policy (BP) 3720 (Computer and Network Use)\(^{1070}\) and Administrative Procedure (AP) 3720\(^{1071}\) describe acceptable use of technology for students, faculty, staff and administrators for instructional and administrative purposes. Originally adopted in 2002 as BP and AP 815, they were rewritten in 2015 using a template from the Community College League of California (CCLC). The AP was updated in 2020 to include a confidentiality statement,\(^{1072}\) displayed anytime a user logs into the Ellucian Colleague Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system. In 2006, the Academic Senate approved\(^{1073}\) requirements for faculty to certify via an Online Instructor Qualifications program to ensure faculty teaching online have obtained the necessary skills. In 2020, during the COVID-19 pandemic, the Academic Senate approved emergency qualifications and provisional certification, letting the College move to remote instruction. Qualification requirements were reduced, but direct support for faculty, expanded online resources, and access to mentors augmented the process. Full training certification requirements will be reinstated at the end of 2021. Also, the Academic Senate recognized synchronous online instruction as requiring its own training and qualifications.\(^{1074}\)

In spring 2021, following the recommendation of the California Community College Information Security Center, the College developed and adopted BP and AP 3721 (Information Security).\(^{1075}\)

\(^{1068}\) [PoweredOn Newsletter Sample]
\(^{1069}\) [Online Education Student Support Site]
\(^{1070}\) [Board Policy 3720: Computer and Network Use]
\(^{1071}\) [Administrative Procedure 3720: Computer and Network Use]
\(^{1072}\) [Confidentiality Statement]
\(^{1073}\) [Academic Senate minutes on Online Instructor Qualifications approval]
\(^{1074}\) [Educational Technology Committee Minutes]
\(^{1075}\) [Board Policy 3721: Information Security]
The policy and procedure define the College’s commitment to security of data under its control and to ensuring only authorized people can view and/or modify the college’s data.

As discussed in III.C.1, all technology purchases follow guidelines to verify the technology will function in the College’s environment. They also require a check of compliance with Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) typically in the form of a Volunteer Product Accessibility Template (VPAT) and vendor completion of a Higher Education Community Vendor Assessment Tool (HECVAT).

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard. Policies, procedures, guidelines, and qualifications are in place to guide the appropriate use of technology in the teaching and learning process by faculty, staff, and students. The College disseminates the information broadly through emails, newsletters, in new employee orientations, and in all employee new hire packets.

Conclusion on Standard IIIC Technology

The District designs and maintains technology resources to support instruction and operations. Decisions about technology are made in consultation with faculty, staff, and administrators in line with the District’s Technology Master Plan. The College seeks student input through surveys to ensure students are heard on their technology needs. Professional Development and the IT department provide extensive training through classes, direct one-on-one sessions, and recorded video instructions. Board Policies, Administrative Procedures, operational guidelines, and handbooks guide the appropriate procurement, use, and disposal of all District technology.

Improvement Plan(s)

None

Evidence List

- III.C.1.1
- III.C.1.2
- III.C.1.3
- III.C.1.4
- III.C.1.5
- III.C.1.6
- III.C.1.7
- III.C.1.8
- III.C.1.9
- III.C.1.10
- III.C.1.11
- III.C.1.12
- III.C.1.13
- III.C.1.14
- III.C.1.15
- III.C.1.16
- III.C.1.17
- III.C.1.18
- III.C.1.19
- III.C.1.20
- III.C.1.21
- III.C.1.22
- III.C.1.23
- III.C.1.24
- III.C.1.25
- III.C.1.26
- III.C.1.27
- III.C.1.28
- III.C.1.29
- III.C.1.30
- III.C.2.1
- III.C.2.2
- III.C.2.3
- III.C.2.4
- III.C.2.5
- III.C.2.6
- III.C.2.7
- III.C.2.8
- III.C.2.9
- III.C.2.10
- III.C.2.11
- III.C.2.12
- III.C.2.13
- III.C.2.14
- III.C.3.1
- III.C.3.2
- III.C.3.3
- III.C.3.4
- III.C.3.5
- III.C.3.6
- III.C.3.7
- III.C.3.8
- III.C.4.1
- III.C.4.2
- III.C.4.3
- III.C.4.4
- III.C.4.5
- III.C.4.6
- III.C.4.7
- III.C.4.8
- III.C.4.9
- III.C.4.10
- III.C.4.11
- III.C.4.12
- III.C.5.1
- III.C.5.2
- III.C.5.3
- III.C.5.4
- III.C.5.5
- III.C.5.6
- III.C.5.7
- III.C.5.8

Administrative Procedure 3721: Information Security
Board Presentation on Cybersecurity
D. Financial Resources

Planning

1. Financial resources are sufficient to support and sustain student learning programs and services and improve institutional effectiveness. The distribution of resources supports the development, maintenance, allocation and reallocation, and enhancement of programs and services. The institution plans and manages its financial affairs with integrity and in a manner that ensures financial stability. (ER 18)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

College of the Canyons demonstrates its ability to support and sustain student learning programs and services and improve institutional effectiveness through its proactive approach to identifying funding, developed in response to student and community needs. In 2020-2021, the District’s overall Budget\textsuperscript{1078} was $327,216,856, comprised of 21 funds. The largest budgets were in Fund 11—Unrestricted General Fund ($124,696,145); Fund 12—Restricted General Fund ($48,519,909); Fund 45—GO Bond Construction Fund ($80,852,177); and Fund 74—Financial Aid ($19,764,791).

The District has been able to secure grant funding to augment resources for existing programs and provide startup funding for innovation and new programs. Funds are distributed in support of the development, maintenance, improvement and enhancement of programs and services by integrating financial resources with institutional planning through Annual Program Review.\textsuperscript{1079} The institution plans and manages its financial affairs, directed by the District chancellor, with integrity and in a manner that ensures financial stability. The College develops enrollment management strategies, making necessary adjustments to SCFF FTES, and Success and Supplemental metrics when projecting annual revenues. During its annual budget development process, it does long-term and short-term planning, which contribute to financial stability. Since 2002-03, the District has achieved a balanced budget with actual revenues nearly equal to or exceeding actual expenses\textsuperscript{1080} with one exception in 2011-12 when the State cut revenues by 10 percent. The District drew on its contingency fund, and the next year created $7.7 million in budget savings to avoid staff and program reductions. In 2018-19 when the SCFF was implemented, the District’s revenues were negatively impacted by the Supplemental metric because it was not a large financial aid District. However, with increases in FTES and Success metrics, revenue increased despite the subsequent pandemic, so the District has been able to fund operations and mandated obligations like STRS/PERS pension costs. The District is known for a high level of financial integrity reflected in excellent audits.\textsuperscript{1081, 1082, 1083}

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{1078} Santa Clarita Community College District 2020/21 Adopted Budget Revenue/Expenditures by Object
  \item \textsuperscript{1079} College of the Canyons Program Planning and Review – Years 2018/19 to 2020/2021
  \item \textsuperscript{1080} Santa Clarita Community College District Unrestricted General Fund – History of Revenues and Expenses Actuals for 2010-2011 through 2019-2020
  \item \textsuperscript{1081} Santa Clarita Community College District Financial Statements – 6.30.2020
  \item \textsuperscript{1082} College of the Canyons Foundation Financial Statements – 6.30.2020 and 2019
  \item \textsuperscript{1083} Santa Clarita Community College District Measure E General Obligation Bonds Election 2016 Financial and Performance Audits – 6.30.2020
\end{itemize}
Analysis and Evaluation

College of the Canyons meets this standard, demonstrating fiscal integrity and stability. It has sufficient resources to support and sustain student programs, services, and improved institutional effectiveness. The District’s financial resources are sufficient to support all aspects of operations and capital improvements. The budget development process, part of institutional planning, is incorporated into the fiscal planning process with short- and long-term options that ensure financial stability. The College manages its financial affairs well, ensuring financial integrity, as documented in its Fiscal Risk Management Self-Assessment Checklist.1084

2. The institution’s mission and goals are the foundation for financial planning, and financial planning is integrated with and supports all institutional planning. The institution has policies and procedures to ensure sound financial practices and financial stability. Appropriate financial information is disseminated throughout the institution in a timely manner.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The District’s mission and goals are the foundation for financial planning, which is integrated with and supports all institutional planning through the District’s integrated Program Planning and Review, and budget development process. Planning documents include the Strategic Plan,1085 Educational and Facilities Master Plan,1086 Five-Year Capital Construction Plan,1087 Technology Master Plan,1088 and Enrollment Management Plan.1089 Budget allocations also link staffing with program planning and review.1090 The District has Board Policies and Administrative Procedures to ensure sound financial practices and financial stability that include budget development and administration, budget and fiscal management, revolving cash, fund balance, debt issuance and management, accounting, warrants, investments, payroll, purchasing, bids, contracts, financial audits, and more. The Board-approved Budget Parameters establish the District’s fiscal policy and describe the following: Fiscally Responsible Criteria; Compliance with Community College Regulations; District Planning and Strategic Goals; Support for Student Access and Successful Completion; Budget Development—Revenues, Expenses, Categorical Funds; Budget Reduction, etc. The Board and leadership receive appropriate fiscal information, disseminated throughout the institution in a timely way. The chancellor submits a recommendation for a Tentative Budget1091 to the Board at its Budget Workshop1092 in June. After Board approval, the Tentative Budget1093 is posted to the District’s general ledger and functions as the spending authority until the Adopted Budget1094 is approved in September.

1084 Santa Clarita Community College District California Community College System Sound Fiscal Management Self-Assessment Checklist Fiscal Year 2018-2019 and Fiscal Year 2019-2020, Mid-Year
1085 College of the Canyons Strategic Plan 2019-2022
1086 Santa Clarita Community College District College of the Canyons 2016-2022 Educational and Facilities Master Plan
1087 Santa Clarita Community College District 2022-26 Five Year Construction Plan
1088 College of the Canyons Technology Master Plan 2017-22
1089 Enrollment Management Plan
1090 College of the Canyons Program Planning and Review – Years 2018/19 to 2020/2021
1091 Santa Clarita Community College District 2020/21 Tentative Budget Expenditures by Activity
1092 Santa Clarita Community College District 2020-2021 Tentative Budget – Board of Trustees Meeting, 7.29.2020
1093 Santa Clarita Community College District 2020/21 Tentative Budget Expenditures by Activity
1094 Santa Clarita Community College District 2020/21 Adopted Budget Revenue/Expenditures by Object
Minor changes are made to the Tentative Budget\textsuperscript{1095} between July and September to reflect updated state revenue information. If significant expense allocation changes are required, PAC-B reconvenes and makes modified recommendations to the chancellor. By September 15\textsuperscript{th}, the chancellor presents the Adopted Budget\textsuperscript{1096} to the Board. Once approved, the Adopted Budget\textsuperscript{1097} replaces the Tentative Budget\textsuperscript{1098} in the District’s general ledger.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College meets this standard. All operational and financial planning stems from the College’s mission and goals, and financial planning processes are integrated with institutional planning. The College has policies and procedures that ensure sound financial practices and stability. Financial information is fully disseminated in a timely manner, supporting institution wide participation in budget development.

3. The institution clearly defines and follows its guidelines and processes for financial planning and budget development, with all constituencies having appropriate opportunities to participate in the development of institutional plans and budgets.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

The District has clearly defined guidelines and processes for financial planning and budget development that allow all constituencies opportunities to participate. The District’s annual budget development process ensures that financial resources are used to support the College’s mission\textsuperscript{1099} and its strategic plan.\textsuperscript{1100} The District has a digitally integrated Program Review and Budget Development process.\textsuperscript{1101} Annual program objectives are aligned with the District’s strategic goals\textsuperscript{1102} and influence what is accomplished under each goal and what is allocated through the budget process. Working with instructional deans, the vice president of instruction achieves synergy between departments, resulting in efficient budgets that support the College’s programs, goals and strategies. The District’s Strategic Plan Accomplishments document\textsuperscript{1103} identifies the plans that have been achieved through past fiscal expenditures. Specific planning occurs at the departmental level including program reviews,\textsuperscript{1104} strategic goals, student learning outcomes (SLOs) and administrative unit outcomes (AUOs). These plans\textsuperscript{1105, 1106, 1107, 1108} are linked to the budget process and referenced as support for the majority of any forced cost budget recommendations. The recommendations must reference the District’s Strategic Goals.\textsuperscript{1109}

---

\textsuperscript{1095} Santa Clarita Community College District 2020/21 Tentative Budget Expenditures by Activity
\textsuperscript{1096} Santa Clarita Community College District 2020/21 Adopted Budget Revenue/Expenditures by Object
\textsuperscript{1097} Santa Clarita Community College District 2020/21 Adopted Budget Revenue/Expenditures by Object
\textsuperscript{1098} Santa Clarita Community College District 2020/21 Tentative Budget Expenditures by Activity
\textsuperscript{1099} College of the Canyons Mission Statement 2021
\textsuperscript{1100} College of the Canyons Strategic Plan 2019-2022
\textsuperscript{1101} Budget Development and Program Review Process Chart - Updated 10.7.2016
\textsuperscript{1102} College of the Canyons Strategic Plan 2019-2022
\textsuperscript{1103} Access, Engagement, and Success Accomplishments FY 2020-21
\textsuperscript{1104} College of the Canyons Program Planning and Review – Years 2018/19 to 2020/2021
\textsuperscript{1105} College of the Canyons Strategic Plan 2019-2022
\textsuperscript{1106} Santa Clarita Community College District 2022-26 Five Year Construction Plan
\textsuperscript{1107} College of the Canyons Technology Master Plan 2017-22
\textsuperscript{1108} Santa Clarita Community College District College of the Canyons 2016-2022 Educational and Facilities Master Plan
\textsuperscript{1109} College of the Canyons Strategic Plan 2019-2022
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Decision-Making Guide\textsuperscript{1110} clearly identifies guidelines and processes for budget development. The Program Review and Budget Handbook\textsuperscript{1111} provides guidelines for the budget managers to develop annual program objectives based on input from faculty and staff, generating requests for budget augmentations for expenditures such as supplies, contract expenses, equipment, etc. in alignment with Program Review.\textsuperscript{1112}

The District reviews the effectiveness of past planning as part of planning for current and future needs. Each year, during the Tentative\textsuperscript{1113} and Adopted Budget\textsuperscript{1114} presentations to the Board, the District reviews its previously stated goals for FTES growth,\textsuperscript{1115} faculty hiring, new program development, business training partnerships, and grants development and provides analysis of how each area has succeeded financially. The process requires prioritization of requests which are vetted by Executive Cabinet, the President’s Advisory Council—Budget (PAC-B), and the District chancellor with final approval by the Board. At Measure C and Measure M GO Bond Oversight Committee meetings,\textsuperscript{1116} the District provides updates on the progress of capital construction and reviews how the District has performed in compliance and fiscal responsibility. At the end of the year, annual program reviews are evaluated to determine how effective each department has been in achieving its goals. Based on these, new budget requests are considered for funding in the upcoming year.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard, defining and following guidelines and processes for financial planning and budget development. All constituencies have opportunities to participate in development of institutional plans and budgets.

Fiscal Responsibility and Stability

4. Institutional planning reflects a realistic assessment of financial resource availability, development of financial resources, partnerships, and expenditure requirements.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

Institutional planning reflects a realistic assessment of financial resource availability, development of financial resources, partnerships and expenditure requirements. Sound fiscal practices include budget development based on reasonable and conservative assumptions. Revenues are based on metrics in the Student Centered Funding Formula (SCFF), as well as increases in local revenues. These are offset by forced cost expenses such as negotiated salary and benefit increases, step and column increases, STRS/PERS increases, utilities, and insurance. Forced costs are based on current rates and actual values. Remaining budget funds support requests generated through departmental annual program reviews\textsuperscript{1117} and goals set by each department linked to short- and long-term plans. Through its entrepreneurial approach, the District has partnerships with Henry Mayo Newhall Memorial Hospital for Allied Health training

\textsuperscript{1110} Decision Making at College of the Canyons 2021
\textsuperscript{1111} Program and Budget Review Handbook 2021-2022
\textsuperscript{1112} College of the Canyons Program Planning and Review – Years 2018/19 to 2020/2021
\textsuperscript{1113} Santa Clarita Community College District 2020-2021 Tentative Budget – Board of Trustees Meeting, 7.29.2020
\textsuperscript{1114} Santa Clarita Community College District 2020-2021 Adopted Budget – Board of Trustees Meeting, 10.28.2020
\textsuperscript{1115} Enrollment Management Plan
\textsuperscript{1116} College of the Canyons - Citizens Bond Oversight Committee Website
\textsuperscript{1117} College of the Canyons Program Planning and Review – Years 2018/19 to 2020/2021
and Aerospace Dynamics International for Manufacturing training. There are also partnerships with the City of Santa Clarita, the Associated Student Government (ASG) and the COC Foundation. The District has been able to secure grant funding to augment resources for existing programs and provide startup funding for new programs.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College meets this standard. It realistically assesses the availability of financial resources, and prior to the SCFF and pandemic, increased resources by exceeding FTES targets. It has developed other financial resources by pursuing grant funds and business partnerships. Passing three General Obligation Bond measures provided capital resources allowing it to support instructional goals. The District continuously monitors and tracks expenditures and savings with clear and transparent expenditure requirements in place. It provides departments and budget managers easy access to financial reports that allow the District to adjust spending and achieve funding goals in the most expeditious, coordinated and fiscally responsible way.

5. To assure the financial integrity of the institution and responsible use of its financial resources, the internal control structure has appropriate control mechanisms and widely disseminates dependable and timely information for sound financial decision making. The institution regularly evaluates its financial management practices and uses the results to improve internal control systems.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

The District assures financial integrity and responsible use of financial resources by making sure its financial management system has appropriate control mechanisms and by instituting procedures with Fiscal, Purchasing, Payroll, and Budget Development department staff to disseminate dependable and timely information for sound financial decision making. Monthly fiscal reports are provided to the Board, which include the status of revenues, expenses and reserve levels. The District uses the computer services of L.A. County Office of Education (LACOE) in conjunction with Datatel, the District’s computer management information system (MIS). These systems have appropriate control mechanisms to support the internal control structure established through District policies and procedures. The Datatel system has been fully implemented in all areas including Fiscal Services, Student Business Office, Student Services, Human Resources, Instruction, Facilities, Public Information Office, and Institutional Research. For financial reporting, the District uses the Datatel Colleague financial module, available to all administrators, faculty and staff. This system has made the budget, expenditure details, and available balances readily accessible. The financial reporting system and the format of the budget document provide dependable and timely information for sound financial decision making. Purchase requisitions are not processed if the system shows there are insufficient funds to support requested purchases. Budget managers, overseen by their supervising Executive Cabinet members, are responsible for reviewing their departmental reports on a regular basis. Reports are distributed to program managers on accounts with negative balances so they can make appropriate budget transfers to cover any shortfalls. This protects the integrity of the overall budget. Transactions are reconciled on a monthly basis between Datatel and LACOE to ensure

---

1118 20-21 Tentative Budget Presentation - FTES History and Projections
1119 3.10.21 Santa Clarita Community College District – Board of Trustees – Business Meeting, 6.2 BS Financial Report – Month Ending 1.31.2021
the integrity of the data. The District maintains a comprehensive salary database updated by the budget coordinator who verifies and approves account numbers for all personnel additions and changes. Assessment of financial management practices occurs throughout the year and results improve internal control systems. Any issues are discussed with the respective department or program and the District’s auditors. Research into other community college protocol and processes is used to develop comprehensive internal procedures.

The District reviews its internal control system on a regular basis. The District chancellor encourages attendance at an annual audit workshop conducted by the District’s external audit firm, which includes all managers and other staff who ensure fiscal responsibility for district departments, instructional, grant and categorical programs. District auditors provide an overview of the new regulations and the role and responsibility that every manager has in ensuring controls, compliance, and fiscal oversight. An overview of compliance requirements based on state and federal laws and regulations is provided.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College meets this standard. The District’s internal and external MIS systems and financial control mechanisms assure all constituents of its financial integrity. The College provides regular updates to its various committees and constituents as a method of assessing the effective use of financial resources. This feedback is incorporated into its planning processes to evaluate the use of resources and the impact of planning.

6. Financial documents, including the budget, have a high degree of credibility and accuracy, and reflect appropriate allocation and use of financial resources to support student learning programs and services.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

The District’s financial documents, including the budget, show its funds are allocated to realistically achieve goals for student learning programs and services. Monthly reports to the Board indicate the budgeted allocation and the percentage of funds used by major categories. The District’s fiscal integrity and accuracy of reports is demonstrated through clean independent audits and examinations by outside agencies that audit federal- and state-funded programs. These give the District’s fiscal documents a high degree of credibility.

The audited financial statements also indicate a high level of fiscal stability and responsibility, as evidenced by the District consistently receiving unqualified/unmodified opinions on its annual audit reports. This reflects very positively on the administration’s management and oversight and shows all departments are involved in making sure appropriate controls and procedures are in place and followed. Since 2001-02, there have been no financial audit adjustments or corrections to the CCFS311 or the CCFS320. Since 2002, 97 percent, or 81 of 83, of the District’s audits have received Unqualified/Unmodified Opinions, including District, Foundation, and 1120
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1121 Santa Clarita Community College District Financial Statements – 6.30.2020
1123 2019-20 Certified 320 Apportionment Report
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General Obligation Bond finance and performance audits. The budget is an accurate reflection of institutional spending, having credibility with the College’s constituent groups, each represented on PAC-B, where updates on spending, financial statements and budget transfers are presented monthly. The chancellor also gives presentations, providing all employees with updates on the budget.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard with excellent audits confirming that financial documents, including the budget have a high degree of credibility and accuracy, and reflect appropriate allocation and use of financial resources.

7. Institutional responses to external audit findings are comprehensive, timely, and communicated appropriately.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The District provides comprehensive and timely corrections to audit exceptions and management advice and these issues are communicated appropriately to institutional leadership and constituents. During the last 20 years, the District has only had a few audit findings. When audit issues are indicated, Business Services staff work with the appropriate department to implement immediate resolutions and to ensure future compliance. District staff is extremely proactive about identifying internal audit issues in advance and addressing them in a timely way to ensure an appropriate resolution. Within the District’s audit period, (which usually runs between April and December), the external auditing firm performs an interim audit in the Spring and a final audit in the fall. During the same period, the firm audits the College of the Canyons Foundation and the General Obligation Bond Financial and Performance Audits. If any issues are identified, they are brought to the attention of the vice president and associate vice president of Business Services, who meet with the Executive Cabinet member supervising the area in question. The Executive Cabinet member researches the issues and develops a comprehensive and timely response. The proposed resolution is often implemented during the audit process which remediates the proposed finding, so it is not necessary to include in the final audit report. The District communicates about budget, fiscal conditions, financial planning and audit results at meetings and presentations, and the information is sufficient in content and timing to support institutional and financial planning and financial management. These include the Tentative Budget Workshop; Adopted Budget Workshop; PAC-B Meetings; the November meeting of the Foundation Executive Committee, where the audit is accepted; the Foundation Board, where the audit is presented; the General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee, which meets semi-annually to review construction project financial information and

1124 Budget Update – 7.23.2020
1125 All College Budget Update – 3.17.2021
1126 Santa Clarita Community College District Financial Statements – 6.30.2020
1127 College of the Canyons Foundation Financial Statements – June 30, 2020 and 2019
1129 Santa Clarita Community College District 2020-2021 Tentative Budget – Board of Trustees Meeting, 7.29.2020
1130 Santa Clarita Community College District 2020-2021 Adopted Budget – Board of Trustees Meeting, 10.28.2020
1131 College of the Canyons Intranet Committee Details President’s Advisory Council on Budget (PAC-B), Meetings
the annual audit, respectively; and the January Board of Trustees Meeting, when the auditors present the results of all audits to the Board. The Chairs of the Foundation Audit Committee and the GO Bond Oversight Committee attend the District Board meeting and confirm their acceptance of the respective audits.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College meets this standard. Institutional responses to external audit findings are comprehensive, timely, and communicated appropriately.

8. The institution’s financial and internal control systems are evaluated and assessed for validity and effectiveness, and the results of this assessment are used for improvement.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standards**

During the independent audit, auditors evaluate and assess the District’s financial and internal controls for validity and effectiveness, and any resulting recommendations are used for improvements. The associate vice president, Business Services and staff work closely with all department and/or grant budget managers throughout the year to ensure checks and balances are maintained and revenues and expenditures are consistent with the intent of funding.

All funds are regularly audited and reviewed by funding agencies, including federal and state grants and categorical funds, Certificates of Participation (COPs), General Obligation Bond funds and state construction funds. Outside entities, in addition to the District’s external auditors, examine District funds and assess program compliance. The District has more than 100 different grants and categorical-funded programs with built-in requirements for quarterly and annual reporting to ensure grant and categorical program compliance. District auditors have regularly acknowledged the District’s grants accounting director and district grant program manager for maintaining the fiscal integrity and compliance of all grant funds. In 20 years, there has been only one finding for grant funds, which required all districts to incorporate debarment language to contracts and purchase orders for federal vendors. The District has never had an audit finding on its bond funds, and its arbitrage calculations reflect timely expenditure of bond funds on construction projects that fulfill spending requirements in the ballot measure language.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College meets this standard. Its financial and internal control systems are tested and evaluated by external auditors and have been assessed as valid and effective.

9. The institution has sufficient cash flow and reserves to maintain stability, support strategies for appropriate risk management, and, when necessary, implement contingency plans to meet financial emergencies and unforeseen occurrences.

---

1133 Santa Clarita Community College District Financial Statements – 6.30 2020
1134 College of the Canyons Foundation Financial Statements – 6.30.2020 and 2019
1136 Santa Clarita Community College District Financial Statements – 6.30.2020
Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The District has sufficient cash flow and reserves to maintain stability, support strategies for appropriate risk management and meet financial emergencies and unforeseen occurrences. Cash flow has not been a problem for the District. With a healthy fund balance and cumulatively increasing revenues from growth and COLA, the District has weathered periodic financial storms generated by state budget deficits. The District’s fund balance during the last 20 years has exceeded the State Chancellor’s Office minimum requirement of five percent, ranging from 5.83 percent to 15.98 percent with a fund balance above 10 percent during the last few years.

The District receives most of its revenues from state apportionment, which is transferred by the State Chancellor’s Office to the County Treasurer, who then transfers it to the District’s unrestricted general fund at LACOE. Other revenues come from grants, business partnerships and business training contracts. During the pandemic, in order to balance the state budget, the state implemented $1.5 billion in deferrals for community colleges. This equated to approximately $17 million in deferred apportionment revenue for the District from February 2021 through June 2021, not to be repaid until July 2021 through November 2021. The District options included interest free borrowing from LACOE or low interest Tax Revenue Anticipation Notes (TRANS), available through various Pooled Financing Options at minimal cost, requiring that the funds be repaid within a short period. In early 2021, the District joined a TRAN pool with LACOE and issued a mid-year TRANS to provide cash flow not to exceed $24 million.

The District has sufficient insurance and reserves to handle financial emergencies. Its current insurance administrator, Keenan & Associates, is one of the largest companies serving K-12 and community college districts. Coverage is through a self-insurance model. The Joint Powers Authority (JPAs) groups, Statewide Association of Community Colleges (SWACC), have been established for property and liability, and the Protected Insurance Program for Schools (P.I.P.S.) is for Worker’s Compensation. The SWACC JPA had provided coverage for 30 years to almost 50 percent of the state community colleges. These JPAs are well managed, under direction of a board of directors comprised of representatives from each community college. In addition, the District budgets amounts anticipating deductibles or settlements, based on historical claims.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard. The District has sufficient cash flows and reserves to maintain stability and has been able to enact strategies for fiscal risk management to protect the District during years when state budget deficits or other emergencies impact the College. These strategies allow the District to develop realistic plans to deal with unforeseen occurrences. The District has strategies for fiscal risk management, including estimates for known liabilities.

10. The institution practices effective oversight of finances, including management of financial aid, grants, externally funded programs, contractual relationships, auxiliary organizations or foundations, and institutional investments and assets.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The District effectively oversees and manages its finances, including financial aid, grants, externally funded programs, contractual relationships, auxiliary organizations or foundations, and institutional investments and assets. Financial Aid is managed by the Student Services division with oversight from Fiscal Services. The legislatively required transition of Financial Aid disbursements from paper checks
to third-party vendors resulted in the District identifying Higher One to disburse financial aid to students through electronic funds transfer. The District reconciles the allocations monthly and has established a liability for financial aid bad debts that must be absorbed and offset through a collection process. Grants, categorical funds, and externally funded programs are managed effectively as described in III.D.5 and 6. Contractual relationships are also monitored closely with oversight from the vice president and associate vice president of Business Services, and the director of Contracts, Procurement and Risk Management. The District does not have investments as its funds are held in trust by the Los Angeles County Treasury.

The ASG is not a separate auxiliary; it is under the District’s Federal ID number. ASG is partially funded by an optional $10 per winter/summer and $15 per fall/spring semester student support fee that supports student clubs, co-curricular activities and organizational leadership experiences. The ASG budget is developed by established processes in accordance with its Constitution and is monitored and controlled by the ASG Senate under the supervision of the VP and associate VP of Student Services. An ASG officer may initiate a requisition for ASG budget expenditures, which must be approved by the Student Senate and director of Campus Life & Student Engagement if over $300. Each month, the ASG reconciles monthly transactions, and Fiscal Services reviews the reconciliation to maintain a check and balance.

The COC Foundation is a 501(c)3 auxiliary organization supporting the District by raising funds for student scholarships and College programs, fostering community relationships and partnerships, and accepting donations from businesses and individuals on behalf of the District. The VP of Business Services serves as the Foundation treasurer per the Foundation bylaws. The chancellor, deputy chancellor, VP of Business Services, VP of Instruction, and VP of CCC are ex-officio voting members on the Foundation Board, and the chancellor and VP of Business Services are members of the Foundation Executive Committee. The Foundation strategic plan is updated during annual retreats, aligning with the College’s mission and goals. Business Services staff prepare bi-monthly financial statements for the Foundation finance committee which forwards them for approval by the Foundation executive committee. The Foundation board receives the financial statements as information. Business Services staff assist the Foundation and auditors, reconciling income to Foundation donor records, preparing a cash summary reconciliation for all bank accounts, and a comprehensive income sheet and revenue and expense analysis. As an auxiliary organization, the Foundation has a separate contract with the auditors for a full annual financial audit, overseen by a separate audit committee, as required by regulation. The committee gives direction to the audit process and feedback to its Board of Directors.

The District assesses use of financial resources, including a monthly list of major budget categories, comparing actual expenditures to budget line items and funds that are trending over or under on a year-to-date basis. This is included as information with the monthly agenda summary for the financial statement to the Board. Historically, the District has had unspent discretionary budgets and has worked with department budget managers to plan more closely to

---

1137 College of the Canyons Associated Students Constitution
1138 College of the Canyons Foundation 2020-23 Strategic Plan, 5.26.2020
1139 College of the Canyons Foundation Financial Statements – 6.30.2020 and 2019
1140 3.10.2021 Santa Clarita Community College District – Board of Trustees – Business Meeting, 6.2 BS Financial Report – Month Ending 1.31.2021
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historical trends, resulting in budgets with less variance. The quarterly CCFS311 Report\textsuperscript{1141} to the Chancellor’s Office includes comparative percentages of actual to budget for revenues and expenses. The reports\textsuperscript{1142} are provided to the Board as information.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard. Through the processes described above and through the budget development process, the District effectively oversees finances and ensures that it assesses its use of financial resources systematically and effectively, using the results for improvement.

Liabilities

11. The level of financial resources provides a reasonable expectation of both short-term and long-term financial solvency. When making short-range financial plans, the institution considers its long-range financial priorities to assure financial stability. The institution clearly identifies, plans, and allocates resources for payment of liabilities and future obligations.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The District’s financial resources are at a level that provide a reasonable expectation of short-term and long-term financial solvency. The College’s responses to the Fiscal Assessment Checklist,\textsuperscript{1143} demonstrate that the District has assured financial stability when making short-range plans\textsuperscript{1144} by considering its long-range financial priorities,\textsuperscript{1145} and clearly identifying and planning for the payment of long-term liabilities and obligations.

GASB 34 requires annual reporting of long-term liabilities and capital assets. The District reports outstanding debt and liabilities on its annual audit\textsuperscript{1146} for the following: General Obligation Bonds, COPs, Capital Leases (copiers), SERP (Early Retirement Incentive) and Compensated Absences (accrued vacation and comp time and load banking balances). Most liabilities are offset by commensurate reductions in expenses or fees associated with the particular projects. The District’s annual audit\textsuperscript{1147} also reflects the acquisition value of capital assets less accumulated depreciation based on an annual inventory conducted by the Fiscal department. The District has very few long-term liabilities, and some of those are offset by identified revenues such as student parking fees, energy savings from the Central Plant, and joint agreements that cover the cost of expenses associated with contractual obligations, such as the K-12 Arts Education Consortium, Los Angeles County Fire Training Facility, Middle College High School, and Field Turf/Stadium Recreational Use agreements. The District identifies, plans and allocates resources for payment of liabilities and future obligations such as increases in debt, health and welfare, and scheduled maintenance.

\textsuperscript{1141} California Community Colleges Chancellor’s Office Quarterly Financial Status Report, CCFS-311Q – Santa Clarita - December 2020
\textsuperscript{1142} California Community Colleges Chancellor’s Office Quarterly Financial Status Report, CCFS-311Q – Santa Clarita - December 2020
\textsuperscript{1143} Santa Clarita Community College District California Community College System Sound Fiscal Management Self-Assessment Checklist Fiscal Year 2018-2019 and Fiscal Year 2019-2020, Mid-Year
\textsuperscript{1144} College of the Canyons Strategic Plan 2019-2022
\textsuperscript{1145} College of the Canyons Strategic Plan 2019-2022
\textsuperscript{1146} Santa Clarita Community College District Financial Statements – 6.30.2020
\textsuperscript{1147} Santa Clarita Community College District Financial Statements – 6.30.2020
Revenue projections allow the College to plan for future class sections, facilities for more students, and budget for associated operating expenses. The Educational and Facilities Master Plan 2016-22\textsuperscript{1148} cross references the goals for new and expanded programs and the facilities to accommodate them.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College meets this standard. Its financial resources provide a reasonable expectation of short-term and long-term financial solvency. It identifies, plans and allocates resources for paying liabilities and obligations in the future.

12. The institution plans for and allocates appropriate resources for the payment of liabilities and future obligations, including Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB), compensated absences, and other employee related obligations. The actuarial plan to determine Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) is current and prepared as required by appropriate accounting standards.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

The District plans for and allocates appropriate resources for the payment of liabilities and future obligations, including Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB). In June 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pension. The primary objective is to improve accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments for postemployment benefits other than pensions. It also improves information provided by state and local governmental employers about financial support for OPEB provided by other entities. Because of this GASB reform the District is no longer required to make an Annual Retirement Contribution each year; it just needs to show it can cover the current year’s liability. The District contracts with a third-party consultant who prepares the OPEB actuarial study every year,\textsuperscript{1149} as required by GASB accounting regulations. When new GASB regulations are issued, they are incorporated into District accounting processes and financial statements. The actuarial plan to determine OPEB is current and prepared as required by appropriate accounting standards. Per the latest actuarial study, the College has an OPEB liability of approximately $18.7 million, with $6.6 million in a revocable fund as of June 30, 2021. This shows the District’s ability to meet its required liability, approximately $500,000 each year. The District allocates resources for other employee related obligations and compensated absences. The District also estimates compensated leaves based on accrued salary rates and then budgets the current portion of that amount as a liability. This is regularly audited by the external auditors. The District has transferred Health and Welfare increases to employees through Total Compensation calculations that require employee deductions if they exceed negotiated Health and Welfare contributions.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College meets this standard. It is planning for and allocating appropriate resources for the payment of liabilities and future obligations and has a current actuarial plan prepared.

\textsuperscript{1148} Santa Clarita Community College District College of the Canyons 2016-2022 Educational and Facilities Master Plan
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13. On an annual basis, the institution assesses and allocates resources for the repayment of any locally incurred debt instruments that can affect the financial condition of the institution.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The District has very little locally incurred debt. A COP was refinanced in 2017, and as of June 30, 2021, there was about $7.3 million outstanding on the principal. Payment is largely offset by other revenue, such as parking fees and student capital project fees. Approximately $300,000 is paid from the unrestricted general fund each year toward the average annual payment of about $1.0 million. Often, the District is able to pay this cost by using budget savings.

In 2020-21, locally incurred debt outstanding also included a TRAN of $17 million due to state apportionment deferrals from February 2021 through June 2021. These deferrals will be repaid in the 2021-22 fiscal year, with this TRAN repaid by November 2021. This level of debt has been considered extremely low by bond ratings agencies when evaluating the district each year.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard. The level of locally incurred debt and repayment schedules do not have an adverse impact on institutional stability.

14. All financial resources, including short- and long-term debt instruments (such as bonds and Certificates of Participation), auxiliary activities, fund-raising efforts, and grants, are used with integrity in a manner consistent with the intended purpose of the funding source.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The District’s financial resources, including those from short- and long-term debt, auxiliary activities, fundraising efforts and grants are used with integrity in a manner consistent with the mission and goals of the College, and the intended purposes of the funding sources. Clean audit reports document this, along with monthly financial statements and budget transfers presented to the Board that reflect revenue and expenses for each of the District’s twenty funds.

The majority of District debt is in the form of GO Bonds funded by local property taxes. Based on recent GASB standards, the debt service is accounted for annually through audit entries. Of the $390 million authorized since 2006, it has issued approximately $180 million of bonds since 2014 from Measure M and Measure E. Projects funded by the bonds are based on the bond resolution approved by voters. An Independent Citizens’ Oversight Committee meets twice a year to review quarterly expenditure reports and annual financial and performance audits for the GO Bonds. The District has not had any audit findings or audit issues since 2001. Per Proposition 39, in addition to audits, the Oversight Committee submits a required annual report, posted publicly on the District’s website. In addition, the District COP is largely paid for using other revenue and does not rely heavily on unrestricted general fund revenue.

Financial resources raised by the college’s Foundation are used for intended purposes identified in fundraising. The District provides fiscal oversight for all Foundation accounting and ensures compliance with Foundation policies and procedures. The Foundation’s finance committee reviews all expenditures and contracts, which are approved by the Foundation executive committee before presentation as information to its Board. The District also coordinates with the Foundation on its annual audits, which have been without any findings or significant issues.
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The District’s director of Grant and Categorical Accounting and Compliance and two grant accountants ensure federal and state grants are used for their intended purposes. Individual grant program managers ensure compliance with federal or state guidelines. All expenditures and financial reporting are reviewed to ensure fiscal and regulatory compliance. The annual District audits have reflected no audit findings in more than 20 years for grant or categorical funds.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard. All financial resources are used with integrity and are consistent with intended purposes of funding sources, documented in District financial reporting and audits, including grant and categorical funds, COC Foundation and GO bond funds.

15. The institution monitors and manages student loan default rates, revenue streams, and assets to ensure compliance with federal requirements, including Title IV of the Higher Education Act, and comes into compliance when the federal government identifies deficiencies.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The District monitors and manages student loan default rates, revenues, and related matters to ensure compliance with federal regulations. Independent audits verify the integrity of financial management practices. The District’s financial aid director monitors student loan default rates which are well below the federally established 30 percent benchmark. The District’s default rates for 2015-16, 2016-17, and 2017-18 were 11.6 percent, 5.4 percent and 8.4 percent, respectively. The District has a plan in case its default rate ever exceeds federal guidelines: Identify factors causing the rate to exceed the threshold; establish measurable objectives and steps to improve the default rate; identify specific actions to improve the default rate, including counseling students on repayment options.

Oversight for financial aid revenues and grant and loan disbursements is through segregation of duties. The Financial Aid Office awards federal financial aid and has checks and balances on the awarding process to ensure integrity and compliance with federal regulations. The Fiscal Services Office orders related revenue from the U.S. Department of Education within required timelines and processes financial aid disbursements according to federal regulations.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard with its default rates below federal guidelines for the last three years. The District has a plan in place to reduce the rate if it ever exceeds federal guidelines.

Contractual Agreements

16. Contractual agreements with external entities are consistent with the mission and goals of the institution, governed by institutional policies, and contain appropriate provisions to maintain the integrity of the institution and the quality of its programs, services, and operations.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The District’s negotiated contracts with external entities are consistent with its mission and goals, governed by District policies, and contain appropriate provisions to maintain institutional integrity. They are evaluated by legal counsel, as needed, and provided to the Board for approval. The District has not had any lawsuits or complaints regarding its contracts in eleven
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years. Five hundred long-term agreements\textsuperscript{1150} with external entities support the District’s mission and goals, and more than 300 agreements with individuals per year provide short-term, professional services for various District programs and activities. These include the Employee Training Institute, Performing Arts Center, and Professional Development, among others. More complex, long-term agreements based on specific Education Code regulations include Health Professions clinical training, University Center four-year partners, Financial Aid Disbursement, Closed Captioning, Software Licenses, Facility Use, Bookstore Operations, and Food Services/Vending. These are reviewed by the District’s attorneys. Sub-awardee and contractor agreements support grant programs, including the National Science Foundation, Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative, and Economic Workforce Development grants.

The District maintains and controls its contracts and can change and terminate any that don’t meet its standards. Legal counsel has approved several agreement templates, including a Professional Services, Basic Services, Guest Speaker Services, Facility Use, Health Professions Agreements, etc.,\textsuperscript{1151} for independent contractors who provide instructional services for contract education or community education. Facility use agreements are based on Civic Center Act requirement that the District allow other parties to use its property, as appropriate. Whenever possible, templates are used to ensure the best protection, risk management, and the least exposure to liability or financial issues. Other negotiated agreements exist between business partners such as Barnes & Noble Bookstore, ISSI (food services), and Coffee Kiosk. Longer Term joint use agreements have been negotiated with the local high school district, L.A. County Fire Department, and the City of Santa Clarita, allowing additional contract terms that address the complex nature of these arrangements.

Contract language is drafted with the most stringent language possible, while considering the other party’s interests. The College intends to have fair contracts that allow each party to function at the highest level, while following the College’s governing regulations and policies.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College meets this standard. Its management of external contracts ensures federal and state guidelines are met and contracts align with the District mission and goals. Managers must use established boilerplate language to ensure contracts have “hold harmless” clauses and language to minimize exposure to financial liabilities. The VP and associate VP of Business Services and legal counsel, if necessary, review and approve the language in major contractual agreements.

**Conclusions on Standard III.D. Financial Resources**

The District meets all requirements for Standard III.D. Its financial resources are sufficient to support student learning programs and services. It manages fiscal resources with integrity, ensuring financial stability. It uses financial resources in alignment with its mission and goals and follows processes for financial planning and budget development. It accounts and plans for short- and long-term debts and sets aside resources for future obligations. The District’s financial and internal control systems are sound, shown by many years of clean audits. These demonstrate effective oversight of finances, including management of financial aid, grants, externally funded programs, contractual relationships, auxiliary organizations, and institutional assets.

\textsuperscript{1150} Contract Master List
\textsuperscript{1151} Agreement Template Samples
Improvement Plan(s)
None.
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Standard IV: Leadership and Governance

The institution recognizes and uses the contributions of leadership throughout the organization for promoting student success, sustaining academic quality, integrity, fiscal stability, and continuous improvement of the institution. Governance roles are defined in policy and are designed to facilitate decisions that support student learning programs and services and improve institutional effectiveness, while acknowledging the designated responsibilities of the governing board and the chief executive officer. Through established governance structures, processes, and practices, the governing board, administrators, faculty, staff, and students work together for the good of the institution. In multi-college districts or systems, the roles within the district/system are clearly delineated. The multi-college district or system has policies for allocation of resources to adequately support and sustain the colleges.

A. Decision-Making Roles and Processes

1. Institutional leaders create and encourage innovation leading to institutional excellence. They support administrators, faculty, staff, and students, no matter what their official titles, in taking initiative for improving the practices, programs, and services in which they are involved. When ideas for improvement have policy or significant institution-wide implications, systematic participative processes are used to assure effective planning and implementation.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The Santa Clarita Community College District’s Mission, Vision, and Philosophy statements guide all campus constituencies to support an environment of innovation, excellence, and continuous improvement. These statements underscore the focus on student success, diversity, equity, and inclusion, and also reinforce the commitment to innovation and excellence and highlight the College of the Canyons responsiveness to meeting the emerging needs of the community. Through a systematic approach to planning and implementation, the College ensures that comprehensive integrated planning processes support the attainment of the strategic goals of access, engagement, and success, and that new ideas to improve practices, services, and outcomes are energetically pursued and successfully implemented. As a result, the College’s history is characterized by innovation, an entrepreneurial spirit, commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI), and partnerships as it has pursued the development of programs, services, and enhancements.

The following subsections highlight several key examples of innovative practices. Many of these practices are tied to the College's Guided Pathways implementation, known locally as “Canyons Completes,” and these practices form the framework for a variety of student success projects. Other innovative themes unique to the College are associated with diversity, equity, and inclusion efforts, technological advancements, professional development offerings, workforce training initiatives, curriculum design and course offerings, among others. In many cases, these themes intersect and bring forward diverse talents from across the College. For example, the College earned the prestigious national Bellwether Award for a replicable model that built an in-house assessment system, while simultaneously redesigning math and English curriculum to

1152 Strategic Plan 2019-2022, p 6
1153 Decision Making Guide, p 37
1154 Decision Making Guide, p 13-20
address disproportionate impact on diverse student populations and to increase student achievement outcomes.\textsuperscript{1155}

The College’s Strategic Plan clearly conveys that the College is guided by a spirit of creativity and innovation as it embraces entrepreneurial partnerships and encourages faculty, staff, administrators, and students to develop innovative and flexible solutions to achieve its strategic goals. The College seeks to achieve this by cultivating an equitable, inclusive, and welcoming environment that promotes and encourages stakeholder participation in civic, social, and cultural engagement.\textsuperscript{1156} The College’s Decision Making Guide is replete with examples of how innovation and institutional excellence are encouraged, particularly evident in passages such as the following two quotes:

COC is a deeply special place. We are a college whose members care deeply about one another and about our students. We have a statewide reputation for enabling innovation and creativity, we constantly work to address the needs of our students. We are collaborative, imaginative, and resourceful and proud that we are known as a solution-oriented college. We share our ideas and work in good faith to be sincere, civil, and united as “cougars” in our efforts to support one another and serve our students well.

We are an innovative and creative community. We encourage members of the college community to be entrepreneurial, forward-thinking, creative, persistent, spontaneous, and we welcome changes that will enhance the college’s ability to fulfill its mission.\textsuperscript{1157}

Regardless of job classifications, College of the Canyons encourages all employees to contribute through a variety of means, including regular departmental meetings, program reviews, Chancellor’s open office hours,\textsuperscript{1158} monthly dialogues scheduled by the Chancellor with the presidents of the Academic Senate, Classified Senate, Associated Student Government (ASG), and representatives of the fulltime faculty and staff unions, collegial consultation committees,\textsuperscript{1159} departmental initiatives, Chancellor’s Circle mini grants, and more. The College strives to ensure diverse representation on all college committees and throughout institutional planning activities through inclusive policy, representative organization appointments, and a wide array of opportunities for involvement.\textsuperscript{1160} The Academic Senate,\textsuperscript{1161} Classified Senate,\textsuperscript{1162} and ASG\textsuperscript{1163} are active participants in recommending policy and institutional improvements. This enables all constituent groups to have a voice when planning and enacting strategies for institutional improvement and student success. For example, when planning how the College will return to campus operations following the COVID-19 pandemic, the leads from each representative body, along with members of the Executive Cabinet, formed a

\textsuperscript{1155} \textit{Bellwether Summary}  
\textsuperscript{1156} \textit{Strategic Plan 2019-2022, p 17}  
\textsuperscript{1157} \textit{Decision Making Guide, p 7}  
\textsuperscript{1158} \textit{Chancellor Open Hours Spring 2021}  
\textsuperscript{1159} \textit{Board Policy 2510: Participation in Local Decision Making}  
\textsuperscript{1160} \textit{Administrative Procedure 2510: Participation in Local Decision}  
\textsuperscript{1161} \textit{Academic Senate Appointment Procedures}  
\textsuperscript{1162} \textit{Academic Senate Committees}  
\textsuperscript{1163} \textit{Classified Senate Committees}  
\textsuperscript{1164} \textit{Associated Student Government Home Page}
Return to Campus Coalition\textsuperscript{1165, 1166} to improve communication between groups and to ensure that adequate input was being solicited and considered. Other examples of collaborative engagement across the stakeholder leadership include the Call to Action Coalition and the Institutional Effectiveness and Inclusive Excellence (IE)\textsuperscript{2} Design Team. A reports section on each monthly business meeting of the Board of Trustees is designed to enable constituency leadership organizations to provide updates to the Board.\textsuperscript{1167}

Those seeking to engage in a particular area of interest can find College wide committee details, including membership, committee focus, and chair contact information, on the committee intranet webpage.\textsuperscript{1168} The College also promotes engagement opportunities during new employee orientation, as well as through committee recruitment information events.\textsuperscript{1169} In addition, both the Classified and Academic Senates, along with the ASG, post meeting minutes and agendas on their websites.\textsuperscript{1170, 1171, 1172} For people with a specific concern, the Chancellor is known for her openness to explaining decisions and welcomes an opportunity to meet directly with any inquiring parties through a series of regularly held open office hours, as well as attending committee meetings and scheduling special meetings to listen, engage, provide information and move forward.\textsuperscript{1173}

The College encourages staff to engage in the community through strong, mutually beneficial community partnerships. This strengthens the institution and enhances the College’s ability to serve students. The College's leadership professional development program for staff promotes participation on community organizations and boards, and the Chancellor’s office maintains a list of staff engagement with community organizations to assist in making connections and serving community needs.\textsuperscript{1174} As a result, the College has a longstanding reputation for community engagement, along with a leadership role in civic engagement to increase civic literacy and democratic engagement. The College’s Center for Civic Engagement encourages students to examine social problems. Educational tools embedded in curricular and co-curricular venues enhance this exploration, bridging theory and practice to advance community engagement and democratic participation.\textsuperscript{1175} Furthermore, staff members are encouraged to serve as club advisors and alliance leads for the more than 60 student clubs and eight alliances on campus, providing an additional bridge between the students, staff, and College leadership.\textsuperscript{1176, 1177} Students are actively recruited to share their ideas and participate in campus policies, procedures, and processes through the ASG. The ASG appoints student representatives to serve on District committees and a student representative serves on the Board of Trustees, giving students an active voice in institutional decisions. Moreover, the ASG has maintained a Canyon
Country Campus-specific designated representative since the campus opened in 2007. The ASG and the Board hold joint meetings each spring and fall.\textsuperscript{1178}

Additionally, employees have many opportunities to expand their current skill sets and gain more insight into institutional improvement through regular and diverse professional development offerings available on and off campus.\textsuperscript{1179} The College is committed to dreaming, thinking, and doing, and provides its award-winning leadership development program, Leadership Education in Action Program (LEAP) as one of the conduits for these concepts. LEAP continues to be an integral part of staff collaboration and institutional improvement through solution team projects.

More than 400 participants have contributed to institutional innovation through LEAP and its branches since its inception in 2008.\textsuperscript{1180} Since 2014, staff from all classifications have proposed and undertaken more than 50 visionary solution team projects to enhance and expand the services the College offers to students and the community.\textsuperscript{1181} The Center for Excellence in Teaching and Learning (CETL) is another example of innovative, participative, impactful work focused on a culture of teaching excellence in the learning environment.

The College regularly solicits and supports innovative ideas outside of structured activities such as LEAP. For example, a classified staff member initially conceived the newly developing Physical Therapist Assistant Program, and the proposal successfully attained approval and support from the Program Viability Committee, Academic Senate, and Academic Staffing Committee. The College is currently working on attaining placement on the Commission on Accreditation of Physical Therapy Education candidacy application cycle.\textsuperscript{1182} Students, in collaboration with faculty, classified staff, and administrators, have also recently contributed to a range of innovative projects. A prime example was the work to continue theater productions despite the challenges imposed by COVID-19. Virtual performances and events allowed the College’s Performing Arts Center and related programs to sustain their longstanding academic and community engagement.\textsuperscript{1183}

College of the Canyons values the work of the team, and the team includes all College employees, students, and the community. The College’s culture of innovation and creativity involves broad participation that leads to meaningful improvements for students and the institution. There are many unique examples of innovative, impactful efforts at the College. For example, the NASA High Altitude Student Project (HASP) and RockSat-X have provided applied industry experience opportunities for STEM students, and the College’s Basic Needs Center (BaNC) has supported student nutritional needs while offering personal hygiene items and assistance with housing and social services through community providers. Other examples include a district wide biodiversity initiative, formulation of a MakerSpace, a valley wide Santa Clarita Environmental Education Consortium, and Science Talks such as the biannual Star Party. These and other college innovations have all involved students, faculty leadership, administrators, and classified staff, with many deriving from LEAP projects and/or presentations. Numerous committees and groups were involved such as CPT, Facilities, Technology,\textsuperscript{1184}
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Professional Development, the Chancellor’s Taskforce on Workforce Development, as well as the Foundation and Grants Development. College innovation and impact is also extended through advocacy, as COC contributed to the idea and need behind AB-1995 (Williams) to provide access for homeless students to use college shower facilities at California community colleges. Collectively, the College culture of supporting and encouraging innovation and creativity has improved the institution and allowed it to evolve in new directions and meet new community challenges and opportunities.

Analysis and Evaluation

Through formal and informal practices and procedures, the College encourages and empowers all members of its community to bring their ideas forward to inform and improve college processes. In turn, rich dialogues and a diversity of stakeholder input allow the College to address problems and bring suggested improvements to fruition in a timely way. The College’s commitment to collaborative, coordinated, and integrated planning ensures it is well-positioned to address the current and evolving needs of students and the community as well as anticipate and envision where it would like to go and design and plan to get there.

2. The institution establishes and implements policy and procedures authorizing administrator, faculty, and staff participation in decision-making processes. The policy makes provisions for student participation and consideration of student views in those matters in which students have a direct and reasonable interest. Policy specifies the manner in which individuals bring forward ideas and work together on appropriate policy, planning, and special-purpose committees.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The District Board of Trustees policies and administrative procedures describe how members of the college community can participate effectively and work together. The board policy on participation in local decision making ensures that constituent groups “shall be given the opportunity to participate as required by law in the collegial consultation processes of the district.” The specific campus constituent groups, including but not limited to the ASG, Classified and Academic Senates, and their subcommittees, capture these processes in their own governing documents such as the Academic Senate Constitution, Bylaws, and subcommittee procedures. Such governing documents specify the collaborative process through which individuals advance ideas, revisions, and input.

The College Policy Council (CPC), a representative body, reviews and advances all College board polices and administrative procedures to the Board. Its internal operating procedures provide the framework for membership to collaboratively provide input and plan District functions through each specific policy and procedure. CPC membership is purposefully designed to support diversity of stakeholder perspectives, including representation from students through ASG. Once the CPC evaluates policies, additional input is allowed and solicited during the first

---

1184 Board Policy 7270: Staff Participation in Collegial Consultation
1185 Administrative Procedure 7270: Staff Participation in Collegial Consultation
1186 Board Policy 2510: Participation in Local Decision Making
1187 Academic Senate Constitution
1188 Academic Senate Bylaws
1189 Curriculum Committee Procedures
1190 College Policy Council Procedures
and second reading of policies at Board meetings. This process gives the entire campus community, Board members, the student trustee, and the general public opportunities to comment or ask questions prior to final approval and implementation of policy changes. The Academic Senate’s Policy Review Committee is also a key forum for participation and conversation on policy as part of the College’s overall establishment of policies and procedures that appropriately authorize participation in decision making. The College’s Decision Making Guide summarizes these processes and includes policy development and review flow charts as appendices.

Board policy also “makes provisions for student participation and consideration of student views in those matters in which students have a direct and reasonable interest.” Students are active participants in matters of direct and reasonable interest. The ASG appoints student representatives to actively serve on college wide committees and to participate in many workgroups. The Academic Senate membership includes an ASG Senator, ensuring student involvement and awareness of important academic matters within critical governance structures. The Board holds biannual joint meetings with the student leadership, and the Executive Cabinet regularly meets with student leaders on matters of student interest and impact. ASG meetings ensure that meaningful and active student representation is part of college wide committees with particular attention to impactful issues such as pathways, equity, and the core goals of access, engagement, and success. This participation in campus life and engagement has been especially strong in supporting the Canyons Completes work of the Institutional Effectiveness and Inclusive Excellence (IE) committee, a sub-committee of the College Planning Team (CPT).

The College also has clearly defined board policies and procedures regarding the responsibilities of faculty and academic administrators on curriculum and educational matters, as described in Board Policy (BP) 7215 and in the Curriculum Committee Handbook. An administrator serves as co-chair of the Curriculum Committee, working with the faculty co-chair to fulfill committee responsibilities, while also serving as a resource to instructional deans as they fulfill their curriculum responsibilities. Per Title 5 §53200(b), the Academic Senate makes recommendations with respect to academic and professional matters, including curricular matters such as establishing prerequisites and placing courses within disciplines, degree and certificate requirements, grading policies, educational program development, and standards and policies regarding student preparation and success. Through this role, the Curriculum Committee reviews and recommends new and modified curriculum to the Academic Senate and the Board.
with advice from the co-chair administrator, who collaborates with faculty to develop curriculum and funding proposals in response to student, workforce, and community needs. In these many ways, institutional policies and procedures comprehensively describe the roles and channels for college wide participation in decision-making processes.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College has appropriate policies and procedures for faculty, administrators, staff, and students to work together along with the Board to develop ideas and effectively support and improve the institution. Constituent groups have clearly defined roles and responsibilities regarding governance and decision making, and the College has demonstrated a track record of collaboration and innovation in practice within its governance processes. College committees and informal dialogue provide meaningful improvement, and a student-centered culture encourages and fosters student perspective and involvement.

3. Administrators and faculty, through policy and procedures, have a substantive and clearly defined role in institutional governance and exercise a substantial voice in institutional policies, planning, and budget that relate to their areas of responsibility and expertise.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

The College’s decision-making processes provide reasonable opportunities for substantive participation by all members of the College community. Along with the many policies and procedures noted above in IV.A.2, the College’s Decision Making Guide details substantive participation, substantial dialogues, and collegial consultation. It also describes how ultimately the actions taken as a college embody the will of the College community. As a result, each constituent group has a responsibility to support the development of plans, policies, and procedures for the College that are lawful, ethical, well informed, and aligned with the College's mission. The Guide is updated regularly and serves as a resource to individuals, committees, and College constituents regarding best practices and processes for making decisions.

Appendix A of the Decision Making Guide provides additional descriptions of the way students, faculty, staff, and administrators participate in engaged campus decisions, contribute ideas, and collaborate. This engagement is outlined in terms of collegial consultation, governance participation, and committee operations. Appendix B further reiterates and describes opportunities for College constituencies to meaningfully participate in the formulation, development, and exercise of College policies and procedures.

The College’s Strategic Plan describes participation in planning and the effective integration of efforts to serve students, including the work, focus, and coordination of the College Planning Team (CPT). As described more fully in Standard I, CPT is an example of broad participation in planning and innovation in action, functioning as an umbrella decision-making group with college wide representatives in a venue for collective assessment, planning, and evaluation of College efforts. CPT, itself, has innovated and evolved over the years, having derived from a college planning taskforce in prior decades, which previously included as subcommittees many
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of the major collegial consultation committees now at the College. As the College grew in complexity and scope and developed capacity, these committees that were prior subcommittees branched off and created their own structures closely aligned to CPT. As noted, many board policies, administrative procedures, and committee procedures include provisions and prompts for necessary interfacing among and between various College work groups and committees in order to achieve appropriate and effective collaborative outcomes.\textsuperscript{1208} The Educational and Facilities Master Plan further describes participation in decision making and planning, and communicates how faculty, staff, and administrators engage in systematic program planning, developing and reflecting institutional priorities.\textsuperscript{1209} The Budget Parameters document describes the participation of all constituents in the budget process.\textsuperscript{1210}

Board policies, roles in governance, planning, and budget are further defined in the Decision Making Guide sections, including those of core college committees such as the CPT, the President's Advisory Council on Budget (PAC-B), the Academic Senate, Enrollment Management, and a full outline of committees by type. College wide committees make recommendations to the Chancellor, who in turn makes recommendations to the Board. The College is committed to an open and inclusive organizational structure that enables staff members to participate in collegial consultation, decision making, and putting decisions into action. The College’s commitment reaps valuable benefits, including employee involvement, understanding, and participation across the College as members of teams work on objectives of mutual interest.\textsuperscript{1211}

The role of faculty in academic and professional matters is well defined through policy, administrative procedures, and through committee procedures and charters.\textsuperscript{1212, 1213, 1214} Board policies define the roles and responsibilities of the Academic Senate,\textsuperscript{1215} Curriculum Committee,\textsuperscript{1216} Program Viability Committee\textsuperscript{1217} and collective bargaining units\textsuperscript{1218} regarding implementation of policy and procedures related to faculty expertise. Furthermore, the policies and procedures ensure that faculty are empowered, and their voice is included in decision making. For example, the development of curriculum is recognized as an academic and professional matter that is “initiated by faculty within the departments and submitted through the appropriate approval process established by the Curriculum Committee under the jurisdiction of the Academic Senate.”\textsuperscript{1219} The academic program review process ensures that all department faculty have opportunities to participate in the processes of planning and budgeting for their respective academic programs.
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In practice, College policies enable college-wide participation in institutional planning, budget, and policy development. Policy development demonstrates engaged, regular, college-wide participation through an organized approach of appropriate policy review by groups such as the Academic Senate, Classified Senate, ASG, and Policy Review Committee en route to the CPC and ultimately to the Board.\textsuperscript{1220} Budget Parameters and the work of PAC-B likewise demonstrate how department-level program-planning objectives and budget requests are tied to college goals and mission, and are integrated into college operations.\textsuperscript{1221} The Strategic Plan itself is an example of the role and voice of administrators, faculty, classified staff, students, and college-wide groups in practice. As part of the essential work of CPT, the College comprehensively updated the Strategic Plan with a series of CPT retreats and a variety of workgroup meetings, undertaken during more than a year beginning in 2018. This new plan was drafted collaboratively by faculty, staff, administrative, and student participants and aligned with the California Community Colleges Chancellor’s Office system Vision for Success goals.\textsuperscript{1222} Likewise, through the leadership of CPT, the update to the College mission, most recently changed in spring 2021, was thoroughly discussed in college-wide forums and adjusted and approved by all College groups through CPT, college-wide forums, the Academic Senate, Classified Senate, ASG, and the Board.\textsuperscript{1223}

\textbf{Analysis and Evaluation}

Faculty and administrators are active participants in decision making at College of the Canyons, with clear and substantial roles as well as voices in planning, budget, and policy development. These roles are well documented in board policies, administrative procedures, and the College’s Decision Making Guide as evidenced in committee membership and participation. College employees work together through organizational structure and established processes to fulfill the mission of the College. The institutional governance and decision-making processes facilitate effective communication, cooperation, and development of ideas that improve the College and propel attainment of its mission.

4. Faculty and academic administrators, through policy and procedures, and through well-defined structures, have responsibility for recommendations about curriculum and student learning programs and services.

\textbf{Evidence of Meeting the Standard}

Administrators and faculty have clearly defined roles and responsibilities regarding curriculum and student learning programs and services. Specifically BP 7215, Academic Senate Participation in Collegial Consultation, articulates the role of faculty in academic and professional matters or the 10+1 responsibilities.\textsuperscript{1224} The Curriculum Committee procedures articulate the committee membership and their responsibility to assure “academic excellence in curriculum matters by ensuring that curriculum is academically sound, comprehensive, and
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responsive to the evolving needs of the institution and the community.” The Curriculum Committee Handbook defines the role of faculty authors, department chairs, school/division deans, auditors, Curriculum Committee, Academic Senate, and the governing board in the curriculum approval process.

Ultimately the Board of Trustees relies primarily on the counsel of the Academic Senate on matters related to curriculum, degree and certificate requirements, attendance and grading policy, standards and policies pertaining to student preparation and success, priorities for faculty professional developments, and the refinement of processes for program review. For example, Administrative Procedure (AP) 4021, Program Viability-Initiation, Modification, Discontinuance, and Revitalization, allows for proposals to be initiated by the chief instructional officer (CIO), school dean, department chair or academic program director. The Program Viability Committee has a defined composition that includes the CIO, faculty leadership (Academic Senate president, College of the Canyons Faculty Association [COCFA] president, American Federation of Teachers [AFT] president), and faculty expertise (Curriculum Committee chair, Program Review chair), as well as other faculty or outside experts as needed.

Through policies and procedures faculty and academic administrators make recommendations regarding improvement of student learning programs and services to ensure student success and support. For instance, the College’s Guided Pathways framework, Canyons Completes, convenes the Guided Pathways Steering Committee as a joint effort of faculty and administrators to advance completion of degrees, certificates, and skills building courses. The Guided Pathways Steering Committee is tasked with researching, designing, and improving instructional best practices, programs, processes, and services. Examples of specific programming and services resulting from this collaborative undertaking include the facilitation of anti-racism and equity podcasts and dialogues, the coordinated completion of 68 program maps led by the College’s Guided Pathways faculty liaisons, and the formation and management of mentorship programs like student success teams and student alliances.

Analysis and Evaluation

Policies and procedures clearly describe the responsibilities of faculty and academic administrators with regards to curriculum and student learning programs and services, prioritizing the role of the Academic Senate and its subcommittees. The Curriculum Committee Handbook outlines established and well-defined structures to coordinate the collaboration of faculty, administration, and the Board. Policies, procedures, and practices are regularly evaluated to ensure they are current and effective. For example, the Academic Program Review committee engages in continuous improvement of the planning processes. This includes soliciting feedback from key stakeholders in the program review and planning process, such as faculty department

---

1225 Administrative Procedure 4020: Program and Curriculum Development
1226 Curriculum Committee Procedures
1227 Curriculum Handbook
1228 Board Policy 7215: Academic Senate Participation in Collegial Consultation
1229 Administrative Procedure 4021: Program Viability
1230 Administrative Procedure 5050: Student Success and Support Program
1231 Canyons Completes
Institutional Analysis | Standard IV: Leadership and Governance
A. Decision-Making Roles and Processes

chairs, academic deans, the CIO and Office of Institutional Research, Planning, and Institutional Effectiveness (IRPIE).

5. Through its system of board and institutional governance, the institution ensures the appropriate consideration of relevant perspectives; decision-making aligned with expertise and responsibility; and timely action on institutional plans, policies, curricular change, and other key considerations.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

College of the Canyons ensures the appropriate and meaningful consideration of relevant perspectives of constituent groups through governance policies and procedures as well as participative planning models. The Decision Making Guide describes the College’s planning processes and the participation of students, faculty, classified staff, and administrators in designing and implementing plans that align with the College’s goals of access, engagement, and success. By design, committees “provide a forum for diverse ideas to be heard” and allow campus constituents “to come together to study challenges in a more in-depth manner than they could do individually” so that decision making is aligned with expertise and responsibility. Committees play an important advisory role to the chancellor and ultimately to the Board. They are designed to foster communication and ensure timely action on plans and implementation of policies.

As noted in the Decision Making Guide, one example of how relevant perspectives on planning are incorporated in practice is through the CPT, which functions as the primary planning, decision-making group and consists of college wide representatives including students. CPT helps to collectively assess, design, and evaluate plans to ensure alignment with and support for the College's mission, values and philosophy. Specifically, CPT “synthesizes and articulates the College’s priorities based on the planning activities of the academic, student services, fiscal, human resources, and research and development departments, and also considers external community, state, and national factors that impact institutional planning. It promotes coordination among collegial consultation committees, ensures that policies and procedures are considered and discussed; and that goals, objectives, and action plans of other committees and work units are integrated into overall strategic planning and budgeting processes.” CPT minutes and presentations demonstrate decisions made and resulting action, such as the updated Mission Statement and the Strategic Plan. The results and implementation are then incorporated into College committees, divisions, and planning groups to integrate into program planning and operational efforts that meaningfully assist in institutional improvement.

The College Mission Statement establishes that the College “champions diversity, equity, [and] inclusion,” which sets the tone for a governance structure that cultivates pathways for participation in decision making and planning. While Title 5 §51023 requires governing boards to adopt policies and procedures that provide both staff and students opportunities to participate effectively in governance, the District’s policies and practices seek to extend these rights above the floor of the law. For example, BP 7270 provides for effective and equitable classified
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staff participation in governance and the College is currently in the process of updating a “Classified 9+1.” In addition, BP 7215\textsuperscript{1236} and BP 5400\textsuperscript{1237} establish robust policies for effective and participatory governance for faculty and students. The College Decision Making Guide reinforces these policies by illustrating the everyday application of policy.

Within stakeholder representative organizations, structures of governance are commonly described in the affiliated constitution and bylaws documents. For example, both the Academic\textsuperscript{1238} and Classified Senates\textsuperscript{1239} establish representative leadership positions from across their constituencies. Senator positions in these organizations serve to assure that both senates are informed by a diversity of College departments, regardless of the areas represented by the currently elected officer-leadership.

Collegial consultation committees serve as the foundation of institutional planning and decision-making deliberations. Membership for these committees, their charters, and rules for participation are established in operating procedures. For example, the CPC has its own procedures\textsuperscript{1240} that specifically establish equitable voting allocations for each of the College stakeholder groups (students, classified staff, faculty, and administration). Membership composition and contact information can be found in the College’s committee intranet site\textsuperscript{1241} and links to meeting minutes can be found in each respective committee’s page.\textsuperscript{1242, 1243, 1244} Furthermore, the College describes engagement opportunities during new employee orientations, as well as through committee recruitment information events.\textsuperscript{1245}

The result of effective collegial consultation and collaboration can be found throughout the institution, its policies, practices, and planning. Of note, the recently updated College Mission Statement\textsuperscript{1246} initiated from deliberations within the CPT with direct input from students, faculty, and classified staff\textsuperscript{1247} as facilitated through their respective representative bodies. By ensuring polices, practices, and planning have been reviewed, approved, developed, or otherwise vetted through each stakeholder group or its respective committee appointees, the Board can ultimately take more timely and decisive action on agenda items with confidence in the collaborative institutional practices.

Another significant development for the College was the work of the Partnership Resource Team (PRT) through the Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative (IEPI) to help enhance noncredit efforts at the College. The Chancellor submitted a request for technical assistance through a PRT visit, following conversations with faculty leadership about the need to transform
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noncredit efforts. The subsequent plan developed through the PRT following several interactive visits helped to significantly transform noncredit efforts.

Likewise, the College’s timely action and decision making in practice supported the AB 705 (Irwin) related math and English improvements, which resulted in increased student success and the national Bellwether Award recognition, as well as the work of the Program Viability committee to improve new programs in response to community needs. The College is fully committed to collaborative planning through established processes ensuring that the College is at the forefront of change, effectively implementing ideas presented by stakeholders to meet current and evolving needs.

College governance documents also vest the flexibility within leadership to summon expertise and relevant, representative collegial consultation through ad hoc work groups. Such flexibility allows spontaneous collaboration on critical and unique matters not falling squarely within the jurisdiction of established standing committees and governance structures. One example is the collaborative ad hoc work group formed to design the College’s synchronous online instructional certification standards during the COVID-19 pandemic, the details of which required institution wide coordination. Such standards were eventually adopted by the Academic Senate. Additional evidence of effective ad hoc work groups can be found in the collaborative approach taken to establish the College security camera policy and procedure.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The College supports consideration of relevant perspectives from campus groups through policies, procedures, practices, and planning to ensure the alignment of decision making, expertise, and responsibilities, along with timely action. The overall institutional governance functions as a product of multiple representative bodies that inform one another and offer a range of opportunities for engagement. Through multiple opportunities for input, along with policies ensuring the rights for effective participation, the College exceeds this standard and incorporates diverse perspectives. In turn, the College operates more efficiently, and its resulting actions have greater support from the College community at large.

6. The processes for decision-making and the resulting decisions are documented and widely communicated across the institution.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

The College Decision Making Guide serves as the primary institutional level resource for decision-making processes. However, all stakeholder groups, committees, task forces, and departments have their own specific processes which may come in the form of operational plans, operating procedures, administrative procedures, Board Policy, constitutions and by-laws, or less formal practices. The hierarchical structures are noted within the College’s organization charts, which can help determine the order of responsibility in decision-making scenarios, particularly when the situation dictates decisive action outside of predetermined practices.
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Decisions are documented and communicated through a variety of mediums. Many formal decisions culminate with Board approval. These are recorded on video and documented within the Board Docs\textsuperscript{1253} approval system and Board meeting minutes. However, most Board agenda items are the product of collaborative processes that are, in turn, documented or otherwise communicated through various means. For example, the IRPIE Department plays an important role in ensuring that campus constituency groups are solicited for input within the decision-making processes of the College. The College community is regularly surveyed to gather institutional planning insights prior to finalizing major plans or decisions. Furthermore, the IRPIE Department frequently helps to facilitate open forums, most notably through the Institutional Effectiveness and Inclusive Excellence (IE)\textsuperscript{2} open meetings. Recently, IRPIE surveyed students to gather input in the naming of the new Multicultural Center,\textsuperscript{1254} the COVID-19 Assessment of Learning,\textsuperscript{1255} and further sought input from all employee groups in determining how the academic calendar term dates should or should not change in the coming years.\textsuperscript{1256} Formal research studies and survey results are typically documented within research briefs accessible to the college community and the public on the IRPIE website.\textsuperscript{1257}

The College stakeholder organization leadership also plays an important role in disseminating information to and from the respective members of stakeholder groups. The Classified Senate, Academic Senate, ASG, and Collective Bargaining Associations (CBAs) all hold regular meetings to keep their members apprised of important issues, plans, and decisions impacting the College.\textsuperscript{1258, 1259, 1260} Within each stakeholder organization decisions are often documented and communicated through its respective agendas, minutes, websites, and unique ratification processes. For example, the Classified and Academic Senates developed independent resolutions on anti-racism, vetted and approved through their democratic processes respectively.\textsuperscript{1261, 1262}

Apart from holding open meetings, College stakeholder organizations additionally offer newsletters or other publications, such as the ASG’s “The Pawprint”\textsuperscript{1263} and the Classified Senate’s “That’s Classified!”\textsuperscript{1264} to keep members apprised of current topics of interest. Furthermore, the College has seen an increased utilization of social media platforms across all stakeholder organizations and the Public Information Office. These combined communication outlets help the College reach a diversity of college and community stakeholder groups, students, and the public at large.\textsuperscript{1265, 1266}
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Analysis and Evaluation

College of the Canyons effectively documents and communicates decisions through a multitude of college processes, while major decisions are often formalized by the Board and enacted with direction from the CEO. The collegial consultation process regularly provides information for these decisions through a wide range of opportunities for input, as described in the Decision Making Guide and the preceding narratives. Specific examples of evidence demonstrating the College’s strength in this area are found in the Collegial Consultation Committee, stakeholder organization minutes and agendas, institutional studies and surveys, and are detailed within the administrative procedures. The College’s multifaceted communication strategy includes webinars, open forum meetings, website and social media posts, and email communication both to and from campus constituent groups.

7. Leadership roles and the institution’s governance and decision-making policies, procedures, and processes are regularly evaluated to assure their integrity and effectiveness. The institution widely communicates the results of these evaluations and uses them as the basis for improvement.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College regularly evaluates its governance and decision-making structures as outlined in BP and AP 2510, Participation in Local Decision Making.1267, 1268 The mechanisms for effectively evaluating those measures are outlined in BP and AP 2745, Board Self-Evaluation, and BP and AP 2435, Evaluation of the Chief Executive Officer of the District.1269, 1270 The evaluations of all administrative personnel are conducted on an annual basis through the Administrator Self-Evaluation and review process, and all other staff are evaluated per the collective bargaining agreements.

There are primary constituent and work groups at the College that implement the decision-making process including, but not limited to the CPT, PAC-B, CPC, Academic and Classified Senates, ASG, and collective bargaining groups. Each of these entities is responsible for its respective facet of the College and represents the collective voices of its respective members and the College at large. In so doing, each group regularly evaluates its own internal processes and its role in relation to the entire campus governance structure. While this process is ongoing, most committees and work groups establish “retreats” at the beginning of the academic year or semesters to initiate such review processes.1271, 1272 By necessity, these groups also interface to achieve their organizational goals and outcomes and consequently have ongoing collaborative dialogue and evaluative processes to improve decision making and the governance structure.

To facilitate such cross-committee collaboration and campus wide governance evaluation, the College utilizes a Committee on Committees, co-chaired by the Chancellor and a faculty member. The mission of this committee is to identify governance needs, efficiencies and inefficiencies, overlap of subject matter jurisdiction, and to facilitate a regular process of review
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as well as the establishment of improved campus communication. The committee has overseen the implementation of campus governance surveys administered by all primary constituent and work groups, with survey results discussed within committees. As a result of the feedback received, the College has also employed a consultant to help further evaluate and give recommendations on the development of ongoing effectiveness and enhancing communication within the College’s organizational structure.

Ongoing Committee on Committees meetings help to ensure that the College regularly evaluates the effectiveness, function, and coordination of committees. Since the last accreditation visit, one of the principal efforts that originated from the Committee on Committees was the development of a survey template that could be adapted by the College’s committees to gather feedback and help evaluate meeting effectiveness. It was designed so that each committee could add relevant questions based on the work, focus, and structure of that committee. Annual surveys have helped to inform improvements to committee effectiveness, coordination, and communication, and a survey instrument through the Committee on Committees has been continually improved. For example, in 2019-20 additional questions were added to note committee connections to key Guided Pathways priorities, along with other questions intended to help committees determine if they were meeting their desired outcomes.

In 2019, the College requested a Partnership Resource Team (PRT) from the Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative (IEPI) to further assist in developing strategies to improve committee effectiveness. Based on the feedback from the PRT, as noted above, the College hired a consultant to assist with implementation of the PRT Action Plan. In spring 2021, the work of the consultant began, and findings were available to the College in fall 2021.

The College continues to improve processes and evaluate the effectiveness of the committees on campus through annual surveys and as noted working with a consultant to improve communication, coordination, and eliminate duplication of efforts. Through the combined efforts of both internal and external evaluation and improvement processes, committees are driven to perpetually realign their focus, mission, priorities, and interconnectedness to meet College and student needs. Specifically, these reflective processes assess the coordination of efforts across committees, the relationships to strategic planning, guided pathways, the California Community College Chancellor’s Office Vision for Success, Strong Workforce, accreditation, and other relevant considerations.

A key benefit of ongoing committee analysis and evaluation work is the ability to identify reoccurring topics and themes across the College. For example, a collective request emerged to have one calendaring system on campus to improve the visibility and ease of finding meetings, events, campus activities and general college information. Having one calendaring system removed barriers to efficiency in classroom and common campus area reservations and use. This
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calendar system now automatically populates committee meetings into one common committee calendar in an efficient and functional way.\footnote{Committee Calendar}
The College communicates this work and evaluation widely, including through Public Information Office reports, institutional and program review plans, research materials, college wide meetings, regular chancellor’s reports, academic division meetings, department team meetings, and committees. Regular updates are also made to the Decision Making Guide; policies are reviewed regularly per BP and AP 2410; and ongoing program planning and assessment is shared with the campus community and used for improvement.

The College documents the processes of evaluation of the Board, the CEO, the administration, faculty, and staff on an annual basis. The College also evaluates the effectiveness of committees, including improvement plans. Discussion of committee effectiveness is addressed regularly through meetings of the College’s Board and leadership teams, such as, the CPT, Academic and Classified Senates, and the ASG.

Program review is completed annually to show a regular cycle of evaluation of each academic and administrative department. Each program review lists the participants and contributors of those reviews, including faculty and relevant staff. Those participants collaborate on the development and final product of their reviews. Individuals unable to participate in the program review process are subsequently informed of the final review product. This typically happens at departmental meetings. There is also a separate process for faculty to evaluate academic department chairs through a negotiated assessment instrument.\footnote{COCFA Contract, Appendix G-6, Department Chair Evaluation} This allows for improvements and review to governance structures at the more granular level of the College system.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College follows organized processes to assess the effectiveness of decision making and governance with ongoing review supporting ongoing improvements. Program planning, committee work, and strategic plan progress are reviewed annually, and the Decision Making Guide is regularly reviewed to support ongoing improvements. Committee work is thoroughly reviewed according to the surveys and alignments noted, ensuring that activities and decisions are aligned with College goals. Annual retreats are commonly utilized to regularly orient current and new members of the campus community to the College’s processes and structure.

Conclusions on Standard IV.A. Decision Making Roles and Processes

College of the Canyons uses and evaluates a well-organized system of governance and decision making to support institutional effectiveness. The College demonstrates a consistent commitment to improvement and evaluation with policies and procedures enabling participation and contribution to institutional improvement and adjusted as needed based on evaluation, data, and dialogue. The College has effective planning and engagement mechanisms, coupled with ongoing review and assessment to support innovation, improvement, and most importantly student impact. College materials provide evidence of collaborative participation in support of college goals and student success, propelled by a culture of innovation and creative engagement. Campus wide communication of governance decisions and processes is conducted in a variety of ways, including regular website postings and e-mail distributions by committee chairs, Academic
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and Classified Senate leadership, Administration, and the Public Information Office. College leaders assess governance roles on an ongoing basis at the executive level of each constituent group and through comprehensive planning teams. The student learning, success, and educational goals are achieved in a collaborative and collegial manner through inclusive committee membership and relevant mutually agreed upon processes.

Improvement Plan(s)
None.
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B. Chief Executive Officer

1. The institutional chief executive officer (CEO) has primary responsibility for the quality of the institution. The CEO provides effective leadership in planning, organizing, selecting and developing personnel and assessing institutional effectiveness.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

Chancellor Dianne G. Van Hook serves as the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Santa Clarita Community College District (SCCCD). In accordance with Board Policy (BP) 2430,\(^\text{1281}\) the Board of Trustees has delegated to Dr. Van Hook the responsibility for administering the policies adopted by the Board and executing all Board decisions requiring administrative action. Dr. Van Hook acts as the professional advisor to the Board in policy formation (covered in more detail in AP 2410),\(^\text{1282}\) and is empowered to reasonably interpret board policy. Additionally, she performs the duties of the CEO job description, which include initiating plans and programs of analysis leading to the establishment of District wide goals and objectives to ensure quality instruction.\(^\text{1283}\) Dr. Van Hook recognizes that consistent communication is essential to achieving and maintaining high quality standards for an institution. She regularly shares her vision and goals for the College, along with recent achievements, through “All College Presentations,”\(^\text{1284, 1285, 1286}\) “Opening Day Speeches,”\(^\text{1287, 1288, 1289}\) and many other channels described in sections below.

Dr. Van Hook leads development and production of the College’s Educational and Facilities Master Plans,\(^\text{1290, 1291}\) demonstrating a commitment to institutional planning based on reliable data and research. She consistently follows BP 3250, which says, “The Chancellor shall ensure that the District has and implements a broad-based comprehensive, systematic and integrated system of planning that involves appropriate segments of the college community and is supported by institutional effectiveness research.”\(^\text{1292, 1293}\) According to AP 2510, “Staff shall be provided with opportunities to participate in the formulation and development of district and college policies and procedures, as well as in those processes for jointly developing recommendations for action, that have or will have a significant effect on staff.”\(^\text{1294}\) Dr. Van
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\(^{1281}\) Board Policy 2430: Delegation of Authority to the CEO of the District
\(^{1282}\) Administrative Procedure 2410: Policy and Administrative Procedures
\(^{1283}\) Chancellor Job Description (page 4)
\(^{1284}\) All College Presentation 2015
\(^{1285}\) All College Presentation 2016
\(^{1286}\) All College Presentation 2018
\(^{1287}\) DVH Opening Day Speech 2017
\(^{1288}\) DVH Opening Day Speech 2019
\(^{1289}\) DVH Opening Day Speech 2020
\(^{1290}\) 2012-2018 Educational and Facilities Master Plan
\(^{1291}\) 2016-2022 Educational and Facilities Master Plan
\(^{1292}\) Board Policy 3250: Institutional Planning
\(^{1293}\) Administrative Procedure 3250: Institutional Planning
\(^{1294}\) Administrative Procedure 2510: Participation in Local Decision Making
Hook co-chairs the College Planning Team (CPT), which advises and seeks input from representatives of all constituent groups on plans and initiatives that may impact the campus community. In addition, she appoints chairs of campus teams and committees. While keeping various District planning documents current, the key basis for planning is development of strategic plans. Before 2019, the plans had specific strategic goals focused on educational curriculum and program development, facility development, innovation, professional development, workforce training, and community partnerships. After 2019, the District refocused its strategic goals on Access, Engagement and Success, aligning with Vision for Success goals and the Pathways program “Canyons Completes.” The District revisits and updates its strategic plan every three years, following a thorough assessment of progress made toward the prior plans.

Overseen by Chancellor Van Hook, the College annually produces a Decision-Making Guide that underscores her commitment to shared and participatory governance processes. The Decision-Making Guide “serves to encourage and facilitate participation in those processes by all campus constituencies, as this guide identifies key campus bodies, their unique roles and responsibilities, as well as how they interact with each other.” Also, it “provides a foundation for decision making as defined and prescribed by state and federal laws and regulations; clarifies the responsibility and accountability for decisions by committees, bodies, and individuals; describes how individuals can participate and help shape decisions; and highlights best practices that facilitate clear and consistent communication between individuals, as well as groups.”

Per BP 6100, the Board grants Dr. Van Hook authority to supervise the general business procedures of the District, to assure the proper administration of property and contracts, the budget, audit and accounting of funds, and the acquisition of supplies, equipment, and property. Dr. Van Hook receives recommendations on development of the College’s budget from the President’s Advisory Council on Budget (PAC-B), as required under Board Policy 6200. Activities under PAC-B include but are not limited to development of the Budget Calendar; development of the Budget Parameters; Budget/Program Review; and recommendations on the budget for the CEO to take to the Board for final approval. Dr. Van Hook has demonstrated sound and strategic management of the College’s finances during her tenure since 1988 by following BP 6250, which states, “The budget shall be managed in accordance with Title 5 and the California Community Colleges Budget and Accounting Manual. Budget revisions shall be made only in accordance with these policies and as provided by law. The District’s unrestricted general reserves shall be no less than six percent.” While Dr. Van Hook has
designated an administrator to oversee the fiscal operations of the College, her steady leadership as CEO has been essential during emergence from the most recent recession to maintain its unrestricted general reserve level above 10 percent during FY 2015 through FY 2021. The District has excelled at fiscal management, having no audit findings or exceptions during this accreditation cycle, never having been on stability funding, not deficit spending, and maintaining a consistently increasing revenue base.

Under BP 6340, the Board grants the CEO authority to enter into contracts on the District’s behalf and to establish administrative procedures for contract awards and management. Under Dr. Van Hook’s leadership, the District's Contracts, Procurement, and Risk Management Services (CPRM) ensures that all procurement of goods and services is in accordance with procedures set by the Board, the California Public Contract Code, the California Education Code and other applicable laws. The CPRM department ensures that in any purchase transaction, requirements for competition are met, bidding and negotiation are conducted in accordance with applicable policies, and there is no compromise to the public trust.

The Board delegates authority to the CEO, under BP 7110, to authorize employment, modify and adjust job responsibilities, and perform other personnel actions, provided all federal and state laws and regulations, board policies and administrative procedures are followed. Actions taken are subject to Board confirmation. Chancellor Van Hook has direct involvement in hiring and selection of all personnel at the College. She reviews screening information, participates in final interviews, asks questions about process, and brings selected candidates to the Board for approval. Dr. Van Hook values diversity, equity and inclusion and provides leadership to create a culture that celebrates and welcomes everyone to the College. In 2017, Dr. Van Hook authorized and led in hiring a director of Diversity and Inclusion to ensure the College commits to equity and inclusion in its hiring practices, and is compliant with Board Policy 7100 that states, “The Board is committed to hiring and staff development processes that support the goals of equal opportunity and diversity, and processes that provide equal consideration for all qualified candidates.”

Chancellor Van hook launched the professional development department at the College in 1989, with a mission to improve and sustain the professional growth of district employees through collegially planned learning opportunities that support the College’s strategic goals. Because the Chancellor is passionately dedicated to staff development, the department has had a full-time director for nearly 21 years, programming more than 600 learning opportunities per year. With support from the Chancellor, three professional development committees, one each for faculty, administrators, and classified staff, assess training needs and plan professional development offerings. During the summer, along with the IT division, professional development
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1308 2019/20 Annual Budget Report (page 16)
1309 Board Policy 6340: Bids and Contracts
1310 Contracts, Procurement, and Risk Management Services
1311 Board Policy 7110: Delegation of Authority, Human Resources
1312 SCCCD Board of Trustees Meeting Agenda May 12 2021
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1314 Board Policy 7100: Commitment to Diversity
1315 COC Professional Development Program Plan 20/21
1316 JD Director of Professional Development
hosts a Summer Technology Institute which provides dozens of workshops to equip faculty, staff and administrators with skills in the use of the latest technology for serving the College’s students and enhancing the operational effectiveness of the institution.

In support of classified staff, the Chancellor also personally helped relaunch the Classified Senate twice in 14 years. She has supported development of numerous classified institutes and academies as well as the development and launch of the College’s mentorship program and Classified Professional Development week.

In 2008, the Professional Development Department launched its Leadership Education in Action Program (LEAP), inspired and developed by Dr. Van Hook to cultivate visionary administrative leaders and agents of institutional change, while providing big picture skills and knowledge. Since its inception, 243 College employees have participated in LEAP, with 40 percent administrators, 32 percent classified staff, 23 percent fulltime faculty, and 5 percent adjunct faculty. Additionally, the College invited leaders to participate from the YMCA, Single Mothers Outreach, SCV Boys and Girls Club, SCVi, the SCV Senior Center, Academy of the Canyons, West Los Angeles College, Los Angeles Mission College, The Child and Family Center and the Wm S. Hart H.S. District. These external participants brought unique perspectives and innovative ideas that contributed to rich discussions and solution team projects.

Committed to continuous improvement, Chancellor Van Hook created the Committee on Committees to improve communication, eliminate silos and duplication of effort, while encouraging collaboration and coordination between committees, campus departments, and organizational units. Agendas and minutes are located on the College’s intranet for all Committee on Committees meetings from 2016 through 2021.

Establishing a culture of evidence is a hallmark of Dr. Van Hook’s leadership. Each year, she highlights accomplishments and data in the College’s Annual Reports, Opening Day letters, Chancellor’s Reports, and Fact Book. She communicates weekly to the College’s internal stakeholders through the electronic Monday Report, which is

1317 Summer Technology Institute Flyer 2018
1318 Summer Technology Institute Flyer 2019
1319 Summer Technology Institute Flyer 2020
1320 Summer Technology Institute Flyer 2021
1321 Summer Technology Institute
1322 Summer Technology Institute Workshop from June 2021
1323 Summer Technology Institute Workshop from July 2021
1324 Summer Technology Institute Workshop from August 2021
1325 LEAP Decade in Review
1326 Committee on Committees Intranet Site
1327 COC Annual Report 2016
1328 COC Annual Report 2017
1329 COC Annual Report 2018
1330 COC Annual Report 2019
1331 COC Annual Report 2020
1332 Monday Report November 2018 Excerpt
1333 Monday Report January 2019 Excerpt
1334 Monday Report April 2019 Excerpt
1335 Monday Report September 2019 Excerpt
sent to the COC—all email address, so everyone is informed about programs, accomplishments and events taking place at the College. In addition, she provides regular updates at each Board meeting to showcase the College’s work in meeting students’ needs.\textsuperscript{1336, 1337, 1338, 1339, 1340, 1341} Dr. Van Hook prioritizes consistent communication with the College’s internal and external stakeholders, especially in times of transition and challenge. During the pandemic, she has been delivering a series of COVID Webinars that keep the College informed and encouraged.\textsuperscript{1342, 1343, 1344, 1345, 1346} She is available to students, faculty, staff and the public through Open Office Hours\textsuperscript{1347, 1348, 1349} and all-college meetings. She assists members of the College community in advancing their ideas and partnerships, and personally returns a voluminous number of emails and phone calls. She meets weekly with administrative team members who report directly to her, to stay informed on their work and discuss College leadership opportunities. Each month, she hosts meetings of the Management Advisory Council (MAC)\textsuperscript{1350, 1351, 1352, 1353, 1354, 1355} and Full Cabinet.\textsuperscript{1356, 1357, 1358} MAC consists of all administrators meeting to discuss new initiatives, listen, and receive updates from executive leadership. The Full Cabinet meetings provide Dr. Van Hook with input from a group of internal administrative leaders on their projects, ideas, and concerns.

Dr. Van Hook consistently communicates with external stakeholders through reports and updates. She encourages the College’s communications department to send out regular news releases containing articles and story ideas about the College. Each semester, Chancellor Van Hook publishes The Bottom Line,\textsuperscript{1359, 1360, 1361, 1362} which is mailed to a distribution list of community leaders and donors, and the Breaking News, which is mass mailed to most...
households in the service area. These publications inform the community about the college’s programs and celebrate achievements. In addition, Dr. Van Hook submits columns for publication in the Signal, an independent Santa Clarita Valley community newspaper and gives interviews to the local radio station KHTS, which broadcasts them on air and publishes them on its website.

The Chancellor has been very involved in community-based initiatives including all of the College’s General Obligation (G.O.) bond campaigns. She is integral to the College’s Foundation and fundraising campaigns, such as Canyons Promise, and its capital campaigns such as for the culinary arts building and University Center. She initiated the Patrons of the Arts Foundation Group that supports the College’s Performing Arts Center, and she launched and works closely with the Canyon Country Campus Advisory Group. Dr. Van Hook has also provided leadership in initiating an Education Alliance, which brings together the local superintendents for K-12 schools, and in developing a Business Alliance. She frequently speaks at meetings of local organizations, such as the Valley Industrial Association (VIA) where she has made State of the College addresses. In addition, she has received numerous awards from local organizations, using her platform to share the College’s work and promote its value to the community.

Under Dr. Van Hook’s leadership, the College has been a consistent and reliable contributor to workforce development in the region. Some recent contributions include the following:

- She initiated a partnership with Northrop Grumman to create a virtual reality training program for dislocated and incumbent workers in low-observable paint technology;
- She coordinated the use of JobSpeaker software to enable colleges in the region to effectively manage data regarding job opportunities for students;
- She implemented AWS Cloud curriculum to train students for the growing demand in cloud computing;
- She produced the Future of Work agenda for the College, identifying occupations and training needs for the post COVID-19 economy;
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- She supported a group of African-American entrepreneurs in creating a Black Business Directory to ensure Black entrepreneurs have equal access to funding and resources;\(^{1382}\)
- She led development of funds to create the Strong Workforce Apprenticeship Group (SWAG), which places apprentices in advanced manufacturing, allied health, cybersecurity, and logistics;\(^{1383, 1384}\) and
- She worked with the vice-president of Economic Development to develop High-Road Training Partnerships to address skills gaps and employment challenges for green jobs.\(^{1385}\)

Analysis and Evaluation
The College meets this standard. Chancellor Van Hook, CEO, enthusiastically demonstrates her commitment to leading the planning, organizing, budgeting, selecting and developing of personnel to ensure that the College delivers quality educational experiences to meet community needs. She ensures institutional effectiveness, using data and research to create a culture of evidence, and she communicates information regularly with internal and external stakeholders.

2. The CEO plans, oversees, and evaluates an administrative structure organized and staffed to reflect the institution’s purposes, size, and complexity. The CEO delegates authority to administrators and others consistent with their responsibilities, as appropriate.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard
Chancellor Van Hook is responsible for planning, oversight, and evaluation of the administrative structure of the District to ensure it is organized and staffed to reflect the District’s and College’s purposes, size, and complexity. Per BP and AP 2430, Delegation of Authority to the CEO,\(^{1386}\) the board grants the CEO full authority over District operations. The Chancellor regularly evaluates the administrative structure and facilitates changes in the College’s best interest. BP and AP 3100, Organizational Structure,\(^{1387}\) lays out the organizational chart\(^{1388}\) appropriate for the District’s goals and objectives. Dr. Van Hook provides unwavering direction to ensure the District is properly staffed to fulfill its mission and meet expectations to serve students effectively.\(^{1389, 1390, 1391, 1392, 1393, 1394}\) She ensures that staffing is determined by the College’s mission, the District’s size, the environment, community needs, state laws and policies, and most importantly, student needs.
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\(^{1382}\) Listing of SCV Black Owned Businesses.pdf
\(^{1383}\) Regional Deputy Sector Navigator Agreement.pdf
\(^{1384}\) SWAG MFG OA Signature Page.pdf
\(^{1385}\) Wedd-memo-swp-2.0 Guidance 8-04-2020.pdf
\(^{1386}\) Administrative Procedure 2430 – Delegation of Authority to CEO of the District
\(^{1387}\) Administrative Procedure 3100 – Organizational Structure
\(^{1388}\) Administrative Organization of the College August 2021
\(^{1389}\) BOT Meeting Agenda 5-11-16 (Item 4)
\(^{1390}\) BOT Meeting Agenda 6-28-17 (Item 2)
\(^{1391}\) BOT Meeting Agenda 8-15-18 (Item 4)
\(^{1392}\) BOT Meeting Agenda 7-10-19 (Item 4)
\(^{1393}\) BOT Meeting Agenda 3-11-20 (Item 2)
\(^{1394}\) BOT Meeting Agenda 3-10-21 (Item 4)
As chancellor, Dr. Van Hook directly oversees the deputy chancellor & chief diversity, equity and inclusion officer (DEI), seven assistant superintendent/vice presidents, two vice presidents, and the College foundation’s executive director, each in charge of a specific administrative area. She delegates appropriate authority to each; for example, she delegates matters on the District’s budget and finances to the assistant superintendent/vice president of business services in accordance with BP 6100, and in accordance with BP 7100, she oversees and supports the assistant superintendent/vice president of human resources to ensure the District recruits, hires, trains, and develops employees with the skills, knowledge and ability to fulfill the College’s mission. By supporting hiring of the chief DEI officer within her executive-level administrative team, she demonstrates deep commitment to diversity, equity and inclusion. She is personally involved in orienting hiring committees, emphasizing the importance of employees who reflect the diversity of the students and community. Under the chancellor’s leadership, the cohesive executive cabinet team carries out comprehensive and integrated planning so that departments and divisions can most effectively operate, assess, and allocate resources, while being innovative and entrepreneurial. The chancellor has established evaluation processes with opportunities for administrators to communicate their accomplishments, priorities and future goals. She meets with executive cabinet members weekly to discuss progress on initiatives, to assign projects as needed, and ensure follow through.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard. The CEO plans, organizes and oversees the administrative structure, delegates and evaluates each administrator’s responsibilities as defined in job
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descriptions and the organizational chart to carry out duties that ensure smooth operation of the District in serving the needs of this complex organization, its students and its diverse community. She provides leadership, supervision and mentoring to the administrative team, and evaluates administrators annually. She also reevaluates the organizational structure and adjusts it when needed to maximize functioning, effectiveness, and currency in responding to the needs of the community, the mandates of the state, and opportunities to explore.

3. Through established policies and procedures, the CEO guides institutional improvement of the teaching and learning environment by:

- establishing a collegial process that sets values, goals, and priorities;
- ensuring the college sets institutional performance standards for student achievement;
- ensuring that evaluation and planning rely on high quality research and analysis of external and internal conditions;
- ensuring that educational planning is integrated with resource planning and allocation to support student achievement and learning;
- ensuring that the allocation of resources supports and improves learning and achievement; and
- establishing procedures to evaluate overall institutional planning and implementation efforts to achieve the mission of the institution.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

Thirty-four years ago, CEO Dr. Van Hook established a Comprehensive Planning Task Force (CPTF), now named the College Planning Team (CPT), in the absence of any existing planning structure in the district. Its focus is to ensure a comprehensive and inclusive process for setting values, goals, and priorities and for allocating resources.\textsuperscript{1421, 1422} CPT is co-chaired by the CEO and a faculty representative and is comprised of 57 members\textsuperscript{1423} from the Associated Student Government (ASG), full-time and part-time faculty, academic deans, classified staff, and administrators. CPT provides leadership in planning to ensure that departmental planning processes align with the District’s goals to support student access, engagement, success, and learning outcomes.\textsuperscript{1424} Per clearly articulated expectations and processes, CPT annually conducts a review of institutional priorities, their implementation and outcomes.\textsuperscript{1425}

A robust institutional committee structure exists at the College to support the District’s value, goal, and priority setting (and auditing) mechanisms. Additionally, supporting structures exist to better inform, or gather and disaggregate information regarding shifts in established values, goals and priorities. The College has more than fifty committees that provide input and information to several overarching committees that evaluate and generate goals, values and priorities. These overarching committees include the Educational and Facilities Master Plan committee,

\textsuperscript{1421} Decision Making at College of the Canyons 2021, “Decision Making” Pages 38-39
\textsuperscript{1422} Educational and Facilities Master Plan Pages 21-22, Annual and Three-Year Cycles (Values & Priorities)
\textsuperscript{1423} CPT Members List
\textsuperscript{1424} Decision Making at College of the Canyons 2021, Pages 66-67, College Planning Team
\textsuperscript{1425} Chancellor’s Office Presentations
President’s Advisory Committee on Budget (PAC-B), Program Review Committee, Institutional Effectiveness and Inclusive Excellence, College Policy Council (CPC), Committee on Committees, and Technology Committee.\(^\text{1426}\) These primary committees inform the College Planning Team (CPT), which organizes, evaluates and presents recommendations to stakeholders including classified, faculty, administration, and student groups.

With a focus on “Canyons Completes,” a function of CPT, the District reviews and sets institutional performance standards for student achievement.\(^\text{1427}\) Canyons Completes is the locally rebranded California Community Colleges Guided Pathways framework, which seeks to create focused planning opportunities, informed enrollment decisions and provide supportive programs to assist students in their overall success and completion. Additionally, strategic goals are identified and defined to set specific goals for access, engagement and success.\(^\text{1428}\) Dr. Van Hook delegates the Institutional Effectiveness and Inclusive Excellence (IE\(^2\)) committee to set goals for various metrics in light of the Student Equity and Achievement Plan as well as the Vision for Success, Local Goal Plans for the 2021-22 year.\(^\text{1429}\) Her leadership approach is student-centered and is always focused on student success and completion.\(^\text{1430}\) As a result, she leads the District to continuously assess institutional practices and structures to identify and remove barriers that affect students’ access, engagement and success. The performance indicators section of the Educational and Facilities Master Plan demonstrates that the standards and performance relative to the standards are closely monitored.\(^\text{1431}\)

Under Dr. Van Hook’s direction, the Institutional Research, Planning and Institutional Effectiveness office produces research reports, survey results and data visualizations to guide the campus’s evaluation and planning efforts. She ensures high quality evaluation and research by overseeing reports and action implications with members of Executive Cabinet. During the pandemic, she has directed District-wide surveys\(^\text{1432}\) be conducted to assess impact on external and internal conditions that affect the teaching and learning environment. These data are integral to decision making. Moreover, she enabled full access to research findings, the Fact Book, and Fast Facts documents\(^\text{1433}\) for the campus and the Board, improving evidence-based planning. All research reports are distributed to Executive Cabinet and the Board. Additionally, with Dr. Van Hook’s support, the IRPIE office conducted campus-wide webinars to share student and staff COVID19 survey results\(^\text{1434}\) and to develop open access data dashboards, which include success and retention rates, program awards, program majors and more.\(^\text{1435}\) Furthermore, under her guidance, implementation efforts and research around AB705 led to the District winning the national Bellwether College Consortium Award in 2021.\(^\text{1436}\) She also instituted the College’s Data Coaches program, in which faculty and staff are trained on where and how to access and

\(^{1426}\) Committees List  
\(^{1427}\) Canyons Completes  
\(^{1428}\) Strategic Plan, Our Strategic Goals: Access, Engagement & Success Pages 16-18  
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\(^{1430}\) CEO’s Commitment  
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\(^{1432}\) COVID-19 Student Experience Spring 2020 Survey Instrument  
\(^{1433}\) Research Reports and Briefs (508 compliant)  
\(^{1434}\) COVID-19 Student Survey Results Webinar Spring 2020  
\(^{1435}\) Data Visualizations  
\(^{1436}\) Bellwether College Consortium Award
use data for making data-driven decisions and plans. External factors such as economic data, labor market data, and population data are assessed regularly for enrollment, program, and service projections.\textsuperscript{1437, 1438}

CPT agenda reports include progress toward planning statements. Additionally, Dr. Van Hook regularly holds all-college meetings to share budget updates and Student Centered Funding Formula workshop information to engage the campus in dialog about statewide funding plans and the implications for District departments, programs and services.\textsuperscript{1439} She provides updates on resources and allocations to guide planning by specialized taskforces.\textsuperscript{1440} For example, she provides periodic updates to the College’s Dreamers’ Taskforce, on the state’s plans for resource allocation in respect to plans for establishing a Dreamers’ center on campus.\textsuperscript{1441}

To ensure that the allocation of resources supports and improves student learning and achievement, the Chancellor works with the IRPIE Office on an annual institution-wide planning process. All academic and non-instructional departments submit annual program reviews,\textsuperscript{1442} which lead to a comprehensive program review every three years, using an in-house developed web application to support collection of information and to track budget requests.\textsuperscript{1443} Described briefly here and fully outlined in the Decision-Making Guide, this process is discussed in nearly every standard because it is fundamental to the District’s planning, evaluation and budget development. Program Reviews are each department’s plan to align departmental goals with the District’s strategic goals, including student success, access and engagement. Program reviews specifically include requests for personnel, equipment, technology, facilities, professional development and funding. They provide department self-studies on improving learning and achievement.\textsuperscript{1444} The CPT and other relevant committees such as PAC-B review departmental program reviews, enrollment management strategies, and resource allocations for the District.\textsuperscript{1445} It is important to underscore the interconnectedness of the program review process, which includes action plans, alignment with strategic priorities, establishment of goals, requests for resources, and development of the District budget. All departmental requests for budgetary increases and changes are reviewed and approved by levels of management up to the supervising executive cabinet members to ensure they align with District goals. All recommendations are later reviewed by PAC-B. To encourage new ideas, the CEO initiated a Pathways to Innovation program that funds and allocates resources to projects and programs that support and improve student learning.\textsuperscript{1446} As a taskforce subcommittee to the Technology Committee, Innovation Dialogues are held to review proposals and ideas and determine allocation of funding.\textsuperscript{1447}

The Chancellor’s long-time work in advocacy has helped to promote fair allocation of resources to California community college districts. Dr. Van Hook has been a vocal advocate for revisions
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to the 50 percent law, has opposed the Student Centered Funding Formula because it has a negative impact on some of the system colleges, and supported faculty to develop significant changes in math and English that led to AB705, which has resulted in significant changes and removal of barriers throughout the state. As a member of the state legislature’s Student Centered Funding Formula Oversight Committee and the CCLC CEO taskforce, she successfully advocated for the committee to recommend additional funding be provided for training offered to public safety agencies through Instructional Service Agreements.\footnote{1448}

Dr. Van Hook has overseen the College’s primary focus on access, engagement and success, through integrated planning, driven by the District’s mission, and vision.\footnote{1449} Strategic Plan goals\footnote{1450} are closely connected with Enrollment Management goals, connected with the Student Equity & Achievement Plan, the Equal Employment Opportunity Plan,\footnote{1451} and Local Goal Setting efforts, which align with the Vision for success goals.\footnote{1452} As part of the process for assessing efforts, departmental program reviews provide plans to align with the District’s priorities. In addition to establishing the role of the CPT and regular cycles of assessment through program review, Dr. Van Hook holds regular steering committee meetings for oversight and to track progress of efforts on the Canyons Completes—Guided Pathways framework efforts.\footnote{1453} Program objectives and accomplishments are presented annually in CPT meetings,\footnote{1454} and she provides updates during all-college meetings\footnote{1455} and in Institutional Effectiveness and Inclusive Excellence Committee meetings. During updates on accomplishments, she highlights workforce skills programs, and diversity equity and inclusion efforts to identify next steps in ensuring efforts are aligned with the district mission.\footnote{1456}

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The District meets this standard, as reflected in the evidence presented. The District has put in place various processes and policies\footnote{1457} under the CEO’s leadership, guidance and direction for assessments, reflection and planning that yields regular improvements of the teaching and learning environment.\footnote{1458} Dr. Van Hook’s effective leadership in planning, organizing, and budgeting, in addition to the confidence she instills in all employees to dream it and do it, motivates the institution to continually improve its teaching and learning environment, meet its goals, and make progress toward state established targets and mandates.

4. The CEO has the primary leadership role for accreditation, ensuring that the institution meets or exceeds Eligibility Requirements, Accreditation Standards, and Commission policies at all times. Faculty, staff, and administrative leaders of the institution also have responsibility for assuring compliance with accreditation requirements.

---
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Evidence of Meeting the Standard

Chancellor Van Hook is the primary leader for accreditation and is responsible for ensuring the district complies with the accreditation process and standards, doing so in a timely, inclusive, and collaborative manner. She appoints an accreditation liaison officer (ALO) and engages the college community and the public in the evaluation process.

Dr. Van Hook engages faculty, staff, student and administrative leaders in the accreditation process. As co-chair of CPT, she ensures accreditation is regularly discussed. Both CPT and Executive Cabinet review updates to planning impacts. The midterm report is widely reviewed and submitted in a timely manner. She ensures that meetings of the College’s Accreditation Committee include planning and reporting, as well as dialogue among all groups. In preparation for the development of the self-evaluation report, Dr. Van Hook invited an ACCJC official to provide a presentation to Executive Cabinet on how accreditation standards have changed since the last cycle and to the Board of Trustees on its roles and responsibilities. She also communicates directly with the Board on accreditation.

The Chancellor appointed the Chief Instructional Officer to serve as ALO and to regularly engage the College community in the process. The ALO conducted accreditation training workshops for CPT and the Accreditation Team and gave an introductory accreditation presentation to department chairs and deans. The ALO collaborated with Dr. Van Hook to deliver a presentation with emphasis on SLOs to the SLO Committee and created a collegial process for developing a proposed final draft of the ISER. The Chancellor took a direct leadership role in ensuring the College’s Accreditation Writing Teams had representative membership and reflected a broad cross section of managers, faculty, staff, and students.

Analysis and Evaluation

Dr. Van Hook has consistently led the accreditation process, ensuring the College meets or exceeds eligibility requirements, standards, and commission policies. The ALO, faculty, staff, and administrative leaders have also worked to assure compliance with accreditation requirements. Based on an analysis of the evidence, the College meets this standard.

5. The CEO assures the implementation of statutes, regulations, and governing board policies and assures that institutional practices are consistent with institutional mission and policies, including effective control of budget and expenditures.
Evidence of Meeting the Standard

Through Board Policy 2430, the Board delegates to the CEO responsibility for administering the policies adopted by the Board and executing all Board decisions requiring administrative action.” Board policy, statutes, and regulations, inform decisions and communication throughout the District. They are referenced in job descriptions, discussed during New Employee Orientations, evaluated during staff performance reviews, and addressed in numerous District committees and community events. Dr. Van Hook uses many channels to alert the campus community and actively inform and highlight modifications to regulations on a daily basis, through an alert system at the top of all campus-websites. She is actively involved in writing and approving job descriptions, outlining expectations and overarching qualities for District employment. She ensures timely communication of District statutes, regulations and Board policies to staff, students, faculty, and administrators.

The alignments between institutional practices, the mission, strategic goals and Board policies are maintained through several mediums, including but not limited to CPT, Town Hall presentations, the Advocacy Team, the College Policy Council (CPC), the Board of Trustees, Executive Cabinet, Full Cabinet, MAC, Chancellor’s Task Force on Workforce Development, the Educational and Facilities Master Planning Committee, PAC-B, all-college e-mails, and chancellor’s open office hours. The CEO participates actively in all Board meetings to communicate District updates and needs with supportive information based on data trends. Similarly, she leads all college planning committees and ensures that planning and decisions are based on externally and internally communicated needs in service of students. She dedicates time to gather and offer information through open office hours. Dr. Van Hook never misses an opportunity to personally deliver the opening day address to all college employees, conveying current community needs and education trends, and how they fit with the District’s mission. She sets the tone for all shared governance bodies to always have the District’s mission and service to students at the forefront of every decision. In her role leading the Committee on Committees, she asks committees to report what they are doing in service to students. Communication is transparent, as outlined in the Decision-Making Guide, available for all employees to reference.

Dr. Van Hook maintained a fiscally sound institution during the economic downturn of 2008-2014 and through the 2020 global pandemic, as well as during more economically prosperous years. Her knowledge of finance at the local operational and system levels, advocacy for funding formula changes at the state level, and overall District budget leadership, has enabled the District to maximize revenues, avoid deficit spending, minimize risk, and remain in a consistent cycle of growth. Policies approved by the Board outline the CEO’s role for all college matters. Board meetings are where she communicates recent innovations at the College through a standing agenda item named the Chancellor’s report. She consistently ensures that budget
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Institutional plans are clearly linked and integrated from the District level through the departmental level to short- and long-range budget projections, as well as linked to and driven by departmental program reviews. She provides the leadership to develop partnerships that increase the District’s FTES while serving community needs. Her leadership and acute financial skills guided the District through the global pandemic and have been instrumental in avoiding layoffs, cut-backs, furloughs, salary reductions, or elimination of programs and services, while increasing partnerships, online learning, training initiatives, external revenues, opening the Canyon Country Science Center and adding dozens of new degrees, certificates, and partnerships. Information on the budget is presented to the entire District via email, All-College Staff meetings, and monthly Board items. It is also reviewed in District operational teams like Executive Cabinet, Full Cabinet, MAC, PAC-B and the CPT on a regular basis to maintain transparency and communication regarding the District’s fiscal status. Institutional planning teams are updated regularly about funding sources, expenditures, and budget revisions. Funding priorities are driven by the District’s program review process. The institution has sufficient cash flow revenues to maintain stability, strategies for appropriate risk management, and realistic plans to meet financial emergencies and unforeseen circumstances. The CEO participates at the state and local level to advocate for the specific needs of the communities that the District serves.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard. The CEO constantly communicates the connections between statutes, institutional practices, and the District’s mission while leading the District to adhere to all statutes, regulations, and Board policies, while effectively providing leadership and accountability to budget implementation for the District.

6. The CEO works and communicates effectively with the communities served by the institution.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

Chancellor Van Hook says open, effective communication is vital to the success and reputation of an educational institution. She has been intentional and strategic in keeping the College and the community apprised of developments and priorities at the College, while inviting ideas for innovation, community partnerships, and development of the regional workforce. During each Board meeting, Dr. Van Hook provides a review of the latest developments at the District through her Chancellor’s Report. These are posted on the District’s website for access by students, staff and the community. They focus on the College’s achievements in
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student learning, economic development, and key initiatives. The reports recognize innovative programs developed by District employees to enhance the educational experience. An avid photographer, Dr. Van Hook enhances her reports with photographic images, knowing that visuals are effective communication tools. Some more illustrative examples of her communication channels and projects are described below.

During the height of the pandemic, Dr. Van Hook provided a series of webinar presentations on the status of the College. Each webinar included a Chancellor’s update and reports from the major College divisions (Instruction, Student Services, Learning Support, Facilities, Information Technology, Economic Development, Human Resources, Business Services, etc.). The hour-long webinars, at noon on Thursdays provided a valuable connection between the leadership of the College and the employees and kept the public informed on how the College was adjusting its operations to address challenges created by the pandemic.

During the Measure E campaign in early 2016, Dr. Van Hook had informational mailers sent out to the community to address frequently asked questions. In addition, she presented a detailed explanation of the purpose of the measure. Since passing, the measure’s financial reports and audits are posted on the District’s website, available for public viewing.

Collegiality is a hallmark of the Chancellor’s leadership. She encourages faculty, staff, students and administrators to participate in discussions and planning on the College’s mission and direction. Each month of the academic year, she co-chairs the CPT meeting, which is a planning group and forum for discussing the status of District initiatives, such as the Strong Workforce Program. The CPT summary notes cover items discussed at the meetings, along with any actions that may have been taken. These notes are posted on the District intranet website.

The College’s strategic plan exhibits the combined efforts of faculty, staff, students and administrators in developing a vision for how the College will meet the educational needs of its community. Through this document, Dr. Van Hook communicates the College’s priorities, along with key initiatives such as the Student Centered Funding Formula, Vision for Success,
and Guided Pathways. Sharing these and other initiatives provides the community with an understanding of how the College continues to deliver high-quality education and services.

Chancellor Van Hook serves on the Foundation Board, its Executive Committee, and the Chancellor’s Circle Committee, as well as other Foundation committees. These groups are made up of community leaders, opinion leaders, businesspeople and supportive donors. She has led capital campaigns for the University Center, Institute for Culinary Education, Athletics Expansion, and College Promise Initiatives, among others, communicating effectively for support from the community. She meets with Foundation Board members, and members who chair committees, communicating her appreciation while helping to remove barriers. She provides an update to the Foundation Board at each meeting, inviting public comments.  

Prior to each semester, the College hosts Flex Week, when faculty come together for professional development and to prepare for the academic term. Staff are invited to attend many of the sessions. At this time each semester, Dr. Van Hook provides Flex Presentations to update everyone present on important initiatives, issues and the state budget. At the start of the academic year, as a part of Opening Day, the Chancellor’s Opening Day Presentation is one of the main events for all employees. She uses this gathering to show appreciation to employees, honor those who have reached milestones at the College, and to sponsor a slide show or video of memorable events from the previous year. The Opening Day event is usually filled to capacity, demonstrating the Chancellor’s ability to inspire and inform the College community.

Communicating internally, the Chancellor also regularly holds open office hours (open to the public as well) and individual appointments, hosts retiree celebrations and speaks at department and division meetings as well as student celebration events at the request of faculty advisors.

Monthly during the fall and spring semesters, all of the Executive Cabinet team, led by Dr. Van Hook, meet with the ASG to solicit input and assess student needs and interests. This has led to collaboration between the district and students on a variety of projects. Students say they appreciate having this open forum to be heard. The forum was especially helpful during the pandemic to assess the needs of students during remote learning.

Each semester since 1989, the Chancellor has published “The Bottom Line” which details the accomplishments of the College in student learning, economic development, and other important matters including facilities management. This newsletter is targeted to
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community leaders, donors and businesspeople, along with the College’s Annual Report, which highlights the College’s achievements and the Chancellor’s vision going forward. The Breaking News, a broadsheet, is mass distributed to every home and business in the Santa Clarita Valley approximately three times per year. The Foundation Annual Report is another vehicle for communication, produced and distributed to donors. Additionally, the Chancellor meets with the Canyon Country Campus Advisory Committee five times per year. She was also instrumental in the development of the SCV Economic Development Corporation and has served on its Executive Committee since its inception, designed to integrate education with economic growth, job retention, and workforce development.

In 1989, Dr. Van Hook initiated a Monday Memo, later renamed the Morning Report to inform the campus of events and other developments at the College. While originally printed on campus and slotted in everyone’s campus mailbox, the report is now published in an electronic format and sent to the campus community via email. Dr. Van Hook reminds all employees that it is important for them to stay well informed because they are important members of the communications team and serve as ambassadors to the public served by the College.

Because community recognition of Dr. Van Hook’s accomplishments helps her communicate the College’s mission and goals to the public, she has participated in many local organizations and has used events that honor her as opportunities to communicate more about the College. There are many examples of this, and just a few are noted here. In 2017, she was named by the local newspaper, the Signal, as the community’s Most Influential Person, after being on its top 51 list for decades. In March 2018, she was awarded the “Vision in Leadership Award” from iLead Schools for her work in higher education. She is also a member of the Santa Clarita Valley Chamber of Commerce, which in January 2019 awarded her the Lifetime Achievement Award for her service to the community in the area of economic development.

In 2009, Dr. Van Hook and several local business leaders came together to form the Santa Clarita Valley Economic Development Corporation (SCVEDC) to attract and retain businesses in the community. At that time, she helped launch the Economic Development Newsletter, a monthly publication that reaches hundreds of employers and sends welcome letters to new companies in Santa Clarita. Dr. Van Hook serves as Secretary on the executive Board of the SCVEDC. In this role, she informs the business community about the latest developments at the College and communicates about educational resources that are available for their companies. In addition, she led a number of important initiatives to help the College remain connected to businesses during the COVID pandemic, including Distribution of PPE to health care facilities and small businesses, and implementation of a Customer Relational Management system to streamline
communication with local companies.\textsuperscript{1528, 1529, 1530, 1531, 1532, 1533, 1534, 1535, 1536, 1537, 1538, 1539, 1540, 1541, 1542}

\textbf{Analysis and Evaluation}

The College meets this standard. Through her reports to the Board, presentations to the College, work with local businesses and organizations, awards for community service, community publications, media columns and interviews, and use of digital media, Dr. Van Hook shows she works and communicates effectively with the communities served by the College.

\textbf{Conclusions on Standard IV.B: Chief Executive Officer}

The District meets all aspects of the standard and is fortunate to have the longest serving CEO in the state. Dr. Van Hook has received nearly every award given to community college CEOs.\textsuperscript{1543} She continues to excel, innovate, and set meaningful goals for herself and the district\textsuperscript{1544} to the benefit of students and the community. Her leadership, entrepreneurial skills and commitment to innovation have led to the successful implementation of plans that have resulted in various successes for the College, including:

- Continued construction of the College’s two campuses while partnering with L.A. County Fire Department via a joint use agreement on the state-of-the-art Del Valle Regional Training Center, new CCC Science/Lecture building, new CCC Student Services/Learning Resource Center building, and construction of a parking structure on the Valencia campus, where the College now has 4,500 parking spots;
- Continued growth of degree programs, currently at 96;
- Numerous community partnerships, initiatives and shared-use facilities that either offset costs and/or generate new revenue for the District;
- More than $100 million in grant revenue since 2014;
- More than $1.9 million in Scholarship Endowments;
- Passage of three bond measures and development of six Facilities Master Plans since 1988, leveraging bond measure funding that has resulted in $661,214,308 to build, expand, and modernize the campuses;
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- Leadership resulting in the College’s reputation as a fiscally stable, entrepreneurial, developing institution, that is a major player in the economic development of the Santa Clarita Valley community;
- An award-winning professional development Leadership Education in Action Program to create effective, visionary leaders and stimulate innovative ideas, projects and initiatives;
- An early college high school and award-winning middle college high school on campus;
- A comprehensive economic development program that has trained 65,924 local employees, served 14,281 businesses, counseled 2,376 entrepreneurs, awarded 67 apprenticeships, and generated more than $46 million in capital for local businesses since 1994;
- Marketing and advertising strategies to enhance diversity in hiring;
- Launch of a Multicultural Center;
- Creation of a comprehensive Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan, and more.

The Board has delegated her full authority to oversee day-to-day college operations; enact policies and procedures passed by the Board; direct college planning, organizing, budgeting and hiring; and evaluate the College’s effectiveness. District policies and procedures and the CEO job description state clear expectations for her role in defining the organizational structure, ensuring institutional improvement of teaching and learning, and overseeing the accreditation process. She entrusts her team and delegates appropriate duties to administrators, faculty, and staff so this large, complex District operates efficiently and effectively. While day-to-day management of instruction, services, finances, human resources, facilities and other essential operations are delegated to appropriate highly skilled administrators, the CEO is responsible for final decision-making. Board minutes, Strategic Plans and Accomplishments, the Decision Making Guide, College Planning Team notes and committee minutes clearly document the CEO’s fulfillment of her job duties. Additionally, Dr. Van Hook is a master communicator, ensuring timely dialog with employees, staff, the Board, and the local community.

Improvement Plan(s)

None.
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C. Governing Board

1. The institution has a governing board that has authority over and responsibility for policies to assure the academic quality, integrity, and effectiveness of the student learning programs and services and the financial stability of the institution. (ER 7)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The governing board derives authority from the State of California Education Code and is subject to the provisions of the Constitution of the State of California, the Rules and Regulations of the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges (Title 5, California Administrative Code), and its own policies and procedures. Policies adopted by the Board of Trustees are consistent with the provisions of law, but do not encompass all laws relating to the District’s activities. The Board sets and regularly reviews such policies as are necessary to govern the District as outlined in Board Policy 2200.1545 The current Board Policies (BP) and Administrative Procedures (AP) are posted on the College of the Canyons website1546 and are available for review in the Chancellor’s Office during normal business hours.

The Chancellor has responsibility for carrying out the policies approved by the Board through administrative procedures. Students, employees, and the Board are expected to adhere to the policies established by the Board and to abide by the administrative procedures and regulations established by the Chancellor and the administrative team.

Board members are viewed overall as professional, committed, and dedicated to the College and its service to students. The Board has a good reputation on campus and enjoys rapport with members of the College community.

As a unit, the Board is committed to fulfilling responsibilities outlined in BP 2200,1547 2410,1548 2710,1549 and 27151550 to represent the public interest; to establish policies that define the institutional mission; to set prudent, ethical and legal standards for College operations; to hire the Chancellor and delegate power and authority to the Chancellor to effectively lead the District; to assure the District’s fiscal health and stability; to monitor institutional performance and educational quality; and to advocate and protect the District, working to avoid micromanagement by the Board. The Board has written policies 2431,1551 2432,1552 2435,1553 and accompanying procedures for hiring and evaluating the CEO. It regularly conducts CEO evaluations. Since the current CEO has served since 1988, it has not had to exercise the process of hiring a chief administrator.

1545 Board Policy 2200: Board Duties and Responsibilities
1546 Board Policies Website
1547 Board Policy 2200: Board Duties and Responsibilities
1548 Board Policy 2410: Policy & Administrative Procedures
1549 Board Policy 2710: Conflict of Interest
1550 Board Policy 2715: Code of Ethics/Standards of Practice
1551 Board Policy 2431: Chief Executive Officer of the District Selection
1552 Board Policy 2432: Chief Executive Officer of the District Succession
1553 Board Policy 2435: Evaluation of the Chief Officer Executive Officer of the District
Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard. The Board strives to support the College and Chancellor in the District’s quest to achieve its vision, fulfill its mission, expand its reach, identify partners, and explore possibilities. It has policies to assure the academic quality, integrity, and effectiveness of the student learning programs and services and the financial stability of the institution. Board members have varying levels of experience and familiarity with the functions and possibilities of community colleges. They commit to and are visible in the local community, working energetically to support the College.

2. The governing board acts as a collective entity. Once the board reaches a decision, all board members act in support of the decision.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The Board reflects the public interest and acts as a whole, setting standards for effective communication among its members and addressing concerns publicly. If standards are not adhered to, the Board fulfills its responsibility to communicate with its members who are not adhering to those standards. As advocates for the District, each Board member must abide by the Code of Ethics adopted by the Board, contained in BP 2715. The Board holds itself and each member accountable for adhering to its standards and to individual member’s roles as “policy” Board members. As true public servants, Board members are involved in the community. By actively representing the College at local civic, social, and philanthropic events and advocacy efforts, the Board helps facilitate effective communication between the College and the community.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard. Board members are elected representatives of the public, who govern and represent the District together. All trustees act in support of Board decisions.

3. The governing board adheres to a clearly defined policy for selecting and evaluating the CEO of the college and/or the district/system.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

BP 2431 describes the selection process for the CEO. The Board has evaluated the Chancellor annually, as described in BP 2435, which details the process of evaluating the CEO in a way that complies with any requirements set forth in the contract of employment, a process that is jointly developed by and agreed upon by the CEO and the Board. The current Chancellor has served since 1988. The Board has not been involved in initiating and using BP 2431 to select a CEO. The CEO assumed her duties July 1, 1988, after the selection process outlined in BP 2431.

Per its written policies, the Board’s focus is at the policy level. It delegates full responsibility and authority to the Chancellor to implement and administer Board policies on a day-to-day
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operational basis and run the District without interference from the Board as a whole, or from individual Board members, per BP 2430.1558

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard. Per its policies, the Board delegates full responsibility and authority to the Chancellor to implement and administer its policies and procedures. The Board adheres to its policies for regularly evaluating the CEO.

4. The governing board is an independent, policy-making body that reflects the public interest in the institution’s educational quality. It advocates for and defends the institution and protects it from undue influence or political pressure.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

In accordance with the duties and responsibilities delineated in BP 2200,1559 the Board reflects the public interest of the District’s voters and acts as a whole. It sets and adheres to standards for effective communication among its members and addresses concerns with each other directly and publicly during its evaluation meetings.1560

The District changed its election system in 2015 after its election practices were challenged in a lawsuit brought by two community members under the California Voting Rights Act. Per the terms of the stipulated judgment, the District replaced the numbered-seat trustee election system with election using by-trustee areas. The District also moved its elections from odd-numbered years to even-numbered years.1561 In establishing the trustee areas, the Board set criteria1562 for drawing proposed boundary areas and hired a demographic consultant to develop maps of proposed boundaries for the areas. The Board held public hearings1563, 1564 for the public to view and comment on the proposed boundaries, and the feedback was used to adjust the maps to keep neighborhoods intact. The Board approved a final map,1565 which was submitted to the State Chancellor’s Office for approval by the Board of Governors (BOG) of the California community colleges. With BOG approval, the new areas were established for the 2016 election.

The Board handles business publicly, as described in BP 23101566 and in accordance with the Brown Act. Public participation at Board meetings is governed by BP 2345.1567 Each Board agenda includes instructions for submitting public comment, an explanation of how public comment will be heard by the Board, and a reminder that Board members are prohibited by the Brown Act from responding to comments made regarding topics not on the agenda.1568 Board members are required to abide by the Code of Ethics adopted by the Board, contained in BP

1558 Board Policy 2430: Delegation of Authority to the CEO
1559 Board Policy 2200: Board Duties and Responsibilities
1560 Board of Trustees Meeting Minutes, Self-Evaluation Workshop, April 28, 2021
1561 Stipulated Judgment, Case No. BCS12736
1562 Resolution No. 2015/2016-08
1563 Board of Trustees Public Hearing Minutes, October 21, 2015
1564 Board of Trustees Public Hearing Minutes, November 4, 2015
1565 Adopted – 2015 SCCC Redistricting Maps
1566 Board Policy 2310: Regular Meetings of the Board
1567 Board Policy 2345: Public Participation at Board Meetings
1568 Board Meetings – Public Comment Instructions/Public Notice, March 10, 2021 Sample
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2715. The Board overall holds itself and each member accountable for adherence to its standards and to individual member’s roles as “policy” Board members.

As true public servants, each Board member is involved in the community. By actively representing the College at local civic, social, and philanthropic events and in advocacy efforts, the Board helps facilitate effective communication between the College and the community.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard. The governing board is an independent body that handles business publicly and makes policy that reflects and supports the public interest in the District’s educational quality. It protects the District from undue influence or political pressure. Board members are not owners of the institution.

5. The governing board establishes policies consistent with the college/district/system mission to ensure the quality, integrity, and improvement of student learning programs and services and the resources necessary to support them. The governing board has ultimate responsibility for educational quality, legal matters, and financial integrity and stability.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The Board establishes policies consistent with the College’s mission to ensure all students have access to high-quality education with quality support services. The Board oversees educational quality, legal matters, financial integrity and the College’s stability. Board policies and administrative procedures support this vital responsibility, as evidenced in the Board’s agendas and minutes. These include reports from the Academic Senate, Classified Senate, administration, employee groups, and the Associated Student Government (ASG). The importance of adhering to policy is repeated throughout the College, referenced in job descriptions, discussed during new employee orientations, evaluated during annual staff performance reviews, and addressed repeatedly in a variety of meetings from the department level through College wide staff meetings and Board meetings.

The Board maintains broad oversight of the College’s educational program through Board policies that establish standards for graduation; set policies for curriculum and program development; and detail the faculty role in accreditation and professional matters. The Board is also directly responsible for guaranteeing the College’s institutional integrity by periodically reviewing and approving the mission statement, which provides the context for the Board’s actions. The mission demonstrates commitment to the statewide community college focus on basic skills development, transfer education and providing students viable workforce skills.

The Board is directly responsible for ensuring the College’s institutional integrity and financial health. BP 2200, 2715, and Education Code Sections 70902, 72200 et. seq. 72283, and

---

1569 Board Policy 2715: Code of Ethics/Standards of Practice
1570 Board Meeting Minutes, February 22, 2017
1571 Board Policy 2410: Policy & Administrative Procedures
1572 Board Policy 2200: Board Duties and Responsibilities
1573 Board Policy 1200: District Mission
1574 Board Policy 6305: Fund Balance, Unrestricted General Fund
1575 Board Policy 2200: Board Duties and Responsibilities
1576 Board Policy 2715: Code of Ethics/Standards of Practice
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72125 et. seq. address the Board’s primary functions, which include approval of curriculum, decisions regarding legal matters, financial and personnel issues. The Board receives monthly financial status reports at its meetings, commissions annual audits of all District funds and examines the District’s fiscal stability via the fiscal stability checklist and presentation of the tentative and adopted budgets. The Board assures the District’s fiscal integrity by requiring a minimum six percent ending fund balance through Board Policy 6305 and a six percent reserve as standard practice. The District’s legal matters can be in open and closed sessions of Board meetings as appropriate and allowed by law. When necessary and appropriate, the Board consults with legal counsel to ensure the integrity of its decisions.

Analysis and Evaluation

The Board reviews policies that enhance the mission statement, a collaborative document that involves input and review by the CPT, Academic Senate, Classified Senate, and the ASG. The Board supports collaborative effort by the College’s teams to ensure leadership, quality, integrity and maintain strong student learning programs and support for student success. The Board acknowledges its responsibility for academic excellence. Legal and financial stability are consistent action items as evident in Board documents. The Board regularly reviews and acts to ensure that the College is operating with fiscal and legal responsibility.

6. The institution or the governing board publishes the board bylaws and policies specifying the board’s size, duties, responsibilities, structure, and operating procedures.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

District bylaws and policies regarding the Board’s specifications are readily available to the campus and public on the College website. They are also available on request in the Chancellor’s Office during normal business hours. BP 2010 states that the board shall consist of five members elected by trustee area, and elections are held in even years. Area 1 and Area 5 were up for election in 2018 and will be up for election again in 2022. Area 2, Area 3, and Area 4 were up for election in 2016 and 2020 and will be up for election again in 2024. BPs 2015, 2105, and Education Code Section 72023.5 also call for a student trustee to be elected by the ASG for a one-year term. The student trustee casts an advisory vote on agenda items but does not participate in closed sessions or on personnel matters.

The Chancellor and Board president develop Board meeting agendas and strategies to work with community entities and other policy-making boards. Board meetings are held in open sessions, unless there are topics on the agenda that are allowed to be discussed in closed sessions. Closed session meetings are listed appropriately on each agenda and held in accordance with BP 2315. The District only permits matters to be discussed in closed session that qualify for

---

1577 Board Meeting Minutes, June 9, 2021
1578 Board Policy 6305: Fund Balance, Unrestricted General Fund
1579 Board of Trustees Policies Website
1580 Board Policy 2010: Board Membership
1581 Stipulated Judgment, Soliz v. SSCCD, LA County Superior Court, Case No. BC512736 (entered Aug. 25, 2014)
1582 Board of Trustees Election Dates
1583 Board Policy 2015: Student Member
1584 Board Policy 2105: Election of Student Member
1585 Board Policy 2315: Closed Sessions
closed session. The intent to hold a closed session is always announced on the Board agenda; and, when action is taken on an item discussed in closed session, the Board reports its action in open session. As referenced in BP 2340, the Board posts its agendas 72 hours prior to meetings. The District uses BoardDocs, an online board agenda preparation and distribution program, making board agenda materials available to the public at any time. Each Board meeting agenda contains an item that allows members of the public to address any topic not on the agenda, as specified in BPs 2340, 2345, and 2350. Minutes from each meeting are recorded and published in accordance with BP 2360. As outlined in BP 2365, audio/video recordings of public meetings are available on the District website for seven years, depending on capacity and technology following the recording. As advocates for the District, Board members are expected to abide by the Code of Ethics adopted by the Board, in BP 2715.

The Board meets once per semester in joint session with the ASG officers. In addition, the Board extends annual invitations for joint meetings with the College’s Foundation Board of Directors and the Wm. S. Hart H.S. District Governing Board.

Analysis and Evaluation

The Board is committed to transparency and proper governance and conducts its operations consistent with Education Code and District policies. It publishes its bylaws and policies specifying the board’s size, duties, responsibilities, structure, and operating procedures.

7. The governing board acts in a manner consistent with its policies and bylaws. The board regularly assesses its policies and bylaws for their effectiveness in fulfilling the college/district/system mission and revises them as necessary.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The Board acts in a consistent way in its policies and procedures, as noted in BP 2410, which says the “Board may adopt such policies as are authorized by law or determined by the Board to be necessary for the efficient operations of the District.” Policies are developed by the appropriate administrators and sent to the CEO, who confirms accuracy and currency, initiates changes as needed, and oversees the development and implementation of procedures to support the policies.

The College Policy Council (CPC) responds to the development of board policies by meeting monthly to review policy and procedure changes and initiate new policies and procedures proposed by the Academic Senate, ASG, Classified Senate, and administration. Using the CPC’s operating procedures, described in the Decision-Making Guide, CPC establishes the appropriateness of the policy and/or procedure, its relationship to the District goals and

---

1586 Board Policy 2340: Agendas
1587 Board Policy 2340: Agendas
1588 Board Policy 2345: Public Participation at Board Meetings
1589 Board Policy 2350: Speakers
1590 Board Policy 2360: Minutes
1591 Board Policy 2365: Recording
1592 Board Policy 2715: Code of Ethics Standards of Practice
1593 Board Policy 2410: Policy & Administrative Procedures
1594 College Policy Council Operating Procedures, Fall 2020
1595 COC’s Decision-Making Guide 2021
objectives, compliance with Education Code, Title 5, applicable laws, and mandates. The CPC’s review is the last stop before going to the Board. The Board reviews its own section of policies that relate directly to the Board with input from the Chancellor. At its meeting on January 27, 2021, the Board addressed the status of its section (2000s) of policies. After the Board adopts a new or revised policy for the District and the CEO approves a new or revised administrative procedure, it is posted on the District website. In addition to the District’s processes, it subscribes to the Community College League of California (CCLC) policy and procedure services, which provides information on required legal or policy updates.

Analysis and Evaluation

The Board acts in a manner consistent with its policies and procedures, which are consistent with current laws and statutes. Policy review by the Board is consistent with the mission, assurance of quality, integrity and improvement of student learning, institutional effectiveness, and efficiency and compliance as demonstrated by institutional practices. As policies are reviewed, revised, removed, or added per the established review schedule, they are available in BoardDocs for first, second, and third reading (if applicable) for the public to see. Once approved, the policies are posted on the College website.

8. To ensure the institution is accomplishing its goals for student success, the governing board regularly reviews key indicators of student learning and achievement and institutional plans for improving academic quality.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

Since the 2014 accreditation, the Board has received frequent updates and presentations from instruction, student services, and institutional research staff, describing the status of the College’s learning indicators and academic quality. More than 15 presentations were given to the Board between 2015 – 2021, all since the College’s last accreditation in 2014. There is a history of the College and Board engaging with student success and improvement efforts, and substantial interaction on Guided Pathways has facilitated ongoing policy and planning work. Institutional performance indicators are integrated into the College’s Strategic Plans, the guiding document that moves the College forward. Regular reports on progress keep the trustees apprised and informed. Additionally, the Board receives annual updates on student performance data from the Student Equity & Achievement Plan and Local Goal Setting data aligned with the CCCCO Vision for Success 2022 Goals. They also receive student impact updates on other initiatives such as AB705.

1596 Board of Trustees Meeting Minutes 01/27/21
1597 Board of Trustee Meeting Minutes – SEA/LGS Presentation 05/08/2019
1598 Board of Trustee Meeting Minutes – Pathways Session 9/20/19
1599 Board of Trustee Meeting Minutes – Pathways Session 12/09/20
1600 Guided Pathways Update – Scale of Adoption Assessment 03/10/21
1601 Guided Pathways and SEA/LGS Update 12/09/20
1602 Strategic Plan 2019-2022
1603 CPT, Canyons Completes Student Experience, Dual Enrollment and Completion 10/21/2019
1604 Board of Trustee Meeting Minutes – Bellwether Presentation AB 705 02/10/21
Analysis and Evaluation

The Board reviews key indicators of student outcomes and success through published reports on the College’s website, annual updates, presentations at Board meetings, and other channels, ranging from Guided Pathways data, student outcomes and achievement data, institutional effectiveness metrics, and more. These aid the Board in ensuring academic quality. Board members hold academic achievement in high regard and ask questions during presentations of data to build understanding of work to ensure and support student success and completion.

9. The governing board has an ongoing training program for board development, including new member orientation. It has a mechanism for providing for continuity of board membership and staggered terms of office.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The Board has policies regarding board development, new member orientation, continuity of board membership, and staggering terms of office.\textsuperscript{1605, 1606, 1607} As noted in BP 2010,\textsuperscript{1608} the Board consists of 5 members elected by qualified voters of the District. Members are elected by trustee area as defined in BP 2100 – Board Elections. Their term of office is four years, starting in December following the general elections in November. Elections are held every two years, in even-numbered years.\textsuperscript{1609} A student trustee is elected each year by the ASG for a one-year term.\textsuperscript{1610}

The Board’s cumulative experience is notable, and members benefit from active participation in state and national trustee associations, workshops and activities. One Board member has been involved on the CCLC’s Advisory Committee for Education Services (ACES) since 2007. ACES members are responsible for identifying and reviewing needs for educational and professional development programs, particularly those targeted to trustees and CEOs. At its meeting on May 22, 2013,\textsuperscript{1611} the Board voted unanimously to participate in the Excellence in Leadership and Governance Program offered through the CCLC. Additionally, the Excellence in Trusteeship (ETP) program, designed for ongoing education of trustees in California community colleges, provides a solid foundation for effective board governance. The program covers seven areas of competencies to complete the knowledge and skills learning needed for strong leadership. Participants attend workshops and sessions in each of the seven competencies that define the roles and responsibilities of governance boards and provide tools that keep efforts focused on student learning. Members of the Board of Trustee take seriously their responsibility for their own professional development. The Board President who served in 2020 was one of the developers of the ETP at the state level and the first of the Board members to complete the certification process. Our current Board President completed the ETP in her first year on the Board (2017) and became recertified in 2021 as President of the Board. Other Board members over the years have attended numerous conferences and trainings that helped to meet the

\textsuperscript{1605} Board Policy 2740: Board Education  
\textsuperscript{1606} Board Policy 2100: Board Elections  
\textsuperscript{1607} Board Policy 2110: Vacancies of the Board  
\textsuperscript{1608} Board Policy 2010: Board Membership  
\textsuperscript{1609} Board Policy 2100: Board Elections  
\textsuperscript{1610} Board Policy 2105: Election of Student Member  
\textsuperscript{1611} Board of Trustees Meeting Minutes, 05/22/2013
requirements of the ETP. Recently, the newest Board member, elected November 2020, registered for the ETP program and has been doing well in completing the necessary components. Trustees also participate in workshops and conferences hosted by professional organizations such as the CCLC, SCV Trustees Association, Valley Industrial Association (VIA), and have opportunities for personal education and development. The Board conducts study sessions on topics in their scope of responsibilities. Recent study sessions covered topics such as Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI), Brown Act, and Accreditation. New member orientation, detailed in AP 2740, includes scheduling meetings with district staff, distribution of District background information, and materials published by the CCLC, and a District trustee mentor.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard, offering effective development, training, and educational opportunities for trustees, including orientations, training, conference attendance, webinars, and study sessions designed to continually educate all trustees. Based on the College’s established policies and procedures, continuity of Board leadership is maintained by staggered elections.

10. Board policies and/or bylaws clearly establish a process for board evaluation. The evaluation assesses the board’s effectiveness in promoting and sustaining academic quality and institutional effectiveness. The governing board regularly evaluates its practices and performance, including full participation in board training, and makes public the results. The results are used to improve board performance, academic quality, and institutional effectiveness.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The Board’s self-evaluation processes are clearly defined, implemented and published in its policies. BP 2745 describes the Board’s commitment to self-evaluation to improve its effectiveness in carrying out its responsibilities. AP 2745 outlines the process for the Board’s self-evaluation, with the most recent on April 28, 2021. The Board conducts its self-evaluations in open sessions as dictated in Board Policy and Procedure. Prior to the sessions, individual members complete their own self-evaluation and the Board’s as a whole. During such sessions, members evaluate their performance and discuss areas for positive change. For their last three evaluations, the Board has contracted a facilitator from the CCLC’s “League on Call” program. As needed, the Board conducts additional meetings, and members attend professional development sessions to help carry out their roles.

Analysis and Evaluation

Board policies establish the Board’s self-evaluation process, which assesses its effectiveness in promoting and sustaining academic quality and the College’s effectiveness. The Board has updated its evaluation survey instrument to assist in evaluating its practices and performance.

1612 Board of Trustees Meeting Minutes, 02-24-2021 (DEI Study Session)
1613 Board of Trustees Meeting Minutes, 02-10-21 (Brown Act Study Session)
1614 Board of Trustees Meeting Minutes, 03-24-21 (Accreditation Study Session)
1615 Administrative Procedures 2740: Board Education
1616 Board Policy 2745: Board Self-Evaluation
1617 Administrative Procedure 2745: Board Self-Evaluation
1618 Board of Trustees Meeting Minutes, 04-28-21 (Board Self-Evaluation)
1619 Board of Trustees Self-Evaluation Presentation, April 28, 2021
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The survey results are shared in an open Board meeting where the public is welcomed. At this session, the Board has the opportunity to discuss ideas and suggestions for development of annual board goals with the assistance of a facilitator. This process provides evaluation of the Board’s effectiveness through self-assessment.

11. The governing board upholds a code of ethics and conflict of interest policy, and individual board members adhere to the code. The board has a clearly defined policy for dealing with behavior that violates its code and implements it when necessary. A majority of the board members have no employment, family, ownership, or other personal financial interest in the institution. Board member interests are disclosed and do not interfere with the impartiality of governing body members or outweigh the greater duty to secure and ensure the academic and fiscal integrity of the institution. (ER 7)

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The College’s Board of Trustees Code of Ethics was originally adopted in 1989 and was used by the California Community College Trustees (CCCT) and CCLC as a model policy for the orientation and training of new trustees throughout the system. It delineates the ethical standards trustees are expected to follow. The Board adheres to a Code of Ethics/Standards of Practice. The code establishes standards of ethical trustee behavior that include: To hold educational welfare of the students as their primary concern in all decisions; to ensure equality for all students; to remain unbiased; to act with integrity; to study contemporary educational issues; to attend professional workshops and conferences; to keep an open mind and be respectful; and to be an advocate for the District. The Board strives to promote a healthy academic environment for students, faculty, and staff, one that inspires and challenges the College to greater service and achievement. The Board serves as a model and leader, encouraging the district community to pursue excellence.

BP 2710 forbids trustees to engage in any employment or activity that is inconsistent with, incompatible with, in conflict with or inimical to the Board member’s duties as an officer of the District. Annually, members of the Board file a Statement of Interest Form 700 from the California Fair Political Practices Commission. Copies of these public documents are maintained in the Chancellor's Office and are available for review upon request. In addition, the Board has conducted Conflict-of-Interest and Brown Act discussions and training with legal representatives. Any rare violations would be addressed per BP and AP 2715.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard. Board members have no employment, family, ownership or other personal interest in the institution. Their interests are disclosed and generally do not interfere with their impartiality or outweigh the greater duty to secure and ensure the academic and fiscal integrity of the institution.

---

1620 Board Policy 2715: Code of Ethics/Standards of Practice
1621 Board Policy 2710: Conflict of Interest
1622 Conflict of Interest Training & Form 700, January 2019
1623 Board of Trustees Brown Act Study Session Presentation, February 2021
1624 Administrative Procedure 2715: Code of Ethics/Standards of Practice
Institutional Analysis | Standard IV: Leadership and Governance
C. Governing Board

12. The governing board delegates full responsibility and authority to the CEO to implement and administer board policies without board interference and holds the CEO accountable for the operation of the district/system or college, respectively.

Evidence of Meeting the Standard

The Board is empowered by California Education Code Section 70902(d) to delegate certain powers to the CEO, as the Board determines appropriate. BP 2200\textsuperscript{1625} establishes the Board’s role to delegate full responsibility and authority to the CEO to implement and administer board policies without interference. The scope of delegation of authority to the CEO includes executive responsibility for administering the policies adopted by the Board and executing all Board decisions requiring administrative action.\textsuperscript{1626} The Board holds the CEO accountable for the District’s and College’s operations and campuses and evaluates the CEO’s performance annually based on mutually agreed to established goals per employment contract.\textsuperscript{1627}

In addition, as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, the Board approved Board Resolution #2019/20-23\textsuperscript{1628} at its March 19, 2020 board meeting, granting the CEO emergency powers to make decisions that may be Board ratified in order to maintain District operations and to provide students with access, engagement and success, without interruption.

The current Chancellor is respected across the state, the community, and on the College’s campuses. Given her 34 years in her current role, she is regularly called on to assist other community college CEOs in California and the California Community College Chancellor’s Office in Sacramento, along with community groups and civic leaders. More fully described in Standard IV.B, her leadership, entrepreneurial skills and commitment to innovation have led to the successful implementation of all College plans. The Chancellor is committed to the College, the quality and effectiveness of its daily operations, its long-term future, its students and employees, its innovations and can-do spirit, its fiscal stability and health and welfare benefits, and its impact on future students and continued growth.

With authority granted by the Board, the CEO fosters a nurturing campus climate; well-equipped physical campuses; responsive, cutting-edge educational programs; and a well-regarded reputation in the community. As a result, the College attracts and maintains qualified, innovative employees who are resourceful, creative, inspirational, and committed to the College’s continued development.

Analysis and Evaluation

The College meets this standard. The Board delegates full responsibility and authority to the Chancellor to implement and administer its policies and procedures, and fully evaluates her achievement of established objectives on an annual basis. The CEO’s stability of service and long tenure have provided the expertise, confidence, and credibility necessary for the College to thrive in meeting its strategic goals. She is exceptionally resourceful and effective in her role with state and federal policy makers. The CEO leads by example, is optimistic, energetic, a persistent visionary, flexible, accountable, and courageous. She follows through, supports and

\textsuperscript{1625} Board Policy 2200: Board Duties and Responsibilities
\textsuperscript{1626} Board Policy 2430: Delegation of Authority to the CEO
\textsuperscript{1627} Board of Trustees Meeting, 06/23/21
\textsuperscript{1628} Resolution No. 2019/20-23
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encourages others. As a result, the College has capitalized on emergent opportunities and entrepreneurial ventures and forged beneficial partnerships both in the community and throughout the state.

13. The governing board is informed about the Eligibility Requirements, the Accreditation Standards, Commission policies, accreditation processes, and the college’s accredited status, and supports through policy the college’s efforts to improve and excel. The board participates in evaluation of governing board roles and functions in the accreditation process.

**Evidence of Meeting the Standard**

The governing board is regularly updated on all accreditation matters including eligibility requirements, accreditation standards, commission policies, accreditation processes, progress on accreditation reports, and the College’s accreditation status. The Board reviews applicable standards in the College’s ISER\(^{1630}\) and the Mid-Term Report during open Board meeting presentations.\(^{1631}\) Board members also attend accreditation sessions at statewide conferences such as the CCLC, ACCJC, and bring back the information to the College.

The Board hosted an Accreditation Workshop, during an open Board meeting on March 24, 2021. Board members, administrators, deans, faculty members, and staff attended, hearing guest presenter Dr. Gohar Momjian, vice president for ACCJC.\(^{1632}\) Dr. Momjian highlighted these topics: Accreditation’s Purposes, Processes, and Standards; Changes at the ACCJC; Roles and Responsibilities of Trustees and Advice for Board Excellence (challenges, accountability, roles, responsibilities, and common concerns); Review of the ISER and the Board’s Role in It.

**Analysis and Evaluation**

The Board is actively involved in the College’s accreditation process, participating in self-evaluations of its roles and functions. The Board receives regular updates on the College’s accreditation during its meetings and participates in workshops and training about accreditation.

**Conclusion on Standard IV.C. Governing Board**

The College of the Canyons Board of Trustees is the District’s governing board, with responsibility for the quality of student learning programs and services and the College’s financial stability. The Board aligns its goals with the College’s mission, and it recurrently reviews related plans and goals. It regularly exercises authority to provide oversight for all District operations, with a focus on educational quality, legal matters, financial integrity, and stability. Robust policies and accompanying procedures codify the Board’s duties and responsibilities and are publicly accessible on the College website. The policies are regularly assessed and revised as needed. Elections are staggered to ensure Board stability. New member mentoring and ongoing professional development train and keep the Board current on issues related to its duties. Procedures for selection and evaluation of the Chancellor are clearly delineated in the Board’s bylaws along with delegation of authority to the Chancellor to interpret and implement Board policies without interference. Through active participation in accreditation

---

1629 Board of Trustees Meeting Minutes 05-28-2014 (Update on Accreditation, Information Item)
1630 Board of Trustees Meeting Minutes 08-13-2014 (Accreditation Self-Evaluation Report, Information Item)
1631 Board of Trustees Meeting Minutes 02-22-2017 (Accreditation Mid-Term Report, Information Item)
1632 Board of Trustees Meeting 03-24-2021 (Accreditation Study Session PowerPoint Presentation)
training and review of the ISER, the Board remains informed and updated on issues about the accreditation process.

**Improvements Plan(s)**

The District will engage in further professional development of the Board as part of its ongoing training when planning for the future, which will address guiding principles associated with the expected Code of Ethics and proper presentation of the District to the public and the press (IV.C.11).

**Evidence List**

- IV.C.1.1
- IV.C.1.2
- IV.C.1.3
- IV.C.1.4
- IV.C.1.5
- IV.C.1.6
- IV.C.1.7
- IV.C.1.8
- IV.C.1.9
- IV.C.2.1
- IV.C.2.2
- IV.C.3.1
- IV.C.3.2
- IV.C.3.3
- IV.C.4.1
- IV.C.4.2
- IV.C.4.3
- IV.C.4.4
- IV.C.4.5
- IV.C.4.6
- IV.C.4.7
- IV.C.4.8
- IV.C.4.9
- IV.C.4.10
- IV.C.4.11
- IV.C.5.1
- IV.C.5.2
- IV.C.5.3
- IV.C.5.4
- IV.C.5.5
- IV.C.5.6
- IV.C.5.7
- IV.C.5.8
- IV.C.5.9
- IV.C.6.1
- IV.C.6.2
- IV.C.6.3
- IV.C.6.4
- IV.C.6.5
- IV.C.6.6
- IV.C.6.7
- IV.C.6.8
- IV.C.6.9
- IV.C.6.10
- IV.C.6.11
- IV.C.6.12
- IV.C.6.13
- IV.C.6.14
- IV.C.6.15
- IV.C.6.16
- IV.C.7.1
- IV.C.7.2
- IV.C.7.3
- IV.C.7.4
- IV.C.8.1
- IV.C.8.2
- IV.C.8.3
- IV.C.8.4
- IV.C.8.5
- IV.C.8.6
- IV.C.8.7
- IV.C.8.8
- IV.C.9.1
- IV.C.9.2
- IV.C.9.3
- IV.C.9.4
- IV.C.9.5
- IV.C.9.6
- IV.C.9.7
- IV.C.9.8
- IV.C.9.9
- IV.C.9.10
- IV.C.9.11
- IV.C.10.1
- IV.C.10.2
- IV.C.10.3
- IV.C.10.4
- IV.C.11.1
- IV.C.11.2
- IV.C.11.3
- IV.C.11.4
- IV.C.11.5
- IV.C.12.1
- IV.C.12.2
- IV.C.12.3
- IV.C.12.4
- IV.C.13.1
- IV.C.13.2
- IV.C.13.3
- IV.C.13.4
H. Quality Focus Essay
Introduction

The Quality Focus Essay (QFE) provides an opportunity for College of the Canyons to identify and advance projects intended to improve student learning and achievement. As the College began development of its 2022 ISER, the College Planning Team (CPT) engaged in discussions about the development of the QFE and recommended a focus on the College’s “Canyons Completes” or guided pathways efforts. CPT suggested that the Guided Pathways Steering Committee (GPSC) and the Institutional Effectiveness and Inclusive Excellence (IE) committee (a subcommittee of the College Planning Team) discuss and decide how to determine the action projects that would be addressed in this QFE. The GPSC approved the recommendation and referred it to the (IE) committee.

The mission of the (IE) Committee is to develop and articulate the institutional approach to fostering an equity-minded, learning-centered and inclusive community for all students, faculty and staff. To fulfill its mission, the (IE) Committee facilitates streamlined processes, improved communication and enhanced collaboration through its regular, coordination meetings between the Associated Student Government (ASG); Academic Senate; math, English, and ESL transformation efforts; adult education and noncredit; the Student Equity & Achievement (SEA) program; and other groups as applicable (e.g., grant funded projects).

In exploring ideas for the QFE, the (IE) Committee members noted that it had recently drafted and submitted the March 2020 Scale of Adoption Assessment (SOAA) as a requirement of the California Community College Chancellor’s Office (CCCCO) to report on institutional implementation of the guided pathways framework. Because the SOAA provides a template for identifying and measuring progress on project implementation, the Committee decided to use it to create a Canyons Completes Action Plan to guide further framework implementation and assess progress for a variety of guided pathways/Canyons Completes efforts. Work on this Action Plan began in earnest in spring 2021.

As the College began working on the ISER, the (IE) Committee members and the (IE) Design Team noted the alignment between the proposed Canyons Completes Action Plan and the QFE and determined the goals for each of these documents were the same – to identify and advance projects intended to improve student learning and achievement outcomes. As such, for this QFE, the College has chosen to highlight its Canyons Completes (guided pathways) implementation to date and identify selected projects intended to increase student learning and achievement, particularly for the most disproportionately impacted students.

Background

The College has been engaged in guided pathways (GP) efforts since 2014, with the identification of the Canyons Completes initiative and the creation of the Institutional Effectiveness and Inclusive Excellence (IE) Committee. The Canyons Complete initiative was designed to facilitate positive movement towards completion of degrees, certificates, and skills building courses for students through improved programs, processes and services. The College has focused on fostering an equity-minded, learning-centered and inclusive community for all students, faculty and staff. Since 2014, the faculty, staff, and administrators have participated in more than a hundred GP-related workshops and conferences and engaged in key projects focused on significantly advancing efforts to clarify the path for students, help move them onto a path, support them on their journey, and ensure their learning.
Completed Work to Date

Since 2014, the College has undertaken efforts to implement a guided pathways framework aligned with each of the four pillars: clarify the path, enter the path, stay on the path, and ensure learning. Below is a timeline of key events in the development and the implementation of this guided pathways framework at the College:

2014: The Conversation Began

- Dr. Rob Johnstone (National Center for Inquiry & Improvement) presented Completion by Design and the Loss Momentum Framework to faculty, staff, administrators, and Executive Cabinet members in February 2014.
- Instructional Advisory Council (Department Chairs and Deans) began to develop academic program maps.
- Program maps were published on the Instruction website.

2015-2016: Defining Equity and Analyzing Data

- Institutional Research Planning and Institutional Effectiveness (IRPIE) produced the Equity “Heat Map” identifying disproportionate impact areas.
- The College developed the (IE)² Committee Mission and Vision using the Association of American Colleges and Universities (AAC&U) Committing to Equity and Inclusive Excellence Self-Study Guide.
- March 2016: The College applied for and received a Basic Skills and Student Outcomes Transformation (BSSOT) Program grant and identified several guided pathways related projects, including establishing Student Success Teams as a focus. The BSSOT grant led to the development and institutionalization of the Center for Excellence in Teaching and Learning (CETL), which would later support equity efforts and the improvement of teaching and student learning through continuing education (noncredit) offerings.
- June 2016: The College held its (IE)² Committee Retreat featuring the launch of the Canyons Completes initiative.


- The College defined “Canyons Completes” as its guided pathways framework.
- August 26, 2016: All faculty were invited to engage in Guided Pathways work.
- November 2016: On Dr. Rob Johnstone’s second visit, he presented at an Instructional Advisory Council meeting with Department Chairs and Deans.
- February 2017: The Academic Senate and Board of Trustees approved participation in the California Pathways Project (CA-20).
- June 2017: The College held its second (IE)² Retreat: The retreat outcome established seven workgroups developed to support Canyons Completes (based on Loss-Momentum Framework).
- September 2017: The CCCCO GP Awards became available.
- December 2017: The CCCCO GP Self-Assessment became due.

2017-2018: Canyons Completes, Support Dialogue and Inquiry about Guided Pathways

- The College provided support for Canyons Completes with dialog and inquiry for seven workgroups.
October 2017: The Equity-Minded Practitioners Workgroup was established.

December 2017: The Academic Senate and Board of Trustees approved the CCCCO GP Self-Assessment.

March 2018: The Academic Senate and Board of Trustees approved the CCCCO GP Work Plan.

June 2018: The College held the third (IE)² Retreat: Outcome to Modify Workgroups.

June 2018: The College held the Mapping and Meta Majors Retreat.

2018-2019: The College developed the Guided Pathways/Canyons Completes Framework and Pillars:

Figure H-1: Guided Pathways/Canyons Completes Framework and Pillars

2018-2019: Canyons Completes, Support Action for Guided Pathways Implementation

- The College supported Canyons Completes with dialog and inquiry for workgroups.
- August 2018: The College held the second Mapping and Meta Majors Retreat.
- August 2018 to Spring 2019: The website was redesigned to emphasize Meta Majors.
- Fall 2018: The College conducted student focus groups to get input on Meta Majors.
- November 2018: The College purchased Early Alert software (Starfish).
- February 2019: The Academic Senate approved the Meta Majors Proposal.
- January-June 2019: The Professional Development Office’s Leadership Education in Action Program (LEAP), launched LEAP Onto the Path to help enhance employee engagement and ownership of GP and advance GP efforts and projects. LEAP Solution Teams’ Projects focused on ways to further engage students through a Multicultural Center, Welcome Day, in-reach, communication and promotion, early alert and retention, experiential learning, student success teams, and support for adult learners.
- March 2019: The Academic Senate and Board of Trustees acted to reaffirm the CCCCO GP Commitment.
March 2019-June 2019: The College began development of Academic Program Maps, adopted the Program Pathways Mapper, and began implementation.

2019-2020: Canyons Completes, Support Action for Guided Pathways Implementation

- The College established and held a “Welcome Day,” August 9, 2019, with more than 1,000 students attending.
- Fall 2019: The College created a website landing page for schools/meta majors, providing students an opportunity to examine the careers available through completion of programs within each school; to see the degrees and certificates available; and to quickly access departments and resources within the school.
- Fall 2019: The College launched the Canyons Connects program, an Early Alert and Intervention Program powered by the Starfish platform.
- Fall 2019-Spring 2020: A Student Success Team made plans to develop the first Student Success Team for African American/Black Students and launch it in Fall 2020.
- Fall 2019: The College launched the Culturally Relevant Teaching Practices course in the Center for Excellence in Teaching and Learning.
- Fall 2019: The College launched the A2MEND student charter and launched a mentoring program for Black/African American students.
- Fall 2019-Spring 2020: The College expanded and strengthened its seven Alliances/Affinity Groups with 70 students regularly participating. Alliances include African American/Black, LatinX, Trans, Gender/Sexuality, First-Gen, Autism, and Veterans.
- Fall 2019: The College established and trained faculty data coaches to identify, analyze, understand, and communicate data to their peers regarding student persistence, retention, and completion.
- Spring 2020: The College was selected to participate in the California Guided Pathways (CAGP) 2020-2023 Cohort with 42 other California community colleges.
- Spring 2020: The College explored development and launch of a Multicultural Center. The Virtual Multicultural Center was established for Fall 2020.
- 2019-2020: The Guided Pathways Leadership Team participated in the RP Group’s Leading from the Middle Institute focused on Guided Pathways implementation.
- Spring 2020: The College launched a PebblePad ePortfolio initiative in Spring 2020. The ePortfolios integrate with Canvas and give students an opportunity to showcase their learning. The initiative gives faculty a way to more effectively and authentically assess course, program and institutional student learning outcomes (SLO)s.
- Summer 2020: The College launched its First Year Experience/Promise Program Bootcamps including College Study Skills courses for more than 1,400 new students.
- Summer 2020: The College focused on examining anti-Black racism:
  o (IE)² held a retreat on June 12, 2020: (IE)² coordinated and hosted a three-hour retreat that focused on the lived experiences and truths of the College’s Black students and alums. It was attended by nearly 200 faculty and staff.
  o Podcast: The College hosted its first podcast with 100 attendees, as a follow-up to student and employee panels addressing anti-Black racism. The podcast featured the experiences and expertise of staff, faculty, students, alumni, and managers who discussed the
historical context of systemic, institutional, and individual racism, and how terminology and language play a major role in perception of these terms.

○ Playbook: A cross-functional team of faculty, classified staff, students, alum and managers/administrators met several times following the employee town hall in June to identify the elements of the “playbook” for examining anti-Black racism and being a better ally training. The team developed a draft “playbook.” This effort merged with the College’s Call to Action Coalition team but still includes an intentional focus on anti-racism dialogues and identifying ways to dismantle systemic and institutional racism that are within the College’s sphere of influence.

2020-2021: Canyons Completes, Support Action for Guided Pathways Implementation

- Fall 2020: Led by the classified staff, the College began a Caring Campus Initiative that seeks to improve the student experience through personalized employee-to-student interactions.
- Fall 2020-Spring 2021: The College continued involvement in the CAGP 2020-2023, attending webinars, working with a virtual consultant/coach, and providing professional development for faculty, staff, and administrators.
- Fall 2020: The College launched its Multicultural Center (MCC) on November 30, 2020.
- Fall 2020: The College launched its first Student Success Team for African American/Black students.
- Fall 2020: The College developed its Canyons Completes “Placemat” as a tool to communicate the Canyons Completes framework implementation with the College’s Strategic Plan and Goals.
- Spring 2021: The College completed its Academic Program Maps and launched the Program Pathways Mapper, connecting the tools to Meta Majors (Schools) for student access.
- Spring 2021: The College was approved for the Trustee Fellowship Intersession Project with nearly $70,000 to scale up Program Mapper efforts.
- Spring 2021: The (IE)² Committee developed the Action Plan based on the March 2021 Scale of Adoption Assessment submitted to the CCCCO.

Data-Driven Decision Making

Data metrics, tracked as part of the Student Equity & Achievement Plan (SEA) and Local Goal Setting (LGS) process, are aligned with the CCCCO Vision for Success goals and are integrated with the College Mission statement, Strategic Plan 2019-22, and Canyons Completes guided pathways data. In 2015-16, the College produced a heat map to illustrate the disproportionate impact areas in most need of attention based on the Student Success Scorecard data. This heat map was instrumental in focusing the College’s attention and resources for addressing areas with the greatest need. As the CCCCO transitioned from the Student Success Scorecard to the SEA plan and LGS alignment with the CCCCO Vision for Success goals, new data tables were shared with the campus community showing the College’s integrated SEA and LGS historical data and 2022 goals for these metrics. In addition, the IRPIE office prepared an updated heat map showing where there was disproportionate impact by ethnicity and the interaction of ethnicity and gender. These data are shared with the campus community through presentations and dialogues with the CPT, (IE)² committee, Academic Senate, Classified Senate, ASG, and Board of Trustees.
The integration of SEA and LGS alignment with the CCCCO Vision for Success 2022 goals, Strategic Plan 2019-2022 and Canyons Completes guided pathways is illustrated in a compelling, two-page graphic, locally referred to as the “placemat.”

**Figure H-2: Placemat Side 1**

**Figure H-3: Placemat Side 2**

This placemat presents an integrated view of the success strategies for the College’s Canyons Completes (guided pathways) efforts and their alignment with the College’s strategic goals of
access, engagement and success with a foundation of equity. The placemat also showcases the Canyons Completes measurable goals and includes a visually arresting lightbulb icon to denote notable progress updates for these goals.

**Next Steps**

The College has made significant progress in implementing a guided pathways framework, particularly related to clarifying the path for students, helping them enter that path, and supporting them to stay and persist on that path. Below are some of the key projects the College undertook during the recent years and their current status. The College has selected three projects for the QFE from those on the Action Plan. These currently need the most attention while the College continues framework implementation to improve student learning and achievement:

- Re-engineering and launch of a new Early Alert and Intervention Program through Canyons Connects
- Development of Academic Program Maps and adoption of the Program Pathways Mapper visualization tool
- Creation of Student Success Teams focusing on addressing disproportionately impacted student populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Pathways Pillar</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Canyons Completes Action Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Stay on the Path</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Clarify the Path Enter the Path</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Stay on the Path</td>
<td>Stage 1 Complete: Scale Up in Progress</td>
<td>YES (included in the QFE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Clarify the Path Enter the Path</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Clarify the Path Enter the Path</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
<td>YES (included in the QFE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Pathways Pillar</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Canyons Completes Action Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Development of Equity Minded Practitioners work group, student alliances (affinity groups) and establishment of the Multicultural Center.</td>
<td>Stay on the Path</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure Learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Creation of Student Success Teams focusing on addressing disproportionately impacted student populations.</td>
<td>Stay on the Path</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
<td>YES (included in the QFE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure Learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Creation and expansion of the Center for Excellence in Teaching and Learning program to improve instruction and student learning.</td>
<td>Ensure Learning</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Expansion of the noncredit/continuing education program to create bridge opportunities and workforce preparation for students.</td>
<td>Enter the Path</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Development of experiential learning opportunities for students and the ability for them to reflect on and showcase their learning through ePortfolios (PebblePad).</td>
<td>Ensure Learning</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
<td>YES (Not included in the QFE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Project/Activity:** *Canyons Connects*

**Brief Description / Intended Outcome(s):** The Canyons Connects program (powered by the Starfish platform) is an early alert and intervention strategy intended to improve course success, retention, and certificate/degree completion rates, keeping students “on the path.” The program connects instructors, students and critical student support services together under one umbrella. This gives instructors the ability to raise awareness if small nudges may help struggling students, and it also provides ways to celebrate student successes. Canyons Connects allows for instantaneous referrals to help students intentionally and exactly where and when they may need support. This support can encircle the students with multiple services, ensuring high touch support, and making sure that no student is left behind.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Background, Status and Progress Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scale of Adoption Assessment Rating Scale as of March 2021:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Not Systematic</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The (IE)² committee supported the work of a faculty and staff team to research and investigate best practices for Early Alert and Intervention. After a semester of inquiry and discussion, the workgroup selected the Hobson’s Early Alert and Intervention platform “Starfish” in July 2018 as the technology to support early alert and retention efforts. The Retention Solutions (formerly Early Alert) workgroup developed the system configuration, flags, messaging, and workflow for the Starfish program through spring 2019. The implementation and technical teams finished configuring the system May 2019. The platform was piloted and phased in at the start of fall 2019. The College renamed the Early Alert program “Canyons Connects” and does not refer to it as “Starfish.” A launch with full system capability including progress surveys and program support began in spring 2020 with referrals to counseling and The Learning Center (TLC) and an assigned counselor to respond to flags and referrals.

To promote the use of the program, members of the Canyons Connects Advisory Committee facilitated workshops during flex week to train faculty on how to use Canyons Connects and to demonstrate its features. In addition, faculty can request one-to-one trainings, if needed.

Referrals are monitored daily by an assigned Canyons Connects counselor. The counselor will make three attempts to contact a student, offering to meet via an appointment or through email. Emails have a list of campus resources telling students about available services. When meeting with students during counseling sessions, counselors complete
Quality Focus Essay

| Background, Status and Progress Summary | student educational plans and full evaluations of their educational goals. They discuss options, if students are having challenges in their classes. Currently, if a student has reached academic probation, they must attend a mandatory orientation, are provided a peer contact, and a counselor gives them personal follow-up. The College implemented peer check-ins prior to students going on probation (effective fall 2017). |
| Challenges/Barriers to Overcome | Early alert and intervention (EA&I) programs can only succeed if faculty adopt and use the programs. The College must continue to work on full development of the program and create professional development and promotional materials to encourage faculty adoption. It must fully support the program institutionally with human and fiscal resources. The College has seen an increase each semester in faculty participation from various disciplines. A best practice appropriate for EA&I programs is identifying the target population to be best served by the program. The College chose to encourage adoption by faculty in the English and math departments, using special presentations and referrals within the system. There is concern that early intervention practices may highlight students from some demographics and backgrounds disproportionately, while giving “kudos” primarily to students who are advantaged. The College will need to analyze its data, so it doesn’t disproportionately send encouragement (kudos) primarily to advantaged student populations. The College is working to add to the departments that use Canyons Connects such as the Health Center, EOPS, the VA Center, among others. |
| Next Steps | **Spring 2021:** The Canyons Connects Counselor designed a Canyons Connects Canvas site to provide additional resources and an alternative way to reach out to students. The students who receive a referral and/or a 3-flag referral are enrolled in the Canvas shell. **Fall 2021:** Finish building out Canyons Connects to include system-raised flags (leveraging data in Canvas). Continue refinement of the system, including importing and using students’ preferred names. **Fall 2021:** Scale up workflows for Special Populations (College Promise Program, Dual Enrollment, EOPS, AAC - formerly DSPS, MESA, others) to more effectively connect students to support services. **Fall 2021:** Build Campus Services within the “Connect” and Kiosk features of Starfish to more effectively connect all students to services. |
### Next Steps

**Fall 2021:** Launch the student-facing Canyons Connects dashboard and “raise my hand” feature, allowing students to self-refer and request assistance. Increase the adoption rate and use by faculty through program promotion and training. Determine how particular classified staff might interface with Canyons Connects assisting high risk/high need students.

**Spring 2022:** Launch Data Analytics and Retention Score functionality.

### Resources needed to Advance Implementation or Sustain Efforts:
- Personnel
- Financial
- Technology
- Facility

To continue work in the high support areas, the College must have ongoing fiscal and human resources support to sustain the program and for further implementation of available “Connect” features.

### Responsible Parties

Vice President of Instruction, Vice President of Student Services, Associate VP of Instruction, Dean of Counseling, Technology Lead (Executive Director), Faculty Liaisons, Computer Operations Analysis/Technical Lead for Starfish, Canyons Connects Counselor, Canyons Connects Advisory Group.
Project/Activity: **Academic Program Maps and Program Pathways Mapper**

**Brief Description / Intended Outcome(s):** This project consists of two parts:

1. **Academic Program Maps** present the recommended path to complete certificates, degrees, and/or transfer. Maps highlight the sequence of courses, including the appropriate math and English courses for a program, and identify significant milestones that contribute to student success in a clear and comprehensive format.

2. **The Program Pathways Mapper** is a customized visual representation of the College Catalog, organized by academic Schools/Divisions, which are groups of similar programs. These help students select a program of study and progress towards completion. The tool includes information on occupations and careers commonly associated with each program, including typical wages and the labor market demand in California.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status and Progress Summary</th>
<th>Scale Rating as of March 2021: <strong>Planning to Scale</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Spring 2021:</strong> Completed the development of all associate degree pathways for both CSU and UC/IGETC. Completed more than 50 percent of Certificate maps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Spring 2021:</strong> Connected the Academic Program Maps and the Program Pathways Mapper to each of the School websites for easy student access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Spring 2021:</strong> Launched Program Pathways Mapper (end of May)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Challenges/Barriers to Overcome**

Making changes to framework requires input and expertise from diverse college constituencies. Reaching collective agreements and taking uniform actions often can be challenging across a diverse institution. It is necessary to review the processes and procedures that the College implements to ensure changes are vetted through the academic and classified senates and across various district stakeholder groups to ensure a transparent process that is verifiable and accurate.

The College must ensure it has ample feedback and creates an environment for each representative body to review and participate in the processes established for students.

Student feedback must be incorporated early in the College’s processes. Proposed strategies include holding focus groups, expanding outreach...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>and hiring students to work on pathways efforts. In addition, whenever possible, proposals are presented to the ASG for review and feedback.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Next Steps</td>
<td><strong>Summer 2021:</strong> The College is currently working on the remaining certificate program maps to be approved during fall 2021 curriculum committee meetings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Summer 2021:</strong> Develop a video for students to introduce them to the School and explain the use of the Program Mapper/Maps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Fall 2021:</strong> Develop and implement a marketing campaign to showcase the Program Mapper tool to prospective, new, returning and continuing students, faculty, staff and local high school district partners.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Summer/Fall 2021:</strong> Create programs maps for the remaining certificates of achievement/specialization and for any outstanding associate degree maps. Update current AAT/AST program maps to include the New CSU GE Area F requirement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Spring 2021-Fall 2021:</strong> Create program maps for the Canyon Country Campus and for the programs housed in the University Center. Create program maps for the noncredit programs housed through the School of Personal and Professional Learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Spring 2022:</strong> Work with CSU Northridge to create 2 + 2 program maps for high-transfer programs (Psychology, for example).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Fall 2022:</strong> Begin tracking Google analytics information on the use of the Program Mapper tool.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The program maps will be built through Fall 2021, with ongoing work as needed, and will be reviewed and updated on a three-year cycle during the curriculum review process. The College will establish a process to ensure current program maps are revised as curriculum changes take place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The College will form a student focus group to gather feedback for making improvements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resources needed to Advance Implementation or Sustain Efforts:</td>
<td>The College needs to find a way to institutionalize the work of Guided Pathways. While many actions are done one time, much of the work is on-going. Because funding for Guided Pathways is limited and will end, the College must find ways to implement the on-going work without causing burnout among faculty and staff.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For example, the College is using a short-term employee hired with Guided Pathways funding to build and manage the Program Mapper tool. The College should institutionalize this work by funding and assigning a permanent classified employee to the program.

The College needs to establish a partnership with CSU Northridge to facilitate development of 2 + 2 maps. Bakersfield College’s 2 + 2 partnership with CSU Bakersfield provides a model.

The College needs to find ways to implement the student voice early in the process. Investigating how other colleges integrate the student voice in a meaningful way would be very beneficial for the College.

Responsible Parties
Vice President of Instruction, Vice President of Student Services, Associate Vice President of Instruction, Dean of Academic Innovation and Continuing Education, Dean of Counseling, Guided Pathways Faculty Liaison (Student Services/Counseling).

Project/Activity: Student Success Team

Brief Description / Intended Outcome(s):
A student success team is an academic and student services support team that collaborates to plan and implement data-informed, equity-infused practices along the student journey. The College has chosen to implement student success teams based on disproportionate impact data, selecting to launch an African American/Black student success team first, with student success teams for other disproportionally impacted populations to follow.

Status and Progress Summary
SOAA Rating Scale as of March 2021: Not Systematic
The College launched its first student success team dedicated to African American/Black students in Winter 2021. Two adjunct counselors were hired in January 2021. The long-term goal is to expand this student success team and implement an Umoja (unity) program on campus.

The program started with students in this population who have completed 41 units or more and it has been expanded to also serve all students who are part of the Black Student Alliance, from new students to those getting ready to graduate. The goal is to expand the program to more students when the program is capable, and it is appropriate.

This is a cross-functional planning team including Student Services, Instruction, Counseling, and Institutional Research.
### Status and Progress Summary

The Student Success Planning Team meets every other week.

The Team is aligning its efforts with the "Multicultural Center," Black Student Alliance and A2MEND (African American Male Education Network and Development).

### Challenges/Barriers to Overcome

Accessing sustainable funding for student success teams is a challenge. The College needs to institutionalize funding support and resources.

### Next Steps

**Fall 2021**: Implement a faculty mentor component to the success team. Implement a student mentor component to the success team.

**Fall 2021**: Finalize development of a faculty mentor handbook and training program with the goal to recruit faculty mentors in the fall.

**Spring 2022**: Formalize and sustain the success team for the College’s African American/Black Student population with a goal to establish a strong success team, and then expand services to the next most disproportionately impacted student population, the LatinX group.

**Spring 2022**: Establish an online presence for Student Success Teams and have a designated space on the Counseling website and potentially on the Multicultural Center website.

### Additional Items Currently Under Discussion:

- Convert the Cross Functional Planning team to an ongoing advisory committee to help inform planning.
- Carry out workshops catered to the needs of African American/Black (and other minoritized) students.
- Increase mental health services.

### Resources needed to Advance Implementation or Sustain Efforts:

- Personnel
- Financial
- Technology
- Facility

Personnel Needs: Using Higher Education Emergency Relief Fund (HEERF) support, build out the Success Team to include a financial aid technician, admissions and records technician, peer mentors, faculty mentors, counseling graduate assistants, mental health professionals, program coordinator, counseling faculty.

Facilities: The program needs a designated space to house Student Success Teams, preferably in the Multicultural Center. This includes office space for private counseling appointments and a designated workshop space and study space for students.
| Resources          | Financial: The program needs funding for additional faculty and staff, guest speakers, conferences/events.  
|                   | Technology: The program needs to provide a laptop loan program, and requires iPads for mobile drop-in, a webcam, microphones, computer workstations for students, staff and counseling faculty, a projector and a whiteboard. |

| Responsible Parties | Vice President of Student Services, Dean of Counseling, Counseling Faculty, and Faculty Guided Pathways Liaisons. |
I. Master List of Evidence
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence #</th>
<th>Evidence Title</th>
<th>Sorted Numerically</th>
<th>F.4</th>
<th>Academic Senate meeting 12.3.20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.1</td>
<td>Table A-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.2</td>
<td>Table A-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.3</td>
<td>Table A-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.1</td>
<td>Table 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.2</td>
<td>Table 15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.3</td>
<td>Table 16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.1</td>
<td>Administrative Organization August 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.1</td>
<td>Accreditation Authority 2021-22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.2</td>
<td>ACCJC 2017 Confirmation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.3</td>
<td>Instructional Programs 2021-2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.4</td>
<td>Instructional Programs 2021-2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.5</td>
<td>Tableau Data Visualization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.6</td>
<td>BP 2430</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.7</td>
<td>AP 2430</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.8</td>
<td>Government Auditing Standards 2018 Revision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.9</td>
<td>2 CFR part 200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.10</td>
<td>CCC Chancellor’s Office Contracted District Audit Manual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.11</td>
<td>SCCCD Final Audit Report for District 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.12</td>
<td>SCCCD Final Audit Report for Foundation 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.13</td>
<td>SCCCD Final Audit Report for Measure E 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.14</td>
<td>SCCCD Final Audit Report for Measure M 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.1</td>
<td>Accreditation homepage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.2</td>
<td>Third Party Comment Form on Accreditation Website</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.3</td>
<td>Accreditation CPT Training 4.20.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master List of Evidence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.33</strong> Transfer Website to Out of State Universities</td>
<td>I.A.1.5 College Policy Council Agenda 03.23.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.34</strong> Distance Learning Addendum for Course Outline of Record</td>
<td>I.A.1.6 Academic Senate Mission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisional Distance Learning Addendum for Course Outline of Record</td>
<td>I.A.1.7 Classified Senate Mission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.35</strong> Correspondence Education Addendum for Course Outline of Record</td>
<td>I.A.1.8 Associated Student Government Mission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.36</strong> Distance Education Faculty Handbook May 2020</td>
<td>I.A.1.9 Fact Book 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.37</strong> Distance Education Faculty Handbook May 2020</td>
<td>I.A.1.10 Ed Code 66010.4 CCCCO Mission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.38</strong> BP 5040</td>
<td>I.A.2.1 IRPIE Website</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.39</strong> AP 5040</td>
<td>I.A.2.2 Fact Book 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.40</strong> BP 5530</td>
<td>I.A.2.3 Fact Book 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.41</strong> BP 5531</td>
<td>I.A.2.4 What Do Our Data Say?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.42</strong> BP 5532</td>
<td>I.A.2.5 LEAP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.43</strong> BP 5533</td>
<td>I.A.2.6 What Do Our Data Say?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.44</strong> Online Complaint Form</td>
<td>I.A.2.7 (IE)² Data 020921</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.45</strong> Third Party Comment Form</td>
<td>I.A.2.8 Board of Trustees Pathways Presentation 09.20.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.46</strong> Breaking News Fall 2020</td>
<td>I.A.2.9 SEA LGS Board of Trustees Presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.47</strong> Bottom Line Fall 2020</td>
<td>I.A.2.10 Board of Trustees Pathways Spring 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.48</strong> Instructional Aid Flyer in Spanish</td>
<td>I.A.2.11 Summarized Standards Table</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.49</strong> Canyons Promise Flyer</td>
<td>I.A.2.12 Educational &amp; Facilities Master Plan 2016 - 2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.50</strong> Continuing Education Flyer</td>
<td>I.A.2.13 Strategic Plan 2019 - 2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.52</strong> Communications Guide</td>
<td>I.A.2.15 Educational Facilities Master Plan 2012-18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.53</strong> SCCCD Final Audit Report for District 2020</td>
<td>I.A.2.16 Strategic Plan 2015-18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.55</strong> SCCCD Final Audit Report for District 2018</td>
<td>I.A.2.18 Decision Making Guide 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.56</strong> SCCCD Final Audit Report for District 2017</td>
<td>I.A.2.19 Disproportionate Impact Heat Map</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.57</strong> National Student Loan Data System</td>
<td>I.A.2.20 SEA Vision Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I.A.1.1</strong> BP 1200</td>
<td>I.A.2.21 SEA LGS Sex Ethnicity Visualization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I.A.1.2</strong> Mission Statement 2nd Board Reading 2021</td>
<td>I.A.2.22 College Planning Team January 2021 Retreat - Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I.A.1.3</strong> Mission Statement Website</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I.A.1.4</strong> College Planning Team Mission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.2.23</td>
<td>College Planning Team Goal Setting for Student Success</td>
<td>I.B.1.12</td>
<td>Unearthing Data Lab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.2.24</td>
<td>Academic Senate 1st Reading 3.28.19</td>
<td>I.B.1.13</td>
<td>Enrollment Management Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.2.25</td>
<td>SEA LGS Board of Trustees Presentation</td>
<td>I.B.1.14</td>
<td>Calendar of Events – Call to Action</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.2.26</td>
<td>Canyons Completes SEA LGS Board of Trustees Presentation</td>
<td>I.B.1.15</td>
<td>Call to Action Website</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.3.1</td>
<td>Program Review Workshop Overview</td>
<td>I.B.1.16</td>
<td>Multicultural Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.3.2</td>
<td>Decision Making Guide, p 37</td>
<td>I.B.1.17</td>
<td>Canyons Completes CPT Committee Pathways Connections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.3.4</td>
<td>Budget Parameters</td>
<td>I.B.2.1</td>
<td>Chemistry Course Outline Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.3.5</td>
<td>AP 6200</td>
<td>I.B.2.2</td>
<td>Land Surveying AS Degree Program Outline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.3.6</td>
<td>AP 3250</td>
<td>I.B.2.3</td>
<td>Catalog Program SLOs 2021-2022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.3.7</td>
<td>International Services &amp; Programs Strategic Goals</td>
<td>I.B.2.4</td>
<td>VAPA Website Program Level Outcomes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.3.8</td>
<td>COC Global</td>
<td>I.B.2.5</td>
<td>Program Mapper Example</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.3.9</td>
<td>Global Studies AA-T</td>
<td>I.B.2.6</td>
<td>Administrative Unit Outcomes Example</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.4.1</td>
<td>BP 1200</td>
<td>I.B.2.7</td>
<td>COMS 105 Course Outline of Record</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.4.2</td>
<td>CPT Agenda Mission 2021</td>
<td>I.B.2.8</td>
<td>Total Number of Academic and Administrative Program Reviews</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.4.3</td>
<td>Academic Senate Minutes</td>
<td>I.B.2.9</td>
<td>Completed Assessment Analysis Discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.4.4</td>
<td>Classified Senate Minutes</td>
<td>I.B.2.10</td>
<td>Administrative Unit Outcomes Examples 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.4.5</td>
<td>ASG Minutes</td>
<td>I.B.2.11</td>
<td>ISLO Performance Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.4.6</td>
<td>District Mission Website</td>
<td>I.B.2.12</td>
<td>eLumen Training Faculty Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.4.7</td>
<td>Mission Statement Poster</td>
<td>I.B.2.13</td>
<td>ePortfolio Faculty Coordinator Job Description Fall 2020 - Spring 2022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.1.1</td>
<td>Mission Statement</td>
<td>I.B.2.14</td>
<td>ePortfolio Pebble Pad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.1.2</td>
<td>CPT Agenda Example</td>
<td>I.B.2.15</td>
<td>Fall 2020 Data Coaches FLEX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.1.3</td>
<td>Student Equity and Achievement Plan</td>
<td>I.B.3.1</td>
<td>Accountability Metrics Presentation Board of Trustees 06.08.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.1.4</td>
<td>Equity Minded Practitioners (IE)²</td>
<td>I.B.3.2</td>
<td>(IE)² Data Review 3.13.18 final</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.1.5</td>
<td>(IE)²</td>
<td>I.B.3.3</td>
<td>Institutional Effectiveness and Inclusive Excellence Meeting Minutes 03.13.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.1.6</td>
<td>IRPIE Tableau Data Visualizations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.1.7</td>
<td>Data Website</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.1.8</td>
<td>Data Coaches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.1.9</td>
<td>Program Review Workshop Overview</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.1.10</td>
<td>IRPIE Research Reports and Data Highlights</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.1.11</td>
<td>Data Lab 05.07.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.3.4</td>
<td>Local Goal Setting Process</td>
<td>I.B.5.12</td>
<td>ISLO Prompt Downloads</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.3.5</td>
<td>CPT Goal Setting for Student Success</td>
<td>I.B.5.13</td>
<td>APR Visualization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.3.6</td>
<td>SEA LGS Presentation Board of Trustees 5.8.19</td>
<td>I.B.5.14</td>
<td>Distance Education Presentation to the Board 8.11.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.3.7</td>
<td>CPT Jan 2021 Retreat - Data</td>
<td>I.B.6.1</td>
<td>Guided Pathways and Equity Efforts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.3.8</td>
<td>SEA Data</td>
<td>I.B.6.2</td>
<td>Canyons Completes SEA LGS Board of Trustees Presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.3.9</td>
<td>Pathways Placemat</td>
<td>I.B.6.3</td>
<td>Program Review Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.4.1</td>
<td>Institution Set Standards Table</td>
<td>I.B.6.4</td>
<td>CPT 01/2021 Retreat - Data Coaches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.4.2</td>
<td>SLO Prompts</td>
<td>I.B.6.5</td>
<td>Data Coaches (IE)² Meeting Minutes Feb 2021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.4.3</td>
<td>Academic Program Reviews</td>
<td>I.B.6.6</td>
<td>(IE)² February PPT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.4.4</td>
<td>(IE)² Data 02.09.21</td>
<td>I.B.6.7</td>
<td>Humanizing the Online Course</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.4.5</td>
<td>(IE)² Data Review 3.13.18 final</td>
<td>I.B.6.8</td>
<td>Best Course Practices Center for Excellence in Teaching &amp; Learning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.4.6</td>
<td>Pathways Placemat</td>
<td>I.B.6.9</td>
<td>Curriculum Cultural Competency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.4.8</td>
<td>Scale of Adoption Assessment Pathways Document</td>
<td>I.B.6.11</td>
<td>Spring 2019 - 20 Convocations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.4.9</td>
<td>Scale of Adoption Assessment Board of Trustees PPT IRPIE Planning Page</td>
<td>I.B.6.12</td>
<td>Calendar of Events – Call to Action</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.5.1</td>
<td>IRPIE Planning Page</td>
<td>I.B.6.13</td>
<td>Data Coaches CASL PR Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.5.2</td>
<td>Communication Studies Program Review</td>
<td>I.B.6.14</td>
<td>IRPIE CETL Data Session Original Heat Map</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.5.3</td>
<td>Health Center Program Review</td>
<td>I.B.6.15</td>
<td>SEA Heat Map Interaction (IE)² Retreat Jun 2018 Heat Map</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.5.4</td>
<td>Academic and Administrative Program Review and Mission</td>
<td>I.B.6.16</td>
<td>SEA Academic Senate Spring 2019 Heat Map</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.5.5</td>
<td>CPT Presentation</td>
<td>I.B.6.17</td>
<td>PAC-B Minutes 2021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.5.6</td>
<td>Program Review EFMP Link</td>
<td>I.B.6.18</td>
<td>NOVA SEA Plan 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.5.7</td>
<td>Sample Academic and Administrative Program Reviews</td>
<td>I.B.6.19</td>
<td>Guided Pathways Steering Committee Updates May 2021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.5.8</td>
<td>Chemistry APR</td>
<td>I.B.6.20</td>
<td>ACBO Tying Student Success Together</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.5.9</td>
<td>Career Ed Advisory Minutes</td>
<td>I.B.6.21</td>
<td>SEA Academic Senate Spring 2019 Heat Map</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.5.10</td>
<td>Guiding Questions Program Review</td>
<td>I.B.6.22</td>
<td>PAC-B Minutes 2021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.5.11</td>
<td>Select Tableau Visualizations</td>
<td>I.B.6.23</td>
<td>NOVA SEA Plan 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Master List of Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I.B.7.3</td>
<td>CPC Operating Procedures</td>
<td>I.B.8.15</td>
<td>Strategic Planning Retreat PPT 12.02.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.7.5</td>
<td>Academic Senate By-Laws</td>
<td>I.B.9.2</td>
<td>Strategic Plan 2019-22 Web</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.7.6</td>
<td>Program Review Committee’s 2019 Report to the Academic Senate</td>
<td>I.B.9.3</td>
<td>CPT Spring 2020 Retreat 05.18.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.7.7</td>
<td>Program Review Committee’s 2018 Report to the Academic Senate</td>
<td>I.B.9.4</td>
<td>CPT Strategic Plan Integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.7.8</td>
<td>Committee on Committees 2019</td>
<td>I.B.9.5</td>
<td>CPT Planning Objectives and Accomplishments 05.17.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.7.9</td>
<td>Committee on Committees 2019</td>
<td>I.B.9.6</td>
<td>Decision Making 2020-21 Guide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.7.10</td>
<td>CPT Program Review Planning Objectives and Accomplishments Report</td>
<td>I.B.9.7</td>
<td>CPT Meeting Agenda 3.15.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.7.11</td>
<td>CASL-PR_Minutes 3.24.21</td>
<td>I.B.9.8</td>
<td>CPT Meeting Agenda 5.17.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.7.12</td>
<td>CASL-PR Committee 05.12.21</td>
<td>I.B.9.9</td>
<td>Institutional Effectiveness and Inclusive Excellence Meeting Minutes 09.08.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.7.13</td>
<td>CASL-PR Committee 04.14.21</td>
<td>I.B.9.10</td>
<td>Institutional Effectiveness and Inclusive Excellence Meeting PPT 09.08.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.7.15</td>
<td>AP 325</td>
<td>I.C.1.1</td>
<td>Course Catalog Email 05.22.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.8.1</td>
<td>IRPIE Data and Standards</td>
<td>I.C.1.2</td>
<td>Student MyCanyons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.8.2</td>
<td>Reports and Briefs</td>
<td>I.C.1.3</td>
<td>Canyons M Mobile APP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.8.3</td>
<td>Fact Book 2021</td>
<td>I.C.1.4</td>
<td>Board of Trustees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.8.4</td>
<td>Strategic Plan 2019-2022</td>
<td>I.C.1.5</td>
<td>Curriculum Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.8.5</td>
<td>CPT Planning Objectives and Accomplishments</td>
<td>I.C.1.6</td>
<td>Websites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.8.6</td>
<td>Instructional Advisory Council 02.19.21</td>
<td>I.C.1.7</td>
<td>Student Website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.8.7</td>
<td>CPT PPT Strategic Plan Retreat Debrief</td>
<td>I.C.1.8</td>
<td>Social Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.8.8</td>
<td>Spring Convocation 2021</td>
<td>I.C.1.9</td>
<td>CCCCCO Program Course Approval Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.8.9</td>
<td>IRPIE COVID19 Student Survey Webinar Spring 2020</td>
<td>I.C.1.10</td>
<td>Curriculum Committee Agendas and Summaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.8.10</td>
<td>(IE)² Feb 2021 Meeting</td>
<td>I.C.1.10</td>
<td>Five Year Revision List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.8.11</td>
<td>Institutional Effectiveness and Inclusive Excellence Agenda 5.11.21</td>
<td>I.C.1.10</td>
<td>Five Year Revision List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.B.8.12</td>
<td>(IE)² 5.11.21 Meeting PPT</td>
<td>I.C.1.10</td>
<td>Five Year Revision List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.1.11</td>
<td>Curriculum Committee Handbook</td>
<td>I.C.4.3</td>
<td>Majors and Certificates Brochure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.1.12</td>
<td>College Catalog Website</td>
<td>I.C.4.4</td>
<td>My Academic Plan (MAP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.1.13</td>
<td>IRPIE Data Visualizations and Reports</td>
<td>I.C.4.5</td>
<td>Land Surveying AS Degree &amp; Certificate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.1.14</td>
<td>CASL Website</td>
<td>I.C.4.6</td>
<td>Biology Associate Degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.1.15</td>
<td>Accreditation Website</td>
<td>I.C.4.7</td>
<td>VAPA Website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.2.1</td>
<td>College Catalog Website</td>
<td>I.C.4.8</td>
<td>Program Mapper Example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.2.2</td>
<td>2021-22 Catalog</td>
<td>I.C.5.1</td>
<td>BP 2410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.3.1</td>
<td>IRPIE Data Visualizations</td>
<td>I.C.5.2</td>
<td>AP 2410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.3.2</td>
<td>Course Success Retention Heatmap</td>
<td>I.C.5.3</td>
<td>College Policy Council Retreat 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.3.3</td>
<td>Program Awards</td>
<td>I.C.5.4</td>
<td>College Policy Council Operational Procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.3.4</td>
<td>ACCJC 2018 Annual Report</td>
<td>I.C.5.5</td>
<td>College Policy Council Master Policy List 2021 Retreat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.3.5</td>
<td>CASL Website</td>
<td>I.C.5.6</td>
<td>College Policy Council Policy and Procedure 2021 Retreat Slides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.3.6</td>
<td>SLO Action Plans Fall 2017 - Spring 2021</td>
<td>I.C.5.7</td>
<td>Board of Trustees Inter session Pathways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.3.7</td>
<td>SLO Prompt Downloads APR 2020-2021</td>
<td>I.C.5.8</td>
<td>Student Services Website 6.1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.3.8</td>
<td>ACCJC Annual Report 2021</td>
<td>I.C.5.9</td>
<td>Student Code of Conduct downloaded 6.1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.3.9</td>
<td>EMT Alumni Employment Survey Results Memo</td>
<td>I.C.5.10</td>
<td>Fees Website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.3.11</td>
<td>Nursing Alumni Employment Survey Results</td>
<td>I.C.5.12</td>
<td>Canyons Promise Website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.3.14</td>
<td>Fact Book 2021</td>
<td>I.C.5.15</td>
<td>Schedule of Classes &amp; Fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.3.15</td>
<td>IRPIE Data Visualizations</td>
<td>I.C.5.16</td>
<td>OER &amp; ZTC Textbook Cost Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.3.16</td>
<td>Achievement Data from Strategic Plan 2019-2022</td>
<td>I.C.5.17</td>
<td>OER ZTC Full Cabinet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.3.17</td>
<td>COC Fast Facts</td>
<td>I.C.5.18</td>
<td>BP 4030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.3.18</td>
<td>Bellwether Award News Release</td>
<td>I.C.5.19</td>
<td>Academic Senate Agenda 12.6.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.3.19</td>
<td>College Scorecard</td>
<td>I.C.5.20</td>
<td>Academic Senate Agenda 2.20.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.3.20</td>
<td>2019 Student Success Scorecard</td>
<td>I.C.5.21</td>
<td>Academic Senate Agenda 3.5.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.4.1</td>
<td>eLumen Website</td>
<td>I.C.5.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.4.2</td>
<td>Catalog Website</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master List of Evidence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.7.5</td>
<td>College Policy Council Minutes 4.28.20</td>
<td>I.C.12.2</td>
<td>Certificate of Accreditation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.7.6</td>
<td>AAUP’s 1940 Statement</td>
<td>I.C.13.1</td>
<td>Strategic Plan 2019-2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.7.7</td>
<td>AP 4030</td>
<td>I.C.13.2</td>
<td>Budget Parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.7.8</td>
<td>Academic Freedom Panel Discussion</td>
<td>I.C.13.3</td>
<td>Budget Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.7.9</td>
<td>Academic Senate Newsletter</td>
<td>I.C.13.4</td>
<td>Adopted Budget Yellow Book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.7.10</td>
<td>AFT Contract, Art. 18 re Academic Freedom</td>
<td>I.C.13.5</td>
<td>2020-21 Adopted Budget PPT with State Revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.7.11</td>
<td>Catalog Academic Freedom</td>
<td>I.C.13.6</td>
<td>All College Budget Update Spring 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.1</td>
<td>Philosophy</td>
<td>I.C.13.7</td>
<td>AP 3852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.2</td>
<td>Student Conduct</td>
<td>II.A.1.1</td>
<td>BP 1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.3</td>
<td>Disciplinary Action</td>
<td>II.A.1.2</td>
<td>SLO Handbook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.4</td>
<td>Due Process</td>
<td>II.A.1.3</td>
<td>Curriculum Committee Handbook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.5</td>
<td>Academic Integrity</td>
<td>II.A.1.4</td>
<td>Educational and Facilities Master Plan 2016-2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.6</td>
<td>Student Conduct Code Pamphlet</td>
<td>II.A.1.5</td>
<td>Strategic Plan 2019-2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.7</td>
<td>Catalog Student Conduct</td>
<td>II.A.1.6</td>
<td>A Call to Action Voting Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.8</td>
<td>Student Conduct Website</td>
<td>II.A.1.7</td>
<td>Civic Engagement Accomplishments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.9</td>
<td>Catalog Student Conduct</td>
<td>II.A.1.8</td>
<td>Online Education Distance Learning Addendum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.10</td>
<td>BP 3050</td>
<td>II.A.1.9</td>
<td>Provisional Distance Learning Addendum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.11</td>
<td>AP 3050</td>
<td>II.A.1.10</td>
<td>Correspondence Education Addendum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.12</td>
<td>BP 7360</td>
<td>II.A.1.11</td>
<td>Noncredit Programs and Courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.13</td>
<td>AP 7360</td>
<td>II.A.1.21</td>
<td>Curriculum Committee Handbook 2021 Membership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.14</td>
<td>BP 7365</td>
<td>II.A.1.22</td>
<td>Curriculum Committee Membership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.15</td>
<td>AP 7365</td>
<td>II.A.2.3</td>
<td>Advisory Board Minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.16</td>
<td>CSEA Contract Art 15</td>
<td>II.A.2.4</td>
<td>Fall 2020 Theater Advisory Meeting Minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.17</td>
<td>BP 2715</td>
<td>II.A.2.5</td>
<td>Sample Course Outline of Record ADMJUS 101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.18</td>
<td>Distance Education Handbook</td>
<td>II.A.2.6</td>
<td>Curriculum Committee Audit Trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.19</td>
<td>Canvas Toolbox</td>
<td>II.A.2.7</td>
<td>Starting Proposals eLumen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.8.20</td>
<td>Proctorio</td>
<td>II.A.2.8</td>
<td>New Course Documentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.9.1</td>
<td>BP 4030</td>
<td>II.A.2.9</td>
<td>New Course NC.THTR 060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.9.2</td>
<td>AP 4030</td>
<td>II.A.2.10</td>
<td>IRPIE Data Visualizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.9.3</td>
<td>AAUP’s 1940 Statement</td>
<td>II.A.2.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.9.4</td>
<td>BP 3050</td>
<td>II.A.2.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.9.5</td>
<td>Student Evaluation</td>
<td>II.A.2.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.10.1</td>
<td>BP 5529</td>
<td>II.A.2.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.10.2</td>
<td>BP 3050</td>
<td>II.A.2.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.10.3</td>
<td>AP 3050</td>
<td>II.A.2.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.11.1</td>
<td>Accreditation Website</td>
<td>II.A.2.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.11.2</td>
<td>BP 3200</td>
<td>II.A.2.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.11.3</td>
<td>AP 3200</td>
<td>II.A.2.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.C.12.1</td>
<td>Accreditation Website and Programs</td>
<td>II.A.2.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.11</td>
<td>Tableau Visualizations</td>
<td>II.A.3.6</td>
<td>Completed Assessment Analysis Discussion Items – Action Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.12</td>
<td>Tableau Visualizations</td>
<td>II.A.3.7</td>
<td>Curriculum Committee Handbook SLO Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.13</td>
<td>SLO Prompt Downloads</td>
<td>II.A.3.8</td>
<td>COMS 105 COR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.14</td>
<td>Academic Program Reviews</td>
<td>II.A.3.9</td>
<td>Sample Syllabi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.15</td>
<td>IRPIE Fall Success Retention Visualization</td>
<td>II.A.3.10</td>
<td>Syllabus Recommendations Approved 3.23.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.16</td>
<td>CETL Course Descriptions</td>
<td>II.A.3.11</td>
<td>Synergy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.17</td>
<td>Curriculum Cultural Competency Review</td>
<td>II.A.3.12</td>
<td>Sample Synergy Agendas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.18</td>
<td>English Minutes FIG 4.16.18</td>
<td>II.A.3.13</td>
<td>Adjunct Orientation Instruction PowerPoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.19</td>
<td>AB 705 FIG Presentation</td>
<td>II.A.3.14</td>
<td>Repository of Syllabi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.20</td>
<td>Math FIG Minutes</td>
<td>II.A.4.1</td>
<td>Below College Level Course List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.21</td>
<td>English 101 Training 5-10-19</td>
<td>II.A.4.2</td>
<td>NC.BCSK Pre-College Courses in Catalog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.22</td>
<td>Math Consortium Minutes</td>
<td>II.A.4.3</td>
<td>English Pre-College Courses in Catalog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.23</td>
<td>Bellwether Award</td>
<td>II.A.4.4</td>
<td>Online Schedule Math 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.24</td>
<td>Presentation on Noncredit and Mirroring</td>
<td>II.A.4.5</td>
<td>ESL 090 COR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.25</td>
<td>Mirroring Procedure</td>
<td>II.A.4.6</td>
<td>Math Pre-College Courses Catalog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.26</td>
<td>Example of Mirrored Classes</td>
<td>II.A.4.7</td>
<td>TLC Main Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.27</td>
<td>ESL Conversion Credit-Noncredit</td>
<td>II.A.4.8</td>
<td>GLA Overview TLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.28</td>
<td>COUNS 010 COR</td>
<td>II.A.4.9</td>
<td>Workshop Schedule Fall 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.29</td>
<td>NC.CGSL 004 COR</td>
<td>II.A.4.10</td>
<td>Prewriting Jam Lesson Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.30</td>
<td>THEATR 061 COR</td>
<td>II.A.4.11</td>
<td>DSPS (now AAC) Accommodations Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.31</td>
<td>NC.THTR 061 COR</td>
<td>II.A.4.12</td>
<td>Bellwether Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.2.32</td>
<td>Guided Pathways Updates College Planning Team</td>
<td>II.A.4.13</td>
<td>AB 705 English Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.3.1</td>
<td>COC SLO Handbook Excerpt</td>
<td>II.A.4.14</td>
<td>AB 705 GSP Method Submission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.3.2</td>
<td>SLO Prompt Downloads</td>
<td>II.A.5.1</td>
<td>Curriculum Committee Handbook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.3.3</td>
<td>eLumen Training Faculty Assessment</td>
<td>II.A.5.2</td>
<td>New Course Documentation Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.3.4</td>
<td>Curricular &amp; Assessment Coordinators 2021-2022</td>
<td>II.A.5.3</td>
<td>Curriculum Technical Review Checklist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.3.5</td>
<td>Course Assessment Coordinators Reports</td>
<td>II.A.5.4</td>
<td>Cultural Competency Checklist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
II.A.5.6 Noncredit Program Chart 2021-22 Catalog
II.A.5.7 Degree and Certificates MEA 2021-22 Catalog
II.A.5.8 General Education Requirements 2021-22 Catalog
II.A.5.9 SLO Handbook
II.A.5.10 Curriculum Audit Trail Administration of Justice PSLOs 2021-22 catalog
eLumen Listing Biological Sciences PSLO
II.A.5.13 BP 4020
II.A.5.14 BP 4100
II.A.5.15 BP 4260
II.A.6.1 2020-2021 Instructional Advisory Council Agendas – Schedule Development
II.A.6.2 School Meeting Minutes
II.A.6.3 2019-2020 Schedule of Classes
II.A.6.4 Fall 2019 Schedule Workbook
II.A.6.5 2021-2022 Schedule Build Materials
II.A.6.6 Sample Program Maps
II.A.6.7 Sample Program Mapper Visualization
II.A.6.8 Section Development and Management Principles
II.A.6.9 2021-2022 Full Year Schedule Development Timeline
II.A.6.10 Enrollment Management Inmate Education
II.A.6.11 Dual Enrollment Info
II.A.6.12 Noncredit Programs and Courses
II.A.7.1 Compliance with Commission Policy on DE and CE
II.A.7.2 Distance Education Handbook p.3
II.A.7.3 BUS 201 COR p.4
II.A.7.4 CHEM 201 COR p.5
II.A.7.5 NC.FIRT 001A p.5
II.A.7.6 NC.FIRST 001L p.5
II.A.7.7 CHEM 151 with DLA p.18
II.A.7.8 LETECH 140 with DLA p.13
II.A.7.9 NC.MATH 007 with DLA p.10
II.A.7.10 SOCI 101 with DLA p.14
II.A.7.11 Distance Education Handbook p.4
II.A.7.12 Agenda Academic Senate 5.7.20 DE&CE Addendum p.15
II.A.7.13 Agenda Academic Senate 4.23.20 DE&CE Addendum p.50
II.A.7.14 COC Correspondence Addendum SOCI 104
II.A.7.15 COC Correspondence Addendum AUTECH 114
II.A.7.16 COC Correspondence Addendum PSYCH 101
II.A.7.17 Curriculum Committee Agenda 04-15-2021 CE&CD Addendum Items p.3&4
II.A.7.18 GEOLOGY 102 Provisional DLA
II.A.7.19 GMD 177 Provisional DLAs
II.A.7.20 PHOTO Labs Provisional DLA
II.A.7.21 Online-OnlineLIVE-Definition p.2
II.A.7.22 Academic Senate Policy Online & OnlineLIVE Instructor Certification Requirements
II.A.7.23 COC Distance Education Handbook p.6
II.A.7.24 Incarcerated Education Faculty Training & Orientation
II.A.7.25 Canvas Template for Online Classes
<p>| II.A.7.26  | Professional Development Workshop Calendar | II.A.8.7  | AR 071 Credit for Prior Learning |
| II.A.7.27  | Academic Senate Evidence | II.A.8.8  | 2020-21 Academic Year Total CPL Units Awarded |
| II.A.7.28  | Student Equity &amp; Achievement (SEA) Plan, April 2019 p.4 | II.A.8.9  | Survey Monkey – Program Survey for CPL |
| II.A.7.29  | Tableau Data | II.A.9.1  | Curriculum Handbook |
| II.A.7.30  | Program Review Tableau Visualization | II.A.9.2  | CHEM 255 COR |
| II.A.7.31  | Curriculum Cultural Competency Review | II.A.9.3  | ECE 102 COR |
| II.A.7.32  | CETL | II.A.9.4  | NC.ESL 2B COR |
| II.A.7.33  | Culturally Responsive Teaching Handbook | II.A.9.5  | SLO Expected Performance Measures |
| II.A.7.34  | Incarcerated Ed Orientation Document | II.A.9.6  | SLO Prompt in Program Review |
| II.A.7.35  | Teaching Strategies for Incarcerated Correspondence Ed | II.A.9.7  | Data Coaching Email &amp; Flyer |
| II.A.7.36  | Teaching Incarcerated Ed Infographic | II.A.9.8  | SLO to PSLO Map |
| II.A.7.37  | SEA Plan | II.A.9.9  | SLO Faculty Manual Pg 6-7 |
| II.A.7.38  | Multicultural Center | II.A.9.10 | SLO Review |
| II.A.7.39  | Canyon Completes &amp; Program Mapper Update | II.A.9.11 | SLO Handbook |
| II.A.7.40  | Welcome to Online Student Support and Resources | II.A.9.12 | Curriculum Handbook |
| II.A.7.41  | Study Hall Appointments | II.A.9.13 | ENG 103 COR |
| II.A.7.42  | Laptop Lending Program | II.A.9.14 | CHEM 255 Syllabus |
| II.A.7.43  | AB 705 Presentation for FLEX | II.A.9.15 | ENG 103 Syllabus |
| II.A.7.44  | Retention Success | II.A.9.16 | NC.ESL 2B Syllabus |
| II.A.7.45  | English Department Data | II.A.9.17 | Music Department CSLOs and PSLOs in Catalog |
| II.A.7.46  | Bellwether Presentation | II.A.9.18 | BP 4230 |
| II.A.8.1   | ESS 20-300-001 Credit for Prior Learning | II.A.9.20 | AP 4020 |
| II.A.8.2   | Title 5 555050 Credit for Prior Learning Regulations | II.A.10.1 | ADTs |
| II.A.8.3   | BP 4235 | II.A.10.2 | CHEM C-ID |
| II.A.8.4   | AP 4235 | II.A.10.3 | Courses with a C-ID Designation |
| II.A.8.5   | Credit for Prior Learning Regulation Changes | II.A.10.4 | ASSIST Website |
| II.A.8.6   | Credit for Prior Learning Webpage | II.A.10.5 | Biology Major Articulation with UCSB |
| II.A.10.1  | University Center | II.A.10.6 | Chemistry Major Articulation w/ CSUN |
| II.A.10.2  | High School Articulation | II.A.10.7 | BP 4050 |
| II.A.10.3  | | II.A.10.8 | AP 4050 |
| II.A.10.4  | | II.A.10.9 | |
| II.A.10.5  | | II.A.10.10 | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II.A.10.11</th>
<th>Transcript Evaluation Catalog</th>
<th>II.A.10.12</th>
<th>Transcript Evaluation Request</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>II.A.10.13</td>
<td>Counseling Services</td>
<td>II.A.10.14</td>
<td>Transcript Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.10.15</td>
<td>Degree Customization Form</td>
<td>II.A.10.16</td>
<td>Counseling Department and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Counseling Center Articulation Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.10.17</td>
<td>Transfer Resources</td>
<td>II.A.10.18</td>
<td>Transfer Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.11.1</td>
<td>College Catalog 2021-22 pg 26, col. 1</td>
<td>II.A.11.2</td>
<td>College Catalog 2021-22 pg 26-27, col. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.11.3</td>
<td>Institutional Learning</td>
<td>II.A.11.4</td>
<td>GE to ISLO Mapping to Print</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.11.5</td>
<td>College Catalog 2021-22 pg 59, col. 2</td>
<td>II.A.11.6</td>
<td>Program Map pg 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.11.7</td>
<td>Program Mapper Website</td>
<td>II.A.11.8</td>
<td>College Catalog 2021-22 pg 302, col. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.11.9</td>
<td>New Course Documentation Form</td>
<td>II.A.12.1</td>
<td>BP 4025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.12.1</td>
<td>Curriculum Committee Meeting Agenda 5.3.18</td>
<td>II.A.12.2</td>
<td>AP 4025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.12.3</td>
<td>Local General Education Requirements in 2021-22 catalog</td>
<td>II.A.12.4</td>
<td>2021-22 Local General Education Advising Sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.12.5</td>
<td>Curriculum Committee Meeting Agenda 5.24.18</td>
<td>II.A.12.6</td>
<td>Curriculum Committee Meeting Agenda 5.16.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.12.7</td>
<td>Curriculum Committee Meeting Agenda 5.14.20</td>
<td>II.A.12.8</td>
<td>Curriculum Committee Meeting Agenda 5.13.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.12.9</td>
<td>Curriculum Committee Meeting Agenda 5.14.20</td>
<td>II.A.13.1</td>
<td>Industry Sectors Pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.13.2</td>
<td>Catalog ASL PSLO Example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.13.3</td>
<td>Applied Tech Program Mapper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.13.4</td>
<td>Water Tech Sample Program Map</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.13.5</td>
<td>Sociology Catalog Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.13.6</td>
<td>Biology Catalog Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.13.7</td>
<td>Catalog Biological Sciences AS-T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.13.8</td>
<td>Curriculum Handbook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.13.9</td>
<td>CA Code Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.13.10</td>
<td>CNEA Self-Study Nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.13.11</td>
<td>Catalog List of Instructional Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.13.12</td>
<td>CCCC0 SWP Launchpad Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.13.13</td>
<td>COC Fast Facts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.13.14</td>
<td>CCCC0 Program &amp; Course Approval Handbook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.13.15</td>
<td>COC Fast Facts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.13.16</td>
<td>Canyons Completes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.14.1</td>
<td>Career Tree Water Tech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.14.2</td>
<td>Career Tree Criminal Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.14.3</td>
<td>Auto Tech Advisory Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.14.4</td>
<td>Commercial Music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.14.5</td>
<td>Water Advisory Minutes Sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.14.6</td>
<td>Program Viability Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.14.7</td>
<td>ACCJC 2021 Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.14.8</td>
<td>LaunchBoard Strong Workforce Trends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.A.14.9</td>
<td>Curriculum Handbook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master List of Evidence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.14.11</td>
<td>Sample Fire Tech COR</td>
<td>II.A.16.2</td>
<td>Comprehensive Integrative Planning Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.14.12</td>
<td>Approved Sabbatical</td>
<td>II.A.16.3</td>
<td>PR Committee Operating Procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.14.13</td>
<td>Faculty Professional Development and Accreditation</td>
<td>II.A.16.4</td>
<td>Administrative Program Planning &amp; Review Year One 18-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.14.15</td>
<td>HPPS Org Chart with Directors</td>
<td>II.A.16.6</td>
<td>Academic Program Planning Review 2019-20 Administration of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.14.18</td>
<td>EMT Approval Status 2022</td>
<td>II.A.16.9</td>
<td>Create Dialogue for Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.14.19</td>
<td>Forced Costs Funded HPPS Sample</td>
<td>II.A.16.10</td>
<td>How Do I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.14.20</td>
<td>LaunchBoard Strong Workforce Trends</td>
<td>II.A.16.11</td>
<td>Develop an Assessment Rubric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.14.22</td>
<td>Water Advisory Meeting Minutes</td>
<td>II.A.16.13</td>
<td>Library website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.14.23</td>
<td>Auto Advisory Meeting Minutes</td>
<td>II.A.16.14</td>
<td>TLC Website Homepage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.14.24</td>
<td>Commercial Music Advisory Minutes</td>
<td>II.A.16.15</td>
<td>TLC Website About Us</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.14.25</td>
<td>ACCJC 2021 Annual Report</td>
<td>II.A.16.16</td>
<td>Bringing Library into Online Instruction 08.12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.14.26</td>
<td>LaunchBoard</td>
<td>II.A.16.17</td>
<td>Library Instruction Tracking Form Spring 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.15.1</td>
<td>BP 4021</td>
<td>II.A.16.18</td>
<td>Library Instruction Tracking Form Fall 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.15.2</td>
<td>AP 4021</td>
<td>II.A.16.19</td>
<td>MLA and APA on Commons 10.22.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.15.3</td>
<td>Program Initiation and Modification Form Spring 2021</td>
<td>II.B.1.1</td>
<td>Library instruction request form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.15.4</td>
<td>Sports Medicine AS Degree Discontinuance Narrative</td>
<td>II.B.1.2</td>
<td>Library Instruction Tracking Spring 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.15.5</td>
<td>Program Discontinuance Form Spring 2021</td>
<td>II.B.1.3</td>
<td>Library Instruction Tracking Fall 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.15.6</td>
<td>PV Committee Summary 3.18.21 Solar &amp; Sport Medicine Discontinuance Form</td>
<td>II.B.1.4</td>
<td>MLA and APA on Commons 10.22.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.15.7</td>
<td>Major Course Substitution Form REVISED 12-2020</td>
<td>II.B.1.5</td>
<td>Fall 2020 FLEX Calendar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.A.15.8</td>
<td>Catalog Rights</td>
<td>II.B.1.6</td>
<td>New Employee Orientation Agenda 09.16.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.1</td>
<td>College Catalog Library and TLC Information</td>
<td>II.B.1.38</td>
<td>TLC Website Chemistry Review Jam Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.2</td>
<td>TLC YouTube Informative Video</td>
<td>II.B.1.39</td>
<td>TLC Student Resource Guide Handout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.3</td>
<td>College Faculty Handbook</td>
<td>II.B.1.40</td>
<td>TLC Welcome Day Table Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.4</td>
<td>College New Employee Orientation Agenda 09.16.20</td>
<td>II.B.1.41</td>
<td>TLC Welcome Letter Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.5</td>
<td>Math Department Meeting Agenda 11.06.20</td>
<td>II.B.1.42</td>
<td>TLC Class Visit Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.6</td>
<td>Humanities Meeting Agenda 10.05.20</td>
<td>II.B.1.43</td>
<td>TLC Tour Guide Instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.7</td>
<td>TLC Faculty Training Presentation Document</td>
<td>II.B.1.44</td>
<td>TLC College Student Newsletter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.8</td>
<td>TLC Email to Faculty</td>
<td>II.B.1.45</td>
<td>TLC Textbook Insert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.9</td>
<td>TLC Flyer</td>
<td>II.B.1.46</td>
<td>TLC Digital Marquee Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.10</td>
<td>TLC Infographic Flyer</td>
<td>II.B.1.47</td>
<td>TLC Website Online Live Zoom Tutoring Instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.11</td>
<td>Library Questions Data Fall 2020 12.11.20</td>
<td>II.B.1.48</td>
<td>TLC Website Canvas Support Instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.12</td>
<td>Library Chat Service</td>
<td>II.B.1.49</td>
<td>TLC Emergency Preparedness Drill Information Sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.13</td>
<td>Ask a Library Chat Service</td>
<td>II.B.1.50</td>
<td>TLC Website Testing Center Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.14</td>
<td>Chat Reference 12.11.20</td>
<td>II.B.2.1</td>
<td>Library policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.15</td>
<td>Collection by Library of Congress Classification</td>
<td>II.B.2.2</td>
<td>Library Retreat Agenda 08.18.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.16</td>
<td>Age of Collection 2021</td>
<td>II.B.2.3</td>
<td>Library Retreats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.17</td>
<td>Titles Added by Fiscal Year</td>
<td>II.B.2.4</td>
<td>Librarian Meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.18</td>
<td>Titles Deleted by Fiscal Year</td>
<td>II.B.2.5</td>
<td>Database survey (Fall 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.19</td>
<td>Research Module Canvas Commons 04.27.20</td>
<td>II.B.2.6</td>
<td>Databases Survey Comments Fall 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.20</td>
<td>Library YouTube channel with instructional videos</td>
<td>II.B.2.7</td>
<td>Collected Feedback on Database Trial 11.18.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.21</td>
<td>TLC Website Hours and Locations Overview</td>
<td>II.B.2.8</td>
<td>Nursing Databases Trial Invitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.22</td>
<td>TLC Website GLA Overview</td>
<td>II.B.2.9</td>
<td>Annual Library Data Survey 2019-2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.23</td>
<td>TLC Website English GLA Information</td>
<td>II.B.2.10</td>
<td>TLC Staff Meeting Email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.24</td>
<td>TLC Website Math GLA Information</td>
<td>II.B.2.11</td>
<td>TLC Shelter-In-Place Drill Meeting Agenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.25</td>
<td>TLC Website Workshop Information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.1.26</td>
<td>TLC Website Math Review Jam Information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master List of Evidence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.12</td>
<td>TLC-Library-Assessment-Culinary Internal Emergency Preparedness Drill</td>
<td>II.B.3.3</td>
<td>Display Responses 12.03.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.13</td>
<td>TLC Employees and Academic Integrity Document</td>
<td>II.B.3.4</td>
<td>Circulation Data Fiscal Year 2019-present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.14</td>
<td>TLC Retention and Success Analysis</td>
<td>II.B.3.5</td>
<td>TLC Tutoring Hours Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.15</td>
<td>TLC Computer Use Rules and Guidelines Training Document</td>
<td>II.B.3.6</td>
<td>TLC Academic Year Totals Email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.16</td>
<td>TLC Website Contact Us Information</td>
<td>II.B.3.7</td>
<td>TLC Veteran Use Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.17</td>
<td>TLC Athletic Coordinator Job Information</td>
<td>II.B.3.8</td>
<td>TLC Student Athlete Academic Mentor Survey Email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.18</td>
<td>Learning Resources Meeting Agenda</td>
<td>II.B.3.9</td>
<td>English 089 Tutoring Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.19</td>
<td>TLC Diversity and Sexual Harassment Training Information</td>
<td>II.B.3.10</td>
<td>College 9th Annual Student Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.20</td>
<td>TLC Fire Information Document</td>
<td>II.B.3.11</td>
<td>TLC Survey Results Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.21</td>
<td>TLC and Campus Wide Shelter-in-Place Drill Information</td>
<td>II.B.3.12</td>
<td>College Employee Staff Meeting Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.22</td>
<td>TLC Customer Service Checklist</td>
<td>II.B.3.13</td>
<td>TLC 4-Week Internal Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.23</td>
<td>TLC Employee Training Check Sheet</td>
<td>II.B.3.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.24</td>
<td>TLC Receptionist Common Questions and Answers Document</td>
<td>II.B.4.1</td>
<td>BP 6340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.25</td>
<td>TLC Faculty-Staff Survey</td>
<td>II.B.4.2</td>
<td>AP 6340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.26</td>
<td>TLC Computer Inventory Update</td>
<td>II.B.4.3</td>
<td>BP 6365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.27</td>
<td>TLC ZTC Textbook Inventory List</td>
<td>II.B.4.4</td>
<td>AP 6365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.28</td>
<td>TLC Emergency Supply List</td>
<td>II.B.4.5</td>
<td>FX Membership Agreement Community College League of California 06.30.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.29</td>
<td>IT TLC Maintenance Report</td>
<td>II.B.4.6</td>
<td>FX Subscriber License Agreement Intelecom 06.30.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.2.30</td>
<td>Adobe Product Email</td>
<td>II.B.4.7</td>
<td>FX Master Services Agreement OCLC, Inc. 06.30.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.3.1</td>
<td>Library Program Review</td>
<td>II.B.4.8</td>
<td>FX Service Agreement Compendium Library Services, LLC 01.31.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.B.3.2</td>
<td>Library Instruction Survey Results Fall 2019</td>
<td>II.C.1.1</td>
<td>Student Services Departments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.1.2</td>
<td>College Success Toolkit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.1.3</td>
<td>2016 Grant Award</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.1.4</td>
<td>My Academic Plan (MAP) Brochure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.1.5</td>
<td>PDC Contract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.1.6</td>
<td>CRDF Approval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.1.7</td>
<td>CCAP Agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.1.8</td>
<td>SEA Executive Summary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.1.9</td>
<td>SEA and Local Goal Setting PowerPoint</td>
<td>II.C.5.17</td>
<td>Canyons Connects Email Workflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.1.10</td>
<td>Scale of Adoption 2021</td>
<td>II.C.5.18</td>
<td>Canyons Connects Workflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.2.1</td>
<td>Alliances</td>
<td>II.C.5.19</td>
<td>Canyons Promise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.2.2</td>
<td>Multicultural Center</td>
<td>II.C.5.20</td>
<td>DSPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.2.3</td>
<td>Basic Needs Center</td>
<td>II.C.5.21</td>
<td>EOPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.2.4</td>
<td>ASG Legacy Projects</td>
<td>II.C.5.22</td>
<td>Guided Pathways Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.2.5</td>
<td>Local goals</td>
<td>II.C.5.23</td>
<td>RISE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.3.1</td>
<td>Student Services Departments</td>
<td>II.C.5.24</td>
<td>MESA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.3.2</td>
<td>Ask Canyons</td>
<td>II.C.5.25</td>
<td>Transfer Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.3.3</td>
<td>The Learning Center</td>
<td>II.C.5.26</td>
<td>VA Abbreviated Semester Educational Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.3.4</td>
<td>Student Resource Guide</td>
<td>II.C.5.27</td>
<td>Veteran Resource Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.3.5</td>
<td>Ask Me</td>
<td>II.C.5.28</td>
<td>ISP Student Orient. 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.3.6</td>
<td>College Catalog 2021-22 p 41-54</td>
<td>II.C.5.29</td>
<td>Welcome Day Behavioral Intervention Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.4.1</td>
<td>BP 5400</td>
<td>II.C.5.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.4.2</td>
<td>BP 5517</td>
<td>II.C.5.31</td>
<td>Health and Wellness Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.4.3</td>
<td>ASG</td>
<td>II.C.6.1</td>
<td>BP 5010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.4.4</td>
<td>Clubs and Organizations</td>
<td>II.C.6.2</td>
<td>Admissions Policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.4.5</td>
<td>Equity Minded Practitioners</td>
<td>II.C.6.3</td>
<td>International Student Admissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.4.6</td>
<td>Alliances</td>
<td>II.C.6.4</td>
<td>Early College Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.4.7</td>
<td>Athletics</td>
<td>II.C.6.5</td>
<td>BP 5015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.4.8</td>
<td>CCCAA</td>
<td>II.C.6.6</td>
<td>Nursing Admissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.4.9</td>
<td>Western State Conference</td>
<td>II.C.6.7</td>
<td>MLT Admissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.4.10</td>
<td>Athletic Counseling</td>
<td>II.C.6.8</td>
<td>Program Maps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.4.11</td>
<td>Sports Medicine</td>
<td>II.C.6.9</td>
<td>General Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.4.12</td>
<td>Form R-4</td>
<td>II.C.6.10</td>
<td>TAG Agreements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.4.13</td>
<td>EADA Report</td>
<td>II.C.6.11</td>
<td>Academic Advising Videos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.4.14</td>
<td>WSC Program Review</td>
<td>II.C.7.1</td>
<td>BP 5053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.5.1</td>
<td>BP 510</td>
<td>II.C.7.2</td>
<td>Equity Implications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.5.2</td>
<td>Faculty and Staff</td>
<td>II.C.7.3</td>
<td>AB 705 GSP Submission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.5.3</td>
<td>Counseling Training</td>
<td>II.C.7.4</td>
<td>2015 – Research Brief 78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.5.4</td>
<td>CGA Training</td>
<td>II.C.7.5</td>
<td>Placement Study Math 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.5.5</td>
<td>Counseling Training weekly</td>
<td>II.C.7.6</td>
<td>Placement Study English 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.5.6</td>
<td>SARS</td>
<td>II.C.7.7</td>
<td>English Disjunctive Placement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.5.7</td>
<td>Orientation and Advising</td>
<td>II.C.7.8</td>
<td>FLEX presentation – AB 705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.5.8</td>
<td>Academic Advising</td>
<td>II.C.7.9</td>
<td>AB 705 Coordinators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.5.9</td>
<td>Program Map Business</td>
<td>II.C.7.10</td>
<td>Bellwether Award</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.5.10</td>
<td>Program Map AA Coms</td>
<td>II.C.7.11</td>
<td>AB 705 Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.5.11</td>
<td>Graduation Email</td>
<td>II.C.8.1</td>
<td>BP &amp; AP 5040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.5.12</td>
<td>Academic Standing</td>
<td>II.C.8.2</td>
<td>BP 3310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.5.13</td>
<td>Athletics Counseling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.5.14</td>
<td>CalWORKs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.5.15</td>
<td>Canyons Connects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.5.16</td>
<td>Canyons Connects Faculty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.8.3</td>
<td>Student Records</td>
<td>III.A.2.2</td>
<td>Minimum Qualifications for Faculty and Administrators in California Community Colleges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.8.4</td>
<td>Annual Clery and FERPA Notice</td>
<td>III.A.2.3</td>
<td>AP 7120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.8.5</td>
<td>FERPA Regulations</td>
<td>III.A.2.4</td>
<td>Online Instructor Qualifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.8.6</td>
<td>Confidentiality Statement</td>
<td>III.A.2.5</td>
<td>Academic Senate Approval Spring 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.8.7</td>
<td>FERPA Training</td>
<td>III.A.2.6</td>
<td>Summary Academic Senate Special Emergency Meeting 3.13.20 p.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.C.8.8</td>
<td>Information Security Training Program</td>
<td>III.A.2.7</td>
<td>Nursing Instructor Job Announcement p.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.1.2</td>
<td>AP 3100</td>
<td>III.A.2.9</td>
<td>COCFA Appendix I: Guide for Self-Evaluation Tenured and Tenure Track Faculty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.1.3</td>
<td>Organizational Chart - SAMPLE</td>
<td>III.A.2.10</td>
<td>AP 7121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.1.4</td>
<td>BP 1200</td>
<td>III.A.2.11</td>
<td>Part-time Film Studies/Cinema Instructor Applicant Pool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.1.5</td>
<td>Administrative Program Review HR 2019-20 SAMPLE</td>
<td>III.A.2.12</td>
<td>Part-time Photography-Commercial Instructor Applicant Pool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.1.6</td>
<td>Strategic Plan 2019-2022</td>
<td>III.A.2.13</td>
<td>AFT contract Article 8 – Evaluations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.1.7</td>
<td>Educational and Facilities Master Plan 2016-22 SAMPLE HR</td>
<td>III.A.2.14</td>
<td>Administrator Job Announcements AB 1725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.1.8</td>
<td>Personnel Requisition Form</td>
<td>III.A.2.15</td>
<td>Sample Job Announcements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.1.9</td>
<td>Decision Making at College of the Canyons - Appendix E p. 145</td>
<td>III.A.2.16</td>
<td>National Association of Credential Evaluation Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.1.10</td>
<td>BP 7120</td>
<td>III.A.2.17</td>
<td>AP 7120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.1.11</td>
<td>AP 7120</td>
<td>III.A.2.18</td>
<td>BP 7210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.1.12</td>
<td>Sample Job Descriptions - Various</td>
<td>III.A.2.19</td>
<td>AFT Appendix B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.1.13</td>
<td>Goals Fact Book p. 9</td>
<td>III.A.2.20</td>
<td>COCFA Appendix G-9 Classroom Visitation Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.1.14</td>
<td>FTIF Boilerplate Job Announcement - SAMPLE</td>
<td>III.A.2.21</td>
<td>COCFA Appendix G-5 Online/Hybrid Visitation Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.1.16</td>
<td>Minimum Qualifications Handbook – California Community Colleges p.10 - 27</td>
<td>III.A.2.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.1.17</td>
<td>EEO Multiple Method Certificate p. 7-8</td>
<td>III.A.2.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.1.18</td>
<td>Decision Making at College of the Canyons – Growth p. 15-20</td>
<td>III.A.2.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.2.1</td>
<td>BP 7120</td>
<td>III.A.2.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.5.6</td>
<td>COCFA Appendix G-5 Online/Hybrid Visitation Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.5.7</td>
<td>COCFA Appendix G-5 Online/Hybrid Visitation Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.5.8</td>
<td>CSEA Article 13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.5.9</td>
<td>CSEA Appendix C: Classified Staff Performance Evaluation Form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.5.10</td>
<td>Confidential Classified Employees Group MOU with the SCCCD 2017-2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.5.11</td>
<td>Classified Staff Performance Evaluation Form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.5.12</td>
<td>Administrator Self-Evaluation Forms 2015-2016 to 2020-2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.7.1</td>
<td>BP 7120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.7.2</td>
<td>AP 7120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.7.3</td>
<td>2019-2020 Program Review Chemistry Fall 2020 and Spring 2021 ASC recommendations Academic Staffing memo 042121 Chancellor 2020-2021 Adopted Budget PowerPoint Final with State Revenue Slide 11 FON FON Fall 2011 to Fall 2020 IPEDS Student Faculty Ratio Fa15-Fa19 2020 ASC Request Form Counselor A2MEND p.2 Full-time Faculty List with Doctorate as of 04.28.21 Adjunct and Noncredit Faculty Spring 2021 Fact Book Figure 24 Staffing Increases SERP Board Approval 02.12.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.8.1</td>
<td>Adjunct Instructor New Hire Checklist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.8.2</td>
<td>Adjunct Orientation Agendas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.8.3</td>
<td>Adjunct Handbook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.8.4</td>
<td>AP 7120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.8.5</td>
<td>Article 12.K of COCFA Collective Bargaining Agreement – Department Chairs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.8.6</td>
<td>Article 8 Evaluations, AFT Collective Bargaining Agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.8.7</td>
<td>Article 19 Professional Development, AFT Collective Bargaining Agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.8.8</td>
<td>Professional Development Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.8.9</td>
<td>Skilled Teaching Certificate Program Information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.8.10</td>
<td>Adjunct Professional Development records of participation 2017 to present CPC membership list (IE²) Committee Membership List EMP committee membership list EEO Advisory Committee membership list Appendix G, District Compensated Committees, AFT Collective Bargaining Agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.8.11</td>
<td>Appendix G, District Compensated Committees, AFT Collective Bargaining Agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.8.12</td>
<td>BP 7230</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.8.13</td>
<td>CSEA Collective Bargaining Agreement – Appendix B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.8.14</td>
<td>Appendix F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.8.15</td>
<td>Appendix F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.9.1</td>
<td>Program Review Samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.9.2</td>
<td>Decision Making Guide – Appendix E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.9.3</td>
<td>Decision Making Guide – Appendix F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.9.4</td>
<td>BP 7230</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.9.5</td>
<td>CSEA Collective Bargaining Agreement – Appendix B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.10.1</td>
<td>BP 2430</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.10.2</td>
<td>AP 7120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.10.3</td>
<td>Sample Administrator position announcements</td>
<td>III.A.15.5</td>
<td>Education Code 87031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.10.4</td>
<td>BP 1200</td>
<td>III.B.1.1</td>
<td>Injury &amp; Illness Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.10.5</td>
<td>LEAP Review Book</td>
<td>III.B.1.2</td>
<td>Keenan &amp; Associates Inspection Summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.11.1</td>
<td>BP &amp; AP 2410</td>
<td>III.B.1.3</td>
<td>Fire Inspection Reports 2018-2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.11.3</td>
<td>College Policy Council Procedures</td>
<td>III.B.1.5</td>
<td>Chemical Hygiene Program Educational and Facilities Master Plan 2016-2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.11.4</td>
<td>Master Policy chart with review groups</td>
<td>III.B.1.6</td>
<td>Decision Making Guide 2021 (Pages 26-29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.11.5</td>
<td>List of policies signed by new hires</td>
<td>III.B.1.7</td>
<td>Decision Making Guide 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.11.6</td>
<td>AP 7280</td>
<td>III.B.1.8</td>
<td>DSA Project numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.12.1</td>
<td>BP &amp; AP 3410</td>
<td>III.B.1.9</td>
<td>Tamis Work Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.12.2</td>
<td>BP &amp; AP 3420</td>
<td>III.B.1.10</td>
<td>Strategic Plan 2019-2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.12.3</td>
<td>BP &amp; AP 3430</td>
<td>III.B.1.11</td>
<td>Decision Making Guide 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.12.4</td>
<td>AP 3435</td>
<td>III.B.1.12</td>
<td>Educational and Facilities Master Plan 2016-2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.12.5</td>
<td>BP &amp; AP 3440</td>
<td>III.B.1.13</td>
<td>Annual Program Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.12.6</td>
<td>BP 7100</td>
<td>III.B.1.14</td>
<td>Educational &amp; Facilities Master Plan 2016-22 pg 355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.12.7</td>
<td>BP 1200</td>
<td>III.B.1.15</td>
<td>Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan 2016-2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.12.8</td>
<td>EEO Plan June 2019</td>
<td>III.B.1.16</td>
<td>Five Year Capital Outlay Plan 2017-2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.12.9</td>
<td>EEO Plan October 2015</td>
<td>III.B.1.17</td>
<td>Five Year Capital Outlay Plan 2018-2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.13.1</td>
<td>BP 3050</td>
<td>III.B.1.18</td>
<td>Five Year Capital Outlay Plan 2019-2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.13.2</td>
<td>AP 3050</td>
<td>III.B.1.19</td>
<td>Five Year Capital Outlay Plan 2020-2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.14.2</td>
<td>Spring 2021 FLEX Booklet</td>
<td>III.B.1.21</td>
<td>Five Year Capital Outlay Plan 2022-2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.14.3</td>
<td>Classified PD Week 2021 Booklet</td>
<td>III.B.1.22</td>
<td>Educational and Facilities Master Plan 2012-2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.14.5</td>
<td>Needs Assessment Survey Results 2020-21</td>
<td>III.B.2.1</td>
<td>Facilities Planning Committee Agenda 12.5.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.14.6</td>
<td>Sample Program Assessment</td>
<td>III.B.2.2</td>
<td>Facilities Planning Committee Agenda 4.13.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.14.7</td>
<td>Professional Development Program Review</td>
<td>III.B.2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.15.1</td>
<td>AP 7145</td>
<td>III.B.2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.15.2</td>
<td>CSEA Article 11 Personnel Files</td>
<td>III.B.2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.15.3</td>
<td>COCFA Article 9 Personnel Files</td>
<td>III.B.2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.15.4</td>
<td>AFT Article 7 Personnel Files</td>
<td>III.B.2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master List of Evidence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.2.4</td>
<td>Facilities Planning Committee Minutes 12.5.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.2.5</td>
<td>Facilities Planning Committee Minutes 4.13.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.2.6</td>
<td>Five-Year Construction/Capital Outlay Plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.2.7</td>
<td>Biodiversity Initiative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.2.8</td>
<td>Board Approval of ADA Plan 10.13.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.2.9</td>
<td>ADA Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.2.10</td>
<td>Furniture/Equipment Request</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.3.1</td>
<td>Space Inventory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.3.2</td>
<td>Five-Year Construction/Capital Outlay Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.3.3</td>
<td>Educational and Facilities Master Plan 2016-2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.3.4</td>
<td>Program Review 2018-2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.3.5</td>
<td>AP 4021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.3.6</td>
<td>Forced Cost Evaluations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.4.1</td>
<td>Strategic Plan 2019-2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.4.2</td>
<td>Educational Master Plan 2016-2022 (Pages 23-354)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.4.3</td>
<td>Facilities Master Plan 2016-22 pg 356-463</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.4.4</td>
<td>Five-Year Construction/Capital Outlay Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.4.5</td>
<td>Five-Year Scheduled Maintenance Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.4.6</td>
<td>Measure E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.4.7</td>
<td>Facilities Budget Fiscal Year 2015-2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.B.4.8</td>
<td>Master Construction Specifications 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.1</td>
<td>Information Technology Organizational Chart</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.2</td>
<td>Online Education Organizational Chart</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.3</td>
<td>Program Planning &amp; Review Home Page</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.4</td>
<td>Technology Committee Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.5</td>
<td>Technology Committee Meeting Minutes Approving Meeting Schedule for 2021-2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.6</td>
<td>District Mission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.7</td>
<td>District Strategic Goals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.8</td>
<td>Technology Committee Minutes on TMP Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.9</td>
<td>Technology Master Plan 2011-2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.10</td>
<td>Technology Master Plan 2017-2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.11</td>
<td>Colleague Standup Committee Intranet Site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.12</td>
<td>Colleague Standup Membership List</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.13</td>
<td>Colleague Standup Meeting Schedule</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.14</td>
<td>Web Committee Intranet Committee Site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.15</td>
<td>Educational Technology Committee Intranet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.16</td>
<td>Technology Master Plan Section on Standardization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.17</td>
<td>List of Supported Software &amp; Software Licenses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.18</td>
<td>Educational Technology Agendas with Demonstrations &amp; New Technology Discussions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.19</td>
<td>Technology Master Plan Equipment Replacement Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.20</td>
<td>District IT Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.21</td>
<td>Purchasing Handbook IT Approval Requirements P46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.22</td>
<td>Teams Site or Tracking Spreadsheet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.23</td>
<td>Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.24</td>
<td>IT Hours and Office Locations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.25</td>
<td>Help Desk Contact Cards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.26</td>
<td>Trackit Report of 2020-21 Support Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.27</td>
<td>Network Equipment Summary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Evidence Category</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.28</td>
<td>Valencia &amp; Canyon Country Technology Centers</td>
<td>III.C.4.3 Professional Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.29</td>
<td>2021 Summer Technology Institute</td>
<td>III.C.4.4 PowerPoint Presentation for New Employee Orientation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.1.30</td>
<td>Co-Location Agreement</td>
<td>III.C.4.5 Workshops &amp; Trainings by Online Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.2.1</td>
<td>IT Equipment Inventory Sample</td>
<td>III.C.4.6 List of resources Offered by Online Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.2.2</td>
<td>Technology Master Plan Replacement Cycle</td>
<td>III.C.4.7 List of Programs of Study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.2.3</td>
<td>Technology Master Plan Computer Lab Replacement Schedule</td>
<td>III.C.4.8 List of Technology Trainings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.2.4</td>
<td>Fact Book 2020-21 pg 53</td>
<td>III.C.4.9 IT FAQ Website</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.2.5</td>
<td>Funding Available for Innovation Email</td>
<td>III.C.4.10 Cybersecurity Training Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.2.6</td>
<td>Technology Innovation Funded Projects 2014-18</td>
<td>III.C.4.11 PoweredOn Newsletter Sample</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.2.7</td>
<td>Innovative Idea Flyer</td>
<td>III.C.4.12 Online Education Student Support Site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.2.8</td>
<td>Let’s Talk Innovative Ideas Email</td>
<td>III.C.5.1 BP 3720</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.2.9</td>
<td>Innovative Ideas Funded 2018-19</td>
<td>III.C.5.2 AP 3720</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.2.10</td>
<td>Measure E Report 2019-20</td>
<td>III.C.5.3 Confidentiality Statement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.2.11</td>
<td>2021 Information Technology Satisfaction Survey</td>
<td>III.C.5.4 Academic Senate Minutes on Online Instructor Qualifications approval</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.3.1</td>
<td>Launch Page for CanyonsID Applications</td>
<td>III.C.5.5 Educational Technology Committee Minutes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.3.2</td>
<td>System Security Administrator Job Description</td>
<td>III.C.5.6 BP 3721</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.3.3</td>
<td>BlackBoard Connect Home Screen</td>
<td>III.C.5.7 AP 3721</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.3.4</td>
<td>Sample AlertUs Pop Up Window</td>
<td>III.C.5.8 Board Presentation on Cybersecurity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.3.5</td>
<td>BP 3535</td>
<td>III.D.1.1 SCCCD Adopted Budget 2020/21 Revenue/Expenditures by Object</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.3.6</td>
<td>AP 3535</td>
<td>III.D.1.2 COC Program Planning and Review 2018-21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.4.1</td>
<td>Professional Development Needs Assessment Survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.C.4.2</td>
<td>Professional Development Summer Technology Institute Workshop List</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.1.4</td>
<td>SCCCD Financial Statements – 6.30.2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.1.5</td>
<td>COC Foundation Financial Statements – 6.30.2020/19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.1.7</td>
<td>SCCCD CCC System Sound Fiscal Management Self-Assessment Checklist Fiscal Year 2018/19, Fiscal Year 2019/20, Mid-Year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.1</td>
<td>Strategic Plan 2019-22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.2</td>
<td>SCCCD CCC 2016-22 Educational and Facilities Master Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.3</td>
<td>SCCCD 2022-26 Five Year Construction Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.4</td>
<td>Technology Master Plan 2017-22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.5</td>
<td>Enrollment Management Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.6</td>
<td>Program Planning and Review 2018-21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.7</td>
<td>SCCCD 2020/21 Tentative Budget Expenditures by Activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.8</td>
<td>SCCCD 2020-21 Tentative Budget – Board of Trustees Meeting, 7.29.2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.9</td>
<td>SCCCD 2020/21 Tentative Budget Expenditures by Activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.10</td>
<td>SCCCD 2020/21 Adopted Budget Revenue/Expenditures by Object</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.11</td>
<td>SCCCD 2020/21 Tentative Budget Expenditures by Activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.12</td>
<td>Mission Statement 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.13</td>
<td>Strategic Plan 2019-22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.15</td>
<td>Program Planning and Review 2018-21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.16</td>
<td>SCCCD COC 2016-22 Educational and Facilities Master Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.17</td>
<td>Strategic Plan 2019-22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.18</td>
<td>SCCCD 2022-26 Five Year Construction Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.19</td>
<td>Program Planning and Review Handbook 2021-22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.20</td>
<td>Program and Budget Review Handbook 2021-22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.21</td>
<td>Decision Making COC 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.22</td>
<td>Program Planning and Review 2018-21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.23</td>
<td>SCCCD 2020-21 Tentative Budget – Board of Trustees Meeting, 7.29.2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.24</td>
<td>SCCCD 2020-21 Adopted Budget – Board of Trustees Meeting, 10.28.2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.25</td>
<td>Enrollment Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.D.2.26</td>
<td>COC - Citizens Bond Oversight Committee Website</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Master List of Evidence

| III.D.4.1 | Program Planning and Review 2018-21 | III.D.7.6 | Intranet Committee Details President’s Advisory Council on Budget (PAC-B), Meetings |
| III.D.7.4 | SCCCD 2020-2021 Tentative Budget – Board of Trustees Meeting, 7.29.2020 | III.D.11.1 | SCCCD CCC System Sound Fiscal Management Self-Assessment Checklist Fiscal Years 2018-2020, Mid-Year |
| III.D.7.5 | SCCCD 2020-2021 Adopted Budget – Board of Trustees Meeting, 10.28.2020 | III.D.11.2 | Strategic Plan 2019-22 |
| III.D.11.3 | Strategic Plan 2019-22 | IV.A.1.18 | Academic Senate Program Review Committee Rush |
| III.D.11.4 | SCCCD Financial Statements – 6.30.2020 | IV.A.1.19 | Classified Senate Agendas and Minutes |
| III.D.11.5 | SCCCD Financial Statements – 6.30.2020 | IV.A.1.20 | Academic Senate Agendas and Minutes |
| III.D.11.6 | SCCCD COC 2016-22 Educational and Facilities Master Plan | IV.A.1.21 | Associated Student Government Agendas and Minutes |
| III.D.16.1 | Contract Master List | IV.A.1.23 | LEAP Decade Review, p 11 |
| III.D.16.2 | Agreement Template Samples | IV.A.1.24 | Civic Engagement Report |
| IV.A.1.1 | Strategic Plan 2019-22, p 6 | IV.A.1.25 | List of Associated Student Government Clubs |
| IV.A.1.2 | Decision Making Guide, p 37 | IV.A.1.26 | Alliances Website |
| IV.A.1.3 | Decision Making Guide, p 13-20 | IV.A.1.27 | Joint Meeting Agenda Example |
| IV.A.1.4 | Bellwether Summary | IV.A.1.28 | Professional Development Website |
| IV.A.1.5 | Strategic Plan 2019-22, p 17 | IV.A.1.29 | LEAP Fact Sheet |
| IV.A.1.7 | Chancellor Open Hours Spring 2021 | IV.A.1.31 | Physical Therapist Assistant Letter of Intent to CAPTE |
| IV.A.1.8 | BP 2510 | IV.A.1.32 | School of Visual & Performing Art April 2021 Newsletter |
| IV.A.1.9 | AP 2510 | | |
| IV.A.1.10 | Academic Senate Appointment Procedures | IV.A.2.1 | BP 7270 |
| IV.A.1.11 | Academic Senate Committees | IV.A.2.2 | AP 7270 |
| IV.A.1.12 | Classified Senate Committees | IV.A.2.3 | BP 2510 |
| IV.A.1.13 | Associated Student Government Home Page | IV.A.2.4 | Academic Senate Constitution |
| IV.A.1.14 | Return to Campus Coalition Email | IV.A.2.5 | Academic Senate Bylaws |
| IV.A.1.15 | Return to Campus Coalition Agenda | IV.A.2.6 | Curriculum Committee Procedures |
| IV.A.1.16 | Board of Trustees Agenda Example | IV.A.2.7 | College Policy Council Procedures |
| IV.A.1.17 | Committee Detail | IV.A.2.8 | Policy Review Committee Website |
| | | IV.A.2.9 | Decision Making Guide Appendix H, p H1-2 |
| | | IV.A.2.10 | BP 2510 |
| | | IV.A.2.11 | AP 2510 |
| | | IV.A.2.12 | BP & AP 5535 |
| | | IV.A.2.13 | AP 5400 |
| IV.A.2.14 | Academic Senate Constitution | IV.A.4.4 | Curriculum Handbook |
| IV.A.2.15 | Joint Board of Trustees and ASG Meeting Agenda | IV.A.4.5 | BP 7215 |
| IV.A.2.16 | (IE)² Membership List Intranet Page | IV.A.4.6 | AP 4021 |
| IV.A.2.17 | BP 7215 | IV.A.4.7 | AP 5050 |
| IV.A.2.18 | Curriculum Committee Handbook | IV.A.4.8 | Canyons Completes |
| IV.A.2.20 | Curriculum Committee Handbook p 1 | IV.A.5.2 | Decision Making Guide, p 40 |
| IV.A.3.1 | Decision Making Guide, p 48-50 | IV.A.5.3 | District Mission Statement |
| IV.A.3.2 | Decision Making Guide, Appendix A, p A2-19 | IV.A.5.4 | BP 7270 |
| IV.A.3.3 | Decision Making Guide, Appendix B, p B1-4 | IV.A.5.5 | BP 7215 |
| IV.A.3.4 | Strategic Plan 2019-22, p 7 | IV.A.5.6 | BP 5400 |
| IV.A.3.5 | AP 4021 | IV.A.5.7 | Academic Senate Constitution & Bylaws |
| IV.A.3.6 | Educational & Facilities Master Plan, p 21-22 | IV.A.5.8 | Classified Senate Constitution & Bylaws |
| IV.A.3.7 | PAC-B Budget Parameters, p 1 | IV.A.5.9 | College Policy Council Procedures |
| IV.A.3.8 | Decision Making Guide, p 6 | IV.A.5.10 | Intranet Committee Directory Site |
| IV.A.3.9 | BP 4023 | IV.A.5.11 | Ed Tech Example |
| IV.A.3.10 | AP 4021 | IV.A.5.12 | College Policy Council Example |
| IV.A.3.11 | AP 4020 | IV.A.5.13 | (IE)² Example |
| IV.A.3.12 | BP 7215 | IV.A.5.14 | Academic Senate Program Review Committee Rush |
| IV.A.3.13 | BP 4020 | IV.A.5.15 | Mission Statement Poster 2021 |
| IV.A.3.14 | BP 4021 | IV.A.5.16 | Classified Senate 1.12.21 Meeting Minutes |
| IV.A.3.15 | Joint Collaborate Consultation Understanding | IV.A.5.17 | Bellwether Award |
| IV.A.3.16 | BP 4021 | IV.A.5.18 | Program Viability Proposals |
| IV.A.3.17 | Decision Making Guide Appendix H, H1-2 | IV.A.5.19 | OnlineLIVE training requirements |
| IV.A.3.18 | Budget Parameters | IV.A.5.20 | Academic Senate Agenda 11.12.20 |
| IV.A.3.19 | Strategic Plan 2019-22, p 4 | IV.A.6.1 | Administrative Org Chart |
| IV.A.3.20 | Board of Trustees Mission Statement Agenda Item Timeline | IV.A.6.2 | Board of Trustees Meetings |
| IV.A.4.1 | BP 7215 | IV.A.6.3 | Multicultural Center |
| IV.A.4.2 | AP 4020 | IV.A.6.4 | COVID-19 Survey |
| IV.A.4.3 | Curriculum Committee Procedures | IV.A.6.5 | Academic Calendar Survey |
|           |                | IV.A.6.6 | IRPIE Reports & Briefs |
|           |                | IV.A.6.7 | Classified Senate Agendas & Summaries |
## Master List of Evidence

<p>| IV.A.6.8 | Academic Senate Agendas &amp; Summaries | IV.B.1.8 | DVH Opening Day Speech 2019 |
| IV.A.6.9 | ASG Agenda &amp; Summaries | IV.B.1.9 | DVH Opening Day Speech 2020 |
| IV.A.6.10 | Classified Senate Anti-Racism Resolution | IV.B.1.10 | 2012-2018 Educational and Facilities Master Plan |
| IV.A.6.11 | Academic Senate Anti-Racism Resolution | IV.B.1.11 | 2016-2022 Educational and Facilities Master Plan |
| IV.A.6.12 | Paw Print Spring 2019 | IV.B.1.12 | BP 3250 |
| IV.A.6.13 | That’s Classified | IV.B.1.13 | AP 3250 |
| IV.A.6.14 | Social Media | IV.B.1.14 | AP 2510 |
| IV.A.6.15 | Opening Day 2019 | IV.B.1.15 | CPT Meeting Schedule 2020 |
| IV.A.7.1 | BP 2510 | IV.B.1.16 | CPT Meeting Agenda February 2016 |
| IV.A.7.2 | AP 2510 | IV.B.1.17 | CPT Meeting Agenda February 2017 |
| IV.A.7.3 | BP 2745 | IV.B.1.18 | CPT Meeting Agenda October 2018 |
| IV.A.7.4 | AP 2745 | IV.B.1.19 | CPT Meeting Agenda February 2019 |
| IV.A.7.5 | College Policy Council Retreat Agenda | IV.B.1.20 | CPT Meeting Agenda February 2020 |
| IV.A.7.6 | College Policy Council Retreat Minutes | IV.B.1.21 | CPT Meeting Agenda February 2021 |
| IV.A.7.9 | College Planning Team Retreat 1.19.21 | IV.B.1.24 | BP 6100 |
| IV.A.7.10 | Committee on Committees Spring 2021 | IV.B.1.25 | BP 6200 |
| IV.A.7.11 | Spring 2021 Committee Survey | IV.B.1.26 | BP 6250 |
| IV.A.7.12 | CCC IE Partnership Initiative | IV.B.1.27 | JD Assistant Superintendent Vice President Business Services |
| IV.A.7.13 | Committee Calendar | IV.B.1.28 | Annual Budget Report 2019/20 (page 16) |
| IV.A.7.14 | COCFA Contract, Appendix G-6, Department Chair Evaluation | IV.B.1.29 | BP 6340 |
| IV.B.1.1 | BP 2430 | IV.B.1.30 | Contracts, Procurement, and Risk Management Services |
| IV.B.1.2 | AP 2410 | IV.B.1.31 | BP 7110 |
| IV.B.1.3 | Chancellor Job Description (page 4) | IV.B.1.32 | SCCCD Board of Trustees Meeting Agenda 5.12.21 |
| IV.B.1.4 | All College Presentation 2015 | IV.B.1.33 | JD Director of Diversity and Inclusion |
| IV.B.1.5 | All College Presentation 2016 | IV.B.1.34 | BP 7100 |
| IV.B.1.6 | All College Presentation 2018 | | |
| IV.B.1.7 | DVH Opening Day Speech 2017 | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IV.B.1.35</th>
<th>COC Professional Development Program 20/21</th>
<th>IV.B.1.36</th>
<th>JD Director of Professional Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.1.37</td>
<td>Summer Technology Institute Flyer 2018</td>
<td>IV.B.1.38</td>
<td>Summer Technology Institute Flyer 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.1.39</td>
<td>Summer Technology Institute Flyer 2020</td>
<td>IV.B.1.40</td>
<td>Summer Technology Institute Workshop 6/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.1.41</td>
<td>Summer Technology Institute</td>
<td>IV.B.1.42</td>
<td>Summer Technology Institute Workshop 7/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.1.43</td>
<td>Summer Technology Institute Workshop 8/21</td>
<td>IV.B.1.44</td>
<td>Summer Technology Institute Workshop 9/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.1.45</td>
<td>LEAP Decade in Review</td>
<td>IV.B.1.46</td>
<td>Committee on Committees Intranet Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.1.49</td>
<td>COC Annual Report 2018</td>
<td>IV.B.1.50</td>
<td>COC Annual Report 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.1.51</td>
<td>COC Annual Report 2020</td>
<td>IV.B.1.52</td>
<td>Monday Report November 2018 Excerpt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.1.55</td>
<td>Monday Report September 2019 Excerpt</td>
<td>IV.B.1.56</td>
<td>Chancellors Report Board of Trustees Meeting 7/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.1.57</td>
<td>Chancellors Report Board of Trustees Meeting 2/17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.1.58</td>
<td>Chancellors Report Board of Trustees Meeting 2/18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.1.59</td>
<td>Chancellors Report Board of Trustees Meeting 2/19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| IV.B.1.60 | Chancellors Report Board of Trustees Meeting 3/20 |
| IV.B.1.61 | Chancellors Report Board of Trustees Meeting 1/21 |
| IV.B.1.62 | Chancellor COVID Webinar 3/11/20 |
| IV.B.1.63 | Chancellor COVID Webinar 6/24/20 |
| IV.B.1.64 | Chancellor COVID Webinar 7/29/20 |
| IV.B.1.65 | Chancellor COVID Webinar 8/19/20 |
| IV.B.1.66 | Chancellor COVID Webinar 9/9/20 |
| IV.B.1.67 | Open Office Hours 4/21示 | IV.B.1.68 | Open Office Hours 1/20示 |
| IV.B.1.69 | Open Office Hours 9/19示 |
| IV.B.1.70 | Mac Meeting Agenda 4/16示 |
| IV.B.1.71 | Mac Meeting Agenda 3/20示 |
| IV.B.1.72 | Mac Meeting Agenda 11/20示 |
| IV.B.1.73 | Mac Meeting Agenda 4/21示 |
| IV.B.1.74 | Mac Meeting Agenda 5/21示 |
| IV.B.1.75 | Mac Meeting Agenda 6/21示 |
| IV.B.1.76 | Full Cabinet Agenda 5/4/21示 |
| IV.B.1.77 | Full Cabinet Agenda 1/12/21示 | IV.B.1.78 | Full Cabinet Agenda 10/6/20示 |
| IV.B.1.79 | The Bottom Line Summer 2017示 |
| IV.B.1.80 | The Bottom Line Spring 2018示 |
| IV.B.1.81 | The Bottom Line Summer 2019示 |
| IV.B.1.82 | The Bottom Line Fall 2020示 |
| IV.B.1.83 | DVH Signal Article示 |
| IV.B.1.84 | DVH Signal Article示 |
| IV.B.1.85 | Education Alliance 9/20 agenda |
| IV.B.1.86 | Education Alliance 2/21 agenda |
| IV.B.1.87 | Business Alliance 1-21-21 agenda |
| IV.B.1.88 | Business Alliance 2-17-21 agenda |
| IV.B.1.89 | Business Alliance 5-19-21 agenda | IV.B.2.9 | BOT Meeting Agenda 3-10-21 (Item 4) |
| IV.B.1.90 | VIA Luncheon 2014 | IV.B.2.10 | JD Deputy Chancellor &amp; Chief Diversity, Equity, &amp; Inclusion Officer |
| IV.B.1.91 | VIA Luncheon 2016 | IV.B.2.11 | JD Assistant Superintendent Vice President, Instruction |
| IV.B.1.92 | Zonta Acceptance Speech | IV.B.2.12 | JD Assistant Superintendent Vice President, Facilities |
| IV.B.1.93 | SCVi Award | IV.B.2.13 | JD Assistant Superintendent Vice President, Student Services |
| IV.B.1.94 | Final Letterhead COC Support Letter | | |
| IV.B.1.95 | Workforce Training and Economic Development Coordinating Team Agenda | | |
| IV.B.1.96 | AWS Academy – Academy Cloud Operations Curriculum | IV.B.2.14 | JD Assistant Superintendent Vice President, Canyon Country Campus |
| IV.B.1.97 | COC ETP Contract Funding Confirmation | IV.B.2.15 | JD Assistant Superintendent Vice President, Human Resources |
| IV.B.1.98 | Email from Fred Duncan at BB Manufacturing for new CACT Facility | IV.B.2.16 | JD Assistant Superintendent Vice President, Business Services |
| IV.B.1.99 | FOW from Understanding to Action (CPT) | | |
| IV.B.1.100 | High Road Training Partnerships | IV.B.2.17 | JD Assistant Superintendent Vice President, Technology |
| IV.B.1.101 | SCCCD Letter of Support for AB 1457 | IV.B.2.18 | JD Vice President, Economic and Workforce Development |
| IV.B.1.102 | Listing of SCV Black Owned Businesses | IV.B.2.19 | JD Vice President, Public Information, Advocacy, and External Relations |
| IV.B.1.103 | Regional Deputy Sector Navigator Agreement | | |
| IV.B.1.104 | SWAG MFG OA Signature Page | IV.B.2.20 | JD Executive Director District Foundation |
| IV.B.1.105 | Wedd-memo-swp-2.0 Guidance 8-04-2020 | IV.B.2.21 | BP 7100 |
| IV.B.2.1 | AP 2430 | IV.B.2.22 | Strategic Plan 2019-22 |
| IV.B.2.2 | AP 3100 | IV.B.2.23 | 2013-14 Adopted Budget |
| IV.B.2.3 | COC Org Chart 2021 | IV.B.2.24 | 2014-15 Adopted Budget |
| IV.B.2.4 | BOT Meeting Agenda 5-11-16 (Item 4) | IV.B.2.25 | 2015-16 Adopted Budget |
| IV.B.2.5 | BOT Meeting Agenda 6-28-17 (Item 2) | IV.B.2.26 | 2016-17 Adopted Budget |
| IV.B.2.6 | BOT Meeting Agenda 8-15-18 (Item 4) | IV.B.2.27 | 2018-19 Adopted Budget |
| IV.B.2.7 | BOT Meeting Agenda 7-10-19 (Item 4) | IV.B.2.28 | 2019-20 Adopted Budget |
| IV.B.2.8 | BOT Meeting Agenda 3-11-20 (Item 2) | IV.B.2.29 | 2020-21 Adopted Budget |
| | | IV.B.2.30 | COC Administrator Self-Evaluation 2016-17 |
| | | IV.B.2.31 | COC Administrator Self-Evaluation 2017-18 |
| | | IV.B.2.32 | COC Administrator Self-Evaluation 2018-19 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Master List of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.3.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master List of Evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.5.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.5.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.5.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.5.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.5.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.B.6.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.6.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.6.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.6.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.6.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Master List of Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IV.C.12.4</th>
<th>Resolution No. 2019/20-23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.13.1</td>
<td>Board of Trustees Meeting Minutes 05-28-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.13.2</td>
<td>Board of Trustees Meeting Minutes 08-13-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.13.3</td>
<td>Board of Trustees Meeting Minutes 02-22-2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.C.13.4</td>
<td>Board of Trustees Meeting 03-24-2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Valencia Campus
26455 Rockwell Canyon Road
Santa Clarita, CA 91355
(661) 259-7800
www.canyons.edu

Canyon Country Campus
17200 Sierra Highway
Santa Clarita, CA 91351
(661) 362-3800
www.canyoncountrycampus.com

Del Valle Regional Training Center
28101 Chiquito Canyon Road
Castaic, CA 91384
(661) 259-7800
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