

College of the Canyons Academic Senate

September 21, 2023 3:00 p.m. to 4:50 p.m. Hybrid Format, via Zoom & in-person in BONH 330

Join Zoom Meeting https://canyonsonline.zoom.us/j/82829179112

Meeting ID: 828 2917 9112; One tap mobile +16694449171# US; +17193594580# US Additional Teleconferencing locations can be found on page 2 of this agenda.

AGENDA

Notification: The meetings may be audio recorded for note taking purposes. These recordings are deleted once the meeting summary is approved by the Academic Senate.

<u>ADA statement</u>: If you need a disability-related modification or accommodation (including auxiliary aids or services) to participate in the public meeting, or if you need an agenda in an alternate form, please contact the Academic Senate Office at academicsenateinfo@canyons.edu College of the Canyons

A. Routine Matters

- 1. Call to order
- 2. Public Comment
 - This portion of the meeting is reserved for persons desiring to address the Academic Senate
 on any matter not on the agenda. No action will be taken. Speakers are limited to three
 minutes. Public questions or comments can be submitted via email
 at academicsenateinfo@canyons.edu or asked via zoom chat feature.
- 3. Approval of the Agenda
- 4. Committee Appointments:

committee Appointments.	
Collette Salvatierra, Academic Freedom Committee	Self-Service Taskforce (pg. 3)
Erica Torgeson, Program Review Committee	DEIAA Faculty Competencies Taskforce (pg. 3)
Chair	
Lena Smyth (Adjunct), EEO Committee	Tenure Committee list (pg. 4)

- 5. Sub-Committee Summaries/Information:
 - Senate Elections Committee Fall 2023-24 Elections Calendar (pg. 8)
- 6. Approval of the Consent Calendar

Academic Senate Summary, Sept. 7, 2023	Curriculum Committee Summary, September 14, 2023
(pg. 5-7)	

B. Reports

These are informational items no discussion or action will be taken. However, clarification questions are welcomed.

- 1. MQE Committee Chair Annual Report, Alisha Kaminsky
- 2. Academic Senate Presidents Report, David Andrus
- 3. Vice Presidents Report, Lisa Hooper

C. Action Items

Below is a list of items that the Senate will take action on. Discussion is welcomed by all attendees.

Annual Curriculum Approval Certification, Tricia George & Dr. Omar Torres (pg. 9-12)

D. Discussion

Below are items that the Senate will discuss and no action will be taken. Discussion is welcomed by all attendees.

- 1. Academic Freedom Conditional Report regarding Syllabi Requirements, Chris Blakey (pg. 13-15)
- 2. BP/AP 4021 (Program Viability), Gary Collis
 - BP 4021 (Clean version) (pg. 16)
 - BP 4021 (Marked up version) (pg. 17-20)
 - AP 4021 (Clean version) (pg. 21-32)
 - AP 4021 (Market up version) (pg. 33-48)
 - Summary of key Changes (pg. 49)
- 3. BP/AP 5010 (Dual Enrollment), Gary Collis
 - BP 5010 (pg. 50-52)
 - AP 5010 (pg. 53-57)

E. Unfinished Business

Below is a list of items that can be discussed for a future date.

- 1. Academic Integrity Taskforce
- 2. CTE Toolkit
- 3. Senate Policy on Web Enhancement
- 4. Academic Senate/COCFA Joint Task Force on Full-Time Evaluations
- 5. Academic Senate/COCFA Joint Task Force on Full-Time Evaluations Non-Instructional

F. New Future Business

Request to place an item for a future agenda is welcomed. Below is a list of topics that will be discussed at a future business date.

- 1. Tenure Committee Training Workshops
- 2. Senate Faculty Office Procedures
- 3. Adjunct Scheduler System
- 4. Food Service at CCC
- 5. BONH 330 Faculty Conference Room Protocols
- 6. BONH 330 Wall Décor/Emeriti Recognition
- 7. Academic Senate Release Time Memo
- 8. Program Mapper Update

G. Announcements

- o Next Academic Senate Meeting Dates Fall 2023: Oct. 5th; Oct. 19th; Nov. 2nd; Nov. 16th; Dec. 7th
- o 2023 ASCCC Fall Plenary Session: Nov. 16th 18th, Westin South Coast Plaza, Costa Mesa, CA.
- o 2023 Accreditation Institute: Sep. 29th Sept. 30th, San Mateo Marriot, San Mateo, CA.

H. Adjournment

The teleconference is accessible though the following link:

https://canyonsonline.zoom.us/j/82829179112

Please note:

This meeting will be broadcasted at the following locations via zoom

1117 Walnut Street, Inglewood, CA 90301

Self-Service Taskforce

First Name	Last Name	FTF/Adjunct
David	Brill	FTF
Garrett	Hooper	FTF
Lisa	Hooper	FTF
Victoria	Leonard	FTF
Albert	Loaiza	FTF
Nadia	Monosov	FTF
Gary	Quire	FTF

DEIA Faculty Competencies Taskforce

First Name	Last Name	FTF/Adjunct
David	Andrus	FTF
Chris	Blakey	FTF
Gary	Collis	FTF
Tricia	George	FTF
Julie	Johnson	FTF
Arshia	Malekzadeh	Adjunct
Gary	Quire	FTF
Ambika	Silva	FTF
Alene	Terzian	FTF
Jennifer	Thompson	FTF
Robert	Wonser	FTF

Note:

- Below are the remaining committees for new full-time faculty that needed to be confirmed.
- All other committees were confirmed on the Sept. 21, 2023, Academic Senate Agenda.
- Changes to previously listed committee memberships are in **bold.**

Tenure Committees 2023-2024 (as of 09.14.23)							
Last Name	First Name	School/Department	Committee Chair	Peer Evaluator	Administrator	FT Faculty Hire Date	Status
Almero-Fabros	Kathrina	Faculty Clinical Coordinator, Physical Therapist Assistant	Sylvia Duncan	Karly Kicenski	Heather Dotter	8/11/2023	1 st year
Dhillon	Lak	Diagnostic Medical Sonography Faculty	Tina Waller	Larry Alvarez	Heather Dotter	8/11/2023	1 st year
Fatta	Todd	Welding	Tim Baber	Charlie Johnson	Nadia Cotti	8/11/2023	1 st year
George	Jaya	Faculty Director Pharmacy Technician	Hencelyn Chu	Bob Maxwell	Heather Dotter	8/11/2023	1 st year
Karim	Bavand	Filmmaking	David Brill	Gary Quire	Jennifer Steele	8/11/2023	1 st year
Koh	Issac	Chemistry	Patricia Foley	Chase Dimock	David Vakil	8/11/2023	1 st year
Overdest	Jennifer	Filmmaking	David Brill	Marco Llaguno	Jennifer Steele	8/11/2023	1 st year
Valle Stallman	Thomas	Architecture	Jason Oliver	Marco Llaguno	Nadia Cotti	8/11/2023	1 st year

Academic Senate Meeting Summary for September 7, 2023

Voting Members						
Senate President	David Andrus	Х	Business Senator	Gary Quire	Х	
Vice President	Lisa Hooper	Х	Learning Resources Senator	Sara Breshears proxy Jennifer Thompson	Х	
Curriculum Chair	Tricia George	Х	Personal & Professional Learning Senator	Garrett Rieck	Х	
Policy Review Chair	Gary Collis	Х	At Large Senator	Sab Matsumoto	Х	
AT Senator	Regina Blasberg	Х	At Large Senator	Shane Ramey	Х	
MSHP-MSE Senator	Erica Seubert	Х	At Large Senator	Erica Seubert proxy Jennifer Paris	Х	
MSHP-HPPS Senator	Tammy Bathke	Х	At Large Senator	Rebecca Shepherd	Х	
VAPA Senator	David Brill	Х	At Large Senator	Nadia Monosov	Х	
Student Services Senator	Garrett Hooper	Х	Adjunct Senator	Arshia Malekzadeh	Х	
Humanities Senator	Mike Harutunian	Х	Adjunct Senator	VACANT		
Kinesiology/Athletics Senator	VACANT	А	Adjunct Senator	VACANT		
SBS Senator	Victoria Leonard	Х	X= Present	A= Absent		

Non-voting Members					
Dr. Omar Torres	Χ	Paul Wickline via zoom	Х		
Marilyn Jimenez	Χ	Jason Burgdorfer (COCFA President)	Х		
Dan Portillo (Warren Heaton AFT Rep)	Α	ASG Student Representative- Hestia Sartika	Х		

Guest							
Alene Terzian-Zeitounian	Х	Dr. Diane Fiero	Х	Dr. Jasmine Ruys	Χ	Sara Breshears	Х
David Vakil	Х	Dilek Sanver-Wang	Х	Dr. Jim Temple	Х	Shannon Doronio	Х
Dr. Daylene Meuschke	Х	Dustin Silva	Х	Michael Felix	Χ		
Dr. Deanna Riveira	Х	Dr. Edel Alonso	Х	Patty Robinson	Х		
Diane Avery	Х	Heather Dotter	Х	Ryan Theule	Х		

I. Routine Matters

1. Call to order: 3: 06 pm

- 2. Public Comment
 - I. A request was made to discuss the Adjunct Scheduler System as it is not working properly.
 - II. Request to have a campus wide email go out on latest COVID protocols. The Return to Campus Coalition may be reconvened.
 - III. Food prices for students on campus is expensive. There are no food options at CCC only vending machines. This item may return on a future agenda.

3. Approval of the Agenda

- I. A correction will be made to the Collegial Consultation Committee list to change the CPT meeting time to an hour.
- II. Motion to approve the agenda by Arshia Malekzadeh seconded by Erica Seubert. Erica Seubert proxy

for Jennifer Paris. Sara Breshears proxy for Jennifer Thompson. Unanimous. Approved.

4. Committee Appointments:

Academic Senate Sub-Committee List	Department Chairs for 2023-24 (pg. 3)
Collegial Committee List	Tenure Committee 2023-2024 list (pg. 4-5)
Operational Committee List	

- 5. Sub-Committee Summaries: none
- 6. Approval of the Consent Calendar
 - I. If you don't see your tenure committee listed on this agenda, it will appear on the subsequent agenda.
 - II. Motion to approve the agenda by Victoria Leonard, seconded by Erica Seubert. Erica Seubert proxy for Jennifer Paris. Sara Breshears proxy for Jennifer Thompson. Unanimous. Approved

Academic Senate Retreat Summary, August	Program Viability Committee
24, 2023 (pg. 6-9)	 Meeting Calendar for 2023-24 (pg. 10)
Curriculum Committee Summary, August 31,	Updated Seniority List 2023-2024
2023	

J. Reports

These are informational items no discussion or action will be taken. However, clarification questions are welcomed.

- 1. IDEA Liaison Report, Alene Terzian
 - Many committees on campus are undertaking IDEAA work via different avenues. A shared repository
 will be created as a tool kit resource both digitally (using Pebble Pad) and on campus. There will be an
 IDEAA workshop. A Faculty Inquiry group was created over the summer. The repository could be
 institutionalized and overseen by CETL.
- 2. Academic Senate Presidents Report, David Andrus
 - I. NEW ASG Student Trustee Rep: Welcome to Hestia Sardika!
 - II. <u>Self- Service Retreat</u>: A taskforce was reformed and will meet soon to discuss challenges with the enrollment system. Lisa Sawyer is moving forward to implement Phase 2 (Programing a new logic for section status indicators: critical dates, open, waitlist, add, or close).
 - III. <u>Dept Chair Training Series:</u> A meeting will be scheduled with all facilitators that are going to run the 8 dept chair workshops in order to coordinate efforts. There will be 4 workshops in fall and 4 in spring. There might be a cap on the size of the cohort. The first workshop is scheduled for Friday, Sept. 22nd at 10:30 following IAC meetings.
 - IV. **DEIA Faculty Competencies Taskforce** is being formed to implement mandated evaluation standards.
 - V. <u>BONH 330 Update:</u> Senate discussed adding student art. All faculty may have key access to the room. There is concern with someone reconfiguring the computer settings. A room protocols document will be developed soon for scheduling and usage. COCFA has some ideas for displaying emeriti photos.
 - VI. <u>Senate Elections Update:</u> Dustin Silva will have a schedule of all upcoming elections to share with all senators so they can share with their Schools. The pending elections include two adjunct senators, KPEA Senator, Public Safety School Senator, Curriculum adjunct representative and Health and Public Safety representative. David is not seeking another 2 years as Academic Senate President and will nominate Lisa Hooper as President.
- 3. Vice Presidents Report, Lisa Hooper
 - Senate Meeting Recap: The Senate Monday meeting recap/summary has been working well.
 - <u>Faculty Spotlight:</u> The idea is to host 3 faculty per term. BONH 330 has been reserved from 5:30pm for Sept. 12th. Victoria Leonard will be the Faculty in the Spotlight for September. The faculty for Oct. 19th and Nov. 16th will be determined later.
 - <u>Faculty Offices Update:</u> It was clarified that faculty can still put in for an office in 2 rounds of lottery rounds. The faculty Office procedures will be coming to Senate.

- <u>COC Construction and Access Map Emails:</u> Many don't feel that the map that is included in the email from Facilities is very clear. The map may need better color choices. The hope is that construction will be completed by the end of the month.
- **Emeriti Faculty**: Some collage photo frames similar to those displayed on the Canyons Hall wall may be ordered for BONH 330. If anyone has any ideas please share with Senate leadership.

K. Action Items

Below is a list of items that the Senate will take action on. Discussion is welcomed by all attendees.

None

L. Discussion

Below are items that the Senate will discuss and no action will be taken. Discussion is welcomed by all attendees.

- 1. Curriculum Committee Cultural Competency Grant Discussion, Dr. Tricia George
 - There was a grant awarded for \$300,000. The focus in on adding IDEAA content to course material. For year 1 there will be sandbox discussions to explore IDEAA efforts related to curriculum with FLEX credit awarded for participating. For year 2 it will be one on one coaching. Meeting dates are scheduled Mondays at 1:30pm -2:30pm.
- 2. Earthquake & Campus Safety Preparedness Discussion, Dr. Jim Temple
 - There is a scheduled Great Shakeout in the fall 23 semester and an Active Shooter training in spring 24. For those who are mobility challenged there are evacuation chairs available at all buildings. Monthly checks will be conducted for AED's units. Suggestions were made to have the Incident Command team assess paths of travel in construction areas, conduct case studies and move phones by doors. Emergency Preparedness townhalls will be held during the current academic year.
- 3. <u>Enrollment Management 8-week section Discussion</u>, Dr. Omar Torres
 - The college will not be changing to an 8-week calendar. 25-26% of our courses are short term at COC, with the goal to increase 30-33%. The student population has now changed and the college needs to adapt. The emphasis for CCC is to see where to create a space for 8-week short term classes with STEM, CTE GE. There are some concerns with scheduling some 8 weeks courses in STEM. If this experiment works will there be a college commitment to allow lower-level enrollment minimums. Those standards will be adopted in the Enrollment Management Principles document. There are not many food options for students at CCC. This is a concern and can undermine scheduling efforts at CCC.

M. Unfinished Business

Below is a list of items that can be discussed for a future date.

- 1. Academic Integrity Taskforce
- 2. CTE Toolkit
- 3. Self-Service Taskforce
- 4. Revised Evaluation Documents Full-Time Faculty
- 5. Senate Policy on Web Enhancement
- 6. Academic Senate/COCFA Joint Task Force on Full-Time Evaluations
- 7. Academic Senate/COCFA Joint Task Force on Full-Time Evaluations Non-Instructional

N.New Future Business

Request to place an item for a future agenda is welcomed. Below is a list of topics that will be discussed at a future business date.

1. Tenure Committee Training Workshops

O. Announcements

- o Next Academic Senate Meeting Dates Fall 2023: Sept 21st; Oct. 5th; Oct. 19th; Nov. 2nd; Nov. 16th; Dec. 7th
- o 2023 ASCCC Fall Plenary Session: Nov. 16th 18th, Westin South Coast Plaza, Costa Mesa, CA.
- o <u>2023 Accreditation Institute:</u> Sep. 29th Sept. 30th, San Mateo Marriot, San Mateo, CA.
- P. Adjournment: 5:15 pm.

Senate Elections Committee Fall 2023-24 Elections Calendar

Please see below for a tentative schedule of positions with calls for nominations planned for the semester.

Position(s)	Call for Nominations Dates Note: Nomination submissions close at 5:00pm on the last day
Academic Senate President	9/8 – 10/13
(Term dates: 7/1/24 - 6/30/26)	
Academic Senate Vice President	9/8 – 10/13
(Term dates: 7/1/24 - 6/30/26)	
Communications Officer of the Academic Senate	9/8 – 10/13
(Term dates: 7/1/24 - 6/30/26)	
Academic Senate Senator Vacancies	9/18 – 9/26
(Schools - KPEA & Public Safety)	
(Adjunct - 2 positions)	
(Remainder of current term, ending 6/30/24)	
Curriculum Committee Representative Vacancies	10/9 – 10/17
(Schools - Health Professions & Public Safety)	
(Adjunct - 1 position)	
(Remainder of current term, ending 6/30/24)	

MEMORANDUM

September 15, 2023



ESS 23-38 | Via Email

Chief Executive Officers
Chief Instructional Officers
Academic Senate Presidents
Curriculum Chairs
Academic Senate for Community Colleges
Curriculum Specialists

FRO Raul Arambula, Dean, Educational Services & Support

RE Annual Curriculum Approval Certification

Background

This memorandum provides information regarding the Annual Curriculum Approval Certification. The annual certification includes all credit and noncredit course proposals, modified credit programs, Career Technical Education (CTE) credit programs that are C-ID aligned, and local credit programs. Programs not included in the annual certification and streamlined approval process include the Associate Degrees for Transfer (ADTs), noncredit Career Development and College Preparation (CDCP) certificates in the short-term vocational instructional domain, and new Career Technical Education credit programs that are not C-ID aligned.

Guidelines

The Chancellor's Office Curriculum Inventory (COCI) system has yet to be updated to accommodate automatic approval for local programs and non-credit programs authorized under title 5 <u>55130</u> and <u>55150</u> for streamlined and auto-approval. Therefore, Chancellor's Office staff will manually approve affected programs, which may result in an approval timeframe of one to two business days. Periodic reviews of the locally approved and certified curriculum will be conducted by the Chancellor's Office to monitor compliance and data integrity.

Requested Actions

The Chancellor's Office requires each college to submit: (1) an annual certification form (signed by the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Instructional Officer, Academic Senate President, and Curriculum Chair) and, (2) one PDF copy of the local governing board policy that defines the standards for credit hour calculations. The signed certification form and local governing board policy documentation are to be submitted to the Chancellor's Office annually during the month of October.

Each academic year, during the month of September, the Chancellor's Office will release an annual certification reminder to colleges. For the 2023-24 academic year, the certification form and local governing board policy must be submitted to the Chancellor's Office no later than 5:00 P.M.on

November 18, 2023. Colleges that do not submit the required certification documents by the due date, are out of compliance with auto-approval requirements, and thus, affected credit and noncredit course and program proposal submissions will be manually reviewed by the Chancellor's Office. The

Annual Curriculum Approval Certification

manual review process will remain in effect until the signed certification form and the local governing board policy documentation are received by Chancellor's Office.

Contact

Please contact Raul Arambula, Dean in Educational Services and Support, at rarambula@cccco.edu or (916) 322-1440, should you have any questions or concerns.

Attachment: Annual Curriculum Approval Certification Form

cc: Dr. Sonya Christian, Chancellor

Dr. Aisha Lowe, Executive Vice Chancellor, ESLEI

Dr. John Stanskas, Vice Chancellor, ESS

Annual Curriculum Approval Certification

September 15, 2023

Annual Curriculum Approval Certification Form Important Information

By signing this form, the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Instruction Officer, Academic Senate President, and Curriculum Chair acknowledge and certify that:

- course hours and units are correct in accordance with CCCCO Course Calculations;
- the college/district course outline of record has been approved by the District Governing Board;
- the college has developed local policy, regulations, or procedures specifying the
 accepted relationship between contact hours, outside-of-class hours, and credit for
 calculating credit hours to ensure consistency in awarding units of credit;
- credit cooperative work experience plan has local board approval and is on file;
- credit and noncredit courses and programs that are submitted to the Chancellor's Office Curriculum Inventory (COCI) system are accurate and compliant with California Education Code, California Code of Regulations, title 5, and the current CCCCO Program and Course Approval Handbook (PCAH);
- credit and noncredit programs have the required attachments in accordance with the current CCCCO PCAH; and
- mandatory training for curriculum committees and responsible administrators regarding curriculum rules and regulations to ensure compliance with <u>title 5 §55002</u>.

This certification applies to the following:

1. Courses

- a. Credit all credit courses
- b. Noncredit all noncredit courses

2. Programs

- a. Credit
 - i. Modified credit programs (excluding ADTs)
 - ii. New credit programs with a Program Goal of "Local"
 - iii. Credit CTE C-ID aligned programs (The following documents must be contained in submission: model curriculum templates, LMI, regional consortium recommendations) with the exception of new CTE credit programs that are not C-ID aligned and Apprenticeship
- b. Noncredit
 - i. All noncredit programs

The annual certification is **not applicable** to the curriculum listed below:

- 1. Certificate Programs
 - a. Credit: CTE not C-ID aligned
 - b. Noncredit: Career Development and College Preparation (CDCP) certificate programs in the instructional domain of *Short-term Vocational* (Education Code statute §84760.5 (a)(3) requires approval of short-term vocational programs by the Chancellor's Office and thus is excluded from local and automatic approval)
- 2. Degrees
 - i. Associate Degree for Transfer (AD-T)
 - ii. Baccalaureate Degrees

Email a PDF of the annual certification form and a copy of the local governing board policy that defines the standards for credit hour calculations, to David Garcia dgarcia@cccco.edu.

Annual Curriculum Approval Certification Form

All form fields are required

By signing this document, I certify as the Chief Instructional Officer that has completed this process.

(College Name)

Chief Executive Officer (Print Name)	(Signature)	Date
Chief Instructional Officer (Print Name) Sign Here	(Signature)	Date
Academic Senate President (Print Name)	(Signature)	Date
Curriculum Chair (Print Name)	(Signature)	Date

Committee on Academic Freedom Conditional Report:

What are the Rights and Obligations of a Faculty Member in the Construction of a Course Syllabus?

May, 2023

Chris Blakey Chair

Tricia George Member

Urvashi Juneja Member

Karyl Kicenski Member

Deanna Riveira Member

Lauren Rome Member

Prepared for College of the Canyons Academic Senate

What are the Rights and Obligations of a Faculty Member in the Construction of a Course Syllabus?

- I. The American Association of University Professors (AAUP) clearly states that instructors have the academic freedom to determine how they approach the subject of their courses. "The freedom to teach includes the right of the faculty to select the materials, determine the approach to the subject, make the assignments, and assess student academic performance in teaching activities for which faculty members are individually responsible, without having their decisions subject to the veto of a department chair, dean, or other administrative officer." However, the AAUP acknowledges that "[t]eaching duties commonly shared among a number of faculty members require a significant amount of coordination and the imposition of a certain degree of structure, often involving a need for agreement on such matters as general course content, syllabi, and examinations."
 - A. Hence, regarding syllabi, in cases of multi-sectioned courses taught by multiple instructors, a department *may* democratically decide that all instructors have some common features on their respective syllabi. However, the AAUP is careful to note such decisions ought to involve "substantial reflections and discussion by all those who teach the courses." 3
 - B. Additionally, any agreement about common syllabus features must ultimately not conflict with the Course Outline of Record.
- II. Further, an institution's Academic Senate or any committee of an institution's Academic Senate does not have the power to direct or enforce how a faculty member *must* construct their syllabus. To do so would be an infringement on the faculty member's academic freedom. In addition, faculty are not managerial "supervisors" over other faculty, and therefore, do not have the authority to play such a role (Ed. Code, § 87610.1e).

The following are some clarifications and qualifications of the above:

A. Faculty are hired with the understanding that they are competent in their fields of study and know how to construct their syllabi.

¹ "Statement on the Freedom to Teach," AAUP, *Policy Documents and Reports*, 11th ed. (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2015), 28.

² "Academic Freedom in the Medical School," AAUP, *Policy Documents and Reports*, 11th ed. (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2015), 71-72.

³ Ibid. The text goes on to suggest "The department should have a process for periodically reviewing curricular decisions and altering them based on a consensus of the appropriate teaching faculty, subject to review at other levels of governance." In short, a democratic and self-reflexive process involving all faculty teaching the course(s) appears to be the optimum approach for choosing common content including textbooks and syllabi content/structure.

- B. There are some syllabus items that are required by the State for accreditation. These items include college name, course title, prefix and number, the term for which the course is being taught, the section number, and the student learning outcome(s). Such items ought to be included in any syllabus. The COCFA Collective Bargaining Agreement (Article XII), and the AFT Contract (Article XX) also require that office hours be included on the course syllabus.
- C. Other than the restriction in I.B, and the required items listed in II.B, faculty have the academic freedom to construct their syllabi as they see fit.
- D. There are important distinctions between best practices, what individual faculty prefer to include on a syllabus, and what information is optimal for students to succeed in a given course. But the above concerns are separate from what is required to be on any course syllabus.



BP 4021 Program Viability – *Initiation, Modification, Discontinuance and Revitalization*

References:

Education Code Section 78015(a)(1), 78016(a); Title 5, Sections 51022, 53203(d)(1), 55130; ASCCC "Program Discontinuance: A Faculty Perspective";

The Santa Clarita Community College District (District) is committed to supporting educational programs that fulfill its Mission and Institutional Learning Outcomes for students.

The initiation, modification, revitalization, and discontinuance of educational programs are curricular, student success, and educational issues, and, therefore, may occur only following a careful and extensive review of a program's status in relation to the District's overall educational mission.

The CEO shall adopt administrative procedures for the initiation, modification, revitalization, and discontinuance of educational programs.

See Administrative Procedure 4021

Approved 10/24/2013 by the Academic Senate Revisions Approved 05/26/2016 by the Academic Senate Revisions Approved 05/09/2019 by the Academic Senate Revisions Approved XX/XX/XXXX by the Academic Senate.



BP 4021 Program Viability – *Initiation, Modification, Discontinuance and Revitalization*

References:

Education Code Section 78015(a)(1), 78016(a); Title 5, Section(s)Sections 51022, 53203(d)(1), 55130; ASCCC "Program Discontinuance: A Faculty Perspective";

Pursuant to Title 5, Section 51022(a), the governing board shall adopt and carry out its policies for the initiation, modification, or discontinuance of courses or programs. The Santa Clarita Community College District (District) is committed to supporting educational programs that fulfill its Mission and Institutional Learning Outcomes for students. Because program

<u>The</u> initiation, modification, <u>revitalization</u>, and discontinuance <u>is a of educational programs are</u> curricular, student success, and educational <u>issue</u>, <u>it must followissues</u>, and, therefore, may occur only <u>following</u> a careful and extensive review of <u>thea</u> program's status in relation to the <u>District's</u> overall educational mission of the <u>District</u>.

4021.01 A program is defined as an organized sequence of courses, or a single course, leading to a defined objective, a degree, certificate, diploma, license, or transfer to another institution of higher education (CCR Title 5, Section 55000). (e.g., completing a program of study leading to a certificate in Computer Maintenance Technology, an AS-degree in Business, or transfer). For purposes of this policy "Program" shall also be understood to mean any thematic cluster of courses within the purview of the Office of Academic Affairs that support a common outcome.

() Academic Department—is an organizational structure composed of one or more related disciplines. Academic Departments are governed by Administrative Procedure 4023.

() The establishment and existence of a designated program review within the District's integrated institutional planning system does not by default confer the focus and object of that review to be a "program" if it has not met the requirements and standards of Administrative Procedure 4021.

4021.02 Program Initiation is the institution or adoption of a new program as defined

by this policy and implemented through Administrative Procedure 4021.

() All newly initiated programs shall be considered "pilot programs" as detailed in Administrative Procedure 4021.

- 4021.03 Program Modification Program modifications shall be categorized in the following three-manners:
 - () Substantial Modification—is an alteration to an existing program that substantially modifies the program in terms of necessary institutional resources yet to be secured or acquired, or redirects such resources in a manner that requires institutional review beyond the mission of the Curriculum Committee of the Academic Senate. Such modifications may concern, among other factors, of curriculum relevance and status, current faculty workload; physical or financial resources, academic outcomes and process; articulated coursework required for certificate, degree or transfer; or students' ability to achieve their educational goals in a reasonable amount of time. The re-categorization of existing curriculum or proposed new curriculum might not necessarily constitute a substantial modification. A "Substantial Modification" must be proposed and meet the procedural requirements found in Administrative Procedure 4021.
 - () Categorical Modifications—proposals that re-categorize existing programs in terms of their instructional value, degree or certificate status, or placement within the curricular organization, and do not substantially modify the terms or requirements of the program.
 - () Nominal Modifications—are non-substantial modifications determined to be normal customary revisions, scheduled or otherwise, that exist and are managed via the existing curriculum review process administered by the Curriculum Committee, a sub-committee of the Academic Senate. Such revisions are generally for the purpose of maintaining currency and, or legally mandated changes. This category of program modification shall be determined "nominal" in its effect and institutional impact and thus fall outside the purview and requirement of Administrative Procedure 4021. The Curriculum Committee may elect to deny a review of proposed modifications it deems "substantial" and refer proposing party to Administrative Procedure 4021 for action.
- 4021.04 Program Viability Review is the process of determining the appropriateness of a Program Initiation, Program Modification, Discontinuance, or Revitalization.
- 4021.05 Program Discontinuance—is the termination of an existing program, discipline, or department.
- 4021.06 De Facto Discontinuance Is the unofficial, improper discontinuance of a program in circumvention of this administrative procedure, intended or unintended, that results from the reduction of course sections within that program or from any other institutional or administrative action; thereby rendering program implementation and completion impossible or improbable.
- 4021.07 Committee: the Academic Senate will form a standing Program Viability Committee whose membership is listed in Section IV of this procedure.

- 4021.08 Proposal to Revitalize—is a proposal submitted to the Program Viability Committee to evaluate and assess the programmatic health and viability of a particular educational program.
- 4021.09 Revitalization: a recommended action to remedy identified problematic areas of a program.
- 4021.10 Short Term Staffing and Fiscal Plan—is a proposed plan to project the staffing and fiscal needs of a program from one to three years in duration.
- 4021.11 Long Term Staffing and Fiscal Plan—is a proposed plan to project the staffing and fiscal needs of a program from three to five years in duration.
- 4021.12 Determination Process: refers to the sequential process of Section III through V of Administrative Procedure 4021.
- 4021.13 Program discontinuance shall not be driven merely by budgetary considerations. Low or declining enrollment or other degenerating measurements that are due primarily to budgetary reasons will not by itself justify program discontinuance.
- 4021.14 Special attention must be given to the impact of program discontinuance upon those students who are currently enrolled in the program.
- 4021.15 Program discontinuance is an issue of both academic and professional concern for the Academic Senate. It is also a matter of collective bargaining in so far as the policy impacts employment or other negotiated work conditions. Above all, it affects students' ability to achieve their educational goals. Therefore, program discontinuance requires participation of members from all segments of the educational community of the District, including students in particular. It must be supported by a thoughtful process of vital academic considerations and a careful analysis of a range of data about the program in question and the impact on the educational mission of the District.
- 4021.14 A recommendation to discontinue is mandated if so ordered by an external regulatory, governing or licensing body to which the program is subject. The process for program, discontinuance mandated or otherwise, is set forth in Administrative Procedure 4021. If discontinuance of a program or course is determined, implementation of the discontinuance must occur in a timely manner, per Administrative Procedure 4021.
- 4021.16 College districts are also required by statute and regulation to develop a process for program discontinuance and minimum criteria for the discontinuance of occupational programs. Additionally, Education Code §78015(a)(1) and 78016(a) stipulates that every vocational and occupational program shall meet certain labor market requirements prior to initiation and every two years thereafter to ensure its necessity. Any job market study of a particular labor market must meet professional industry standards by utilizing accepted methodology of data gathering and analysis.

The CEO shall adopt administrative procedures for the initiation, modification, revitalization, and discontinuance of educational programs.

See Administrative Procedure 4021

Board Approved: September 11, 10/24/2013 by the Academic Senate

Revisions Approved 05/26/2016 by the Academic Senate

Revisions Approved 05/09/2019 Next Review Date: Fall, 2025 by the Academic Senate

Revisions Approved XX/XX/XXXX by the Academic Senate.



AP 4021 Program Viability – Initiation, Modification, Discontinuance, and Revitalization

References:

Education Code Section 78015(a)(1), 78016(a); Title 5, Section(s) 51022, 53203(d) (1), 55130; ASCCC "Program Discontinuance: A Faculty Perspective"; ACCJC Standards.

I. Definitions

A. Program: An organized sequence of courses leading to a defined objective, a degree, certificate, diploma, license, or transfer to another institution of higher education, as defined by Title 5, sec. 55000, e.g., completing a program of study leading to a certificate in Global Competencies, an AS degree in Business, or transfer. For purposes of this procedure, Program shall also include a single course leading to a defined programmatic objective, certificate, or license.

The establishment and existence of a designated program review within the District's integrated institutional planning system does not by default confer the focus and object of that review to be a Program if it has not met the requirements and standards of this administrative procedure.

B. Program Initiation: The institution or adoption of a new Program as defined by this procedure.

All newly initiated Programs shall be considered "Pilot Programs" as detailed in this administrative procedure.

- C. Program Modification: Program Modifications shall be categorized in the following three manners:
 - Substantial Modification: An alteration to an existing Program that substantially
 modifies the Program in terms of necessary institutional resources yet to be secured
 or acquired or redirects such resources in a manner that requires institutional review
 beyond the mission of the Academic Senate's Curriculum Committee. Such
 Substantial Modifications may concern, among other factors, curriculum relevance
 and status, current faculty workload; physical or financial resources, academic
 outcomes and process; articulated coursework required for certificate, degree or

transfer; or students' ability to achieve their educational goals in a reasonable amount of time. The re-categorization of existing curriculum or proposed new curriculum might not necessarily constitute a Substantial Modification. A Substantial Modification must be proposed and meet the procedural requirements found in this administrative procedure.

- 2. Categorical Modifications: Proposals that re-categorize existing Programs in terms of their instructional value, degree or certificate status, or placement within the curricular organization established by the Office of Instruction, and do not substantially modify the Program's terms or requirements.
- 3. Nominal Modifications: Modifications determined by the Curriculum Committee to be nominal, normal, or customary revisions, scheduled or otherwise, that exist and are managed via the existing curriculum review process administered by the Academic Senate's Curriculum Committee. Such revisions are generally for the purpose of maintaining currency and/or legally mandated changes. This category of Program Modification shall be determined "nominal" in its effect and institutional impact and thus fall outside this administrative procedure's purview. The Curriculum Committee may elect to deny a review of proposed modifications it deems to be a Substantial Modification and refer the proposing party to this administrative procedure for action.
- D. Program Viability Review: The process of determining the appropriateness of a Program Initiation, Modification, Discontinuance, or Revitalization.
- E. Program Discontinuance: The termination of an existing Program, discipline, or Academic Department.
- F. De Facto Discontinuance: The unofficial, improper Program Discontinuance in circumvention of this administrative procedure, intended or unintended, that results from the reduction of course sections within that Program or from any other institutional or administrative action; thereby rendering Program implementation and completion impossible or improbable.
- G. Program Viability Committee (sometimes referred to hereinafter as "PV Committee"): The standing committee established by the Academic Senate in accordance with this administrative procedure to conduct Program Viability Review.
- H. Proposal to Revitalize: A proposal submitted to the PV Committee to evaluate and assess the programmatic health and viability of a particular Program.
- I. Revitalization: A recommended action to remedy identified problematic areas of a Program.
- J. Determination Process: The sequential process of Section III through V of this administrative procedure.

- K. Short Term Staffing and Sustainable Budgetary Plan: A proposed plan to project the staffing and fiscal needs of a Program from one to three years in duration.
- L. Long Term Staffing and Sustainable Budgetary Plan: A proposed plan to project the staffing and fiscal needs of a Program from three to five years in duration.
- M. Academic Department: As defined in Board Policy 4023.

II. Proposing Program Initiation, Modification, or Discontinuance

Program Initiation, Modification, Discontinuance and Revitalization proposals, and De Facto Discontinuance notifications, may be drafted by the Chief Instructional Officer (CIO), School Dean, Academic Department Chair, or Academic Program Director or Coordinator.

Program Initiation, Modification, and Revitalization proposals must have a faculty sponsor that qualitatively understands and supports the proposal. Program Discontinuance proposals presented to the PV Committee by an administrator may be submitted only after the administrator has met with, and reviewed, the Program Discontinuance proposal with the chair of the department responsible for the Program (or, when applicable, the Program Coordinator) and must include a representation as to whether the department chair or Program Coordinator consulted supports or opposes the Discontinuance. The proposal shall provide and include data and information as specified in Section III of this procedure to demonstrate the need for Program Initiation, Modification or Discontinuance. The completed proposal shall then be submitted to the Academic Senate President along with supporting documents.

Pursuant to BP 7215, whereby the Board of Trustees relies primarily on the advice of the Academic Senate in academic and professional matters, the Academic Senate shall have a fundamental and integral role in any discussion of Program Initiation, Modification or Discontinuance.

Nominal Modifications shall be proposed to the Curriculum Committee. If, after having reviewed a proposal, the Curriculum Committee deems it a Substantial Modification, it shall deny the proposal and refer proposing party to the PV Committee for consideration of the proposal.

III. Proposal Guidelines

Prioritization of proposals will be determined by the PV Committee in accordance with its committee operating procedures.

The initial proposal shall include, but is not limited to, the itemized quantitative and qualitative evidence listed below. Special attention must be given to the impact of Program Discontinuance upon those students who are currently enrolled in the Program. Special attention must also be given to the impact a Program Initiation or Modification has on

existing Programs, support services, staff, Curriculum Committee, curriculum cycle and development, and overall District functions. Proposals advocating the establishment of a Program supported by grant funding, even in cases where the District has already obtained the grant, shall not be deemed approved, established or initiated by default.⁴ Such proposals must also meet the evidentiary scrutiny established by this administrative procedure to obtain approval.⁵ All proposals must include a Short and Long-Term Staffing and Sustainable Budgetary Plan.

Categorical Modifications may be excused from the requirement of a full quantitative and qualitative proposal if it is determined by the PV Committee to be unnecessary. The proposing party should solicit such a determination from the PV Committee Chair in advance.

- A. Quantitative Evidence The quantitative evidence may include, but is not limited to, the following inquiries: (Criteria may differ based on the nature of the proposal. Not all inquiries below will necessarily be required.)
 - 1. What are the enrollment trends over the past five years and how are they favorable to the acceptance of the proposal?
 - 2. What is the projected demand for the Program in the future, and how does that demand support acceptance of the proposal?
 - 3. What is, or will be, the frequency of course section offerings and/or rationale as to their reduction, if applicable?
 - 4. What is the term-to-term persistence of students within the existing Program, or proposed Program.
 - 5. What are the student success and Program completion rates, and how are they favorable to the acceptance of the proposal?
 - 6. What is the current or projected student completion rate, and how is that rate favorable to the acceptance of the proposal?
 - 7. Does the productivity in terms of WSCH per FTE ratios favor acceptance of the proposal? If so, how?
 - 8. What are, and how do, the Success rate of students passing state and national licensing exams support the proposal?
 - 9. What data extracted from Program Review supports this proposal? And how?
 - 10. Career Education Considerations:

i. Is there a specific industry request for this Program?

⁴ Grant funded staffing positions should be presented to the Academic Staffing Committee for long term staffing considerations and planning. The intent of such is to ensure equitable and sustainable planning. The concern is that commonly funded non-grant positions could be adversely affected by positions initially grant funded but subsequently requiring funding from the traditional District budget. If a program is initiated and subsequent related hiring is grant funded, the proposal must include a plan institutionalizing the position after the grant funding ends.

⁵ Most grant funded Programs are no different than any other program proposals placing increased pressure and demand on campus services and resources having unforeseen consequences on existing disciplines and support services. The PV Committee must scrutinize campus instructional and support services to determine if they can absorb and support the grant funded Program without significantly diminishing the effectiveness of existing services and detrimentally increasing workload.

- ii. Does any data from a CE Advisory Committee support this proposal? If so, how?
- iii. Does the Regional Labor Data support this proposal? If so, how?
- 11. Will there be an adverse student impact resulting from Program Discontinuance or proposal?
- 12. Implementation timeline for resulting new courses.
- 13. The proposal shall substantiate adherence to standards of equity established by the State Chancellor's Office.
- 14. How does the proposed Program compare to similar regional Programs?
- 15. How does this Program meet an ongoing need not otherwise met, or capable of being met, by an existing Program?

B. Qualitative Evidence - Factors to be considered may include, but are not limited to:

- 1. Contemporary analysis of the relevance of a discipline.
- 2. Current District curriculum offerings as they relate to the District's academic mission.
- 3. The effect of Program Initiation, Modification or Discontinuance on institutional outcomes.
- 4. Are there any impacts on student equity?
- 5. The quality of the Program, which should include input from Program review, student evaluations, articulating universities, local businesses and/or industry, advisory committees and the community.
- 6. The ability of students to complete their degrees or certificates or to transfer. This includes maintaining rights of students as stipulated in the District catalog.
- 7. Consideration of matters of articulation as they relate to curriculum.
- 8. The existence of Programs in surrounding community college districts.
- 9. The ability of Programs to meet standards of external accrediting agencies, licensing boards and governing bodies.
- 10. The relation of the proposal to the District's goals and strategies as outlined in the most recent Strategic and Master Plan.
- 11. A clear understanding of which individual, Academic Department and academic school will be responsible for maintaining the Program.
- 12. The ability of campus instructional and support services to absorb and support the proposed Program without significantly diminishing the effectiveness of existing services and increasing workload detrimentally.
- 13. If a Program is initiated and subsequent related hiring is grant funded, the proposal must include a plan to sustainably institutionalize the position after the grant funding ends.

C. Sustainable Budget Evidence

All proposals shall include a multi-year projected budgetary estimate and analysis. Such analysis shall illustrate cost and revenue predictions and shall include a written narrative indicating how the proposal will be fiscally sustainable. A budgetary analysis tool will be provided by the District's Office of Business Services.

D. Incomplete Proposals

The PV Committee may return proposals it deems incomplete due to insufficient supporting evidence to the proposing party.

E. Vocational or Occupational Training Program Proposals

California Education Code section 78015(a)(1) requires that the local governing board initiate a job market study of the labor market area for a proposed vocational or occupational training Program prior to its establishment. Consequently, the initiating party of such a proposal must, prior to the submission of the proposal to the Academic Senate President and the CIO, and in accord with Section III of this administrative procedure, have requested and obtained the results of a relevant job market study of the labor market area to be included in their Program proposal. If a relevant study has already been completed within 6 months of the Program proposal, that study may be used to satisfy the Education Code requirement as well as the criteria of this administrative procedure and no new labor market study will be necessary. The proposing party should provide an analysis of the study as it relates to their proposal and indicate how it supports any newly proposed curriculum.

F. Notifications of Possible De Facto Discontinuance

Any member of the campus community may notify the Academic Senate President of a possible De Facto Discontinuance. Upon receipt of such notification, the Academic Senate President will inform the Academic Senate of the notification at the next regularly scheduled meeting. The Academic Senate President will request the CIO and any other relevant District administrators or personnel to report, within 60 days of said notification, to the Senate on the status of the Program in question. The Academic Senate President will request those same individuals provide the Senate annual Program status updates should a De Facto Discontinuance remain in effect twelve months after their initial report to the Academic Senate. The Academic Senate President will request future annual reports if the Program status remains unchanged. Notification of a possible De Facto Discontinuance does not fall within the remaining proposal and procedural requirements of this administrative procedure. The Academic Senate, in collaboration with the PV Committee, shall determine how to resolve purported De Facto Discontinuances.

IV. PV Committee Composition and Function

A. The PV Committee's membership shall be:

- 1. Faculty Chair (appointed by the Academic Senate President).
- 2. Two tenured or tenure-track faculty members from a transfer discipline.
- 3. CTE Liaison, or designee in consultation with the Academic Senate President, and one additional tenured or tenure-track faculty member from a CTE discipline.
- 4. CIO, or designee.
- 5. COCFA President, or designee.

- 6. AFT Part-time faculty union President, or designee.
- 7. A student representative appointed by the Associated Student Government.
- 8. A Counselor appointed by the Academic Senate President in consultation with the Counseling Chair.
- 9. Curriculum Committee Faculty Chair, or designee.
- 10. Program Review Committee Chair, or designee.
- 11. Vice-President of Business Services, or designee.
- 12. Vice-President of Facilities, or designee.
- 13. Vice-President of Information Technology, or designee.

Additional faculty members may be also appointed to the PV Committee by the Academic Senate President, in consultation with the Chair of the PV Committee.

B. PV Committee Functions

- 1. The PV Committee will use the quantitative and qualitative evidence contained within the initial proposal as a foundation for its Program Viability Review. The PV Committee will be charged with:
 - a. Determining the initial proposal's evidentiary sufficiency in accordance with this administrative procedure, including fiscal projections related to the proposal.
 - b. Reviewing and assessing the sufficiency of the quantitative and qualitative evidence per this administrative procedure.
 - c. Exercising discretion to expand its membership to include Program support staff, student services representatives, and adjunct instructors.
 - d. Gathering all qualitative and quantitative evidence into a written report.
 - e. Recommending to the Academic Senate one of the six potential outcomes of the proposal process listed in Section V of this administrative procedure, supported by a narrative that documents its findings. The PV Committee's written recommendation must also include a scheduled implementation timeline developed in conjunction with the proposing party.
 - f. The PV Committee must document any recommendations or requirements from external regulatory, governing or licensing body to which the Program is subject.
- 2. Revitalization Standards if the PV Committee deems Program Revitalization is necessary, an ad hoc joint committee of Faculty and Administration may be necessary to provide the institutional support required for the continued viability of the Program. The PV Committee's role is merely to adopt the objective standards for Revitalization; not to oversee implementation of those standards.
- 3. The PV Committee may solicit the opinion and participation of outside industry or discipline experts if it deems that to be necessary for determining the appropriateness of any proposal or for guidance with respect to Revitalization.

C. Mandated Program Discontinuance

A recommendation to discontinue a Program is mandated if ordered by an external regulatory, governing or licensing body to which the Program. If such a mandate occurs, Program Discontinuance will be deemed approved upon the District's proper notification of the Academic Senate. Such notification should clearly cite the governing entity and legal or administrative authority requiring Program Discontinuance. Pursuant to the mandate, the PV Committee's tasks will be solely those listed in Section VII of this administrative procedure.

V. Report of the PV Committee to the Academic Senate

The PV Committee may return proposals to the proposing party it deems incomplete due to the submission of insufficient benchmark evidence. In such cases, the proposal is considered "ongoing" and can be resubmitted directly to the PV Committee at a future date. The PV Committee will determine a reasonable timeline for resubmission of the revised proposal. The PV Committee is not required to report to the Academic Senate if a proposal is "ongoing."

If the proposal is determined complete, the PV Committee shall submit its written report to the full Academic Senate no later than the end of the spring semester of that academic year. The report shall include both quantitative and qualitative evidence that support its findings. The report should assess the Program's alignment with the District's mission, values, and goals, as well as access and equity for students. The report shall, in essence, create a narrative describing the rationale for the recommended approval or denial of the proposed Program Modification, Initiation or Modification. The recommended rationale shall substantiate the likelihood of achieving necessary and legitimate educational and institutional goals as well as bear equivalence to relevant standards established by the State Chancellor's Office. All reports shall include a multi-year projected budgetary estimate.

A. Possible Recommendations of the PV Committee

The PV Committee may make one of six recommendations to the Academic Senate, including that a Program be initiated, not initiated, modified, continued, continued with qualifications, or discontinued. All recommendations, with the exception of Program Discontinuance proposals, must provide evidence of Short and Long Term Sustainable Budgetary and staffing plans, as well as evidence of administrative commitment to those plans.

1. Recommendation to Initiate

The recommendation to initiate a Program shall be based upon the aforementioned qualitative and quantitative criteria and will be documented in writing by the PV Committee and maintained by the Academic Senate. Any such recommendation must consider and address the appropriateness of the projected timeline for implementation as well as whether implementation will adversely affect existing District functions, services and staff.

2. Recommendation to Not Initiate

The recommendation to not initiate a Program must include a clearly stated rationale for arriving at such a recommendation based upon the aforementioned qualitative and quantitative criteria documented in writing by the PV Committee and maintained by the Academic Senate.

3. Recommendation to Modify

The recommendation to modify a Program shall be based upon the aforementioned qualitative and quantitative criteria and will be documented in writing by the PV Committee and maintained by the Academic Senate. Any such recommendation must consider and address the appropriateness of the projected timeline for implementation as well as whether such implementation will adversely affect existing District functions, services and staff.

4. Recommendation to Continue

The recommendation for a Program to continue shall be based upon the aforementioned qualitative and quantitative criteria and will be documented in writing by the PV Committee and maintained by the Academic Senate.

5. Recommendation to Continue with Qualifications to Revitalize

Based upon the aforementioned qualitative and quantitative criteria, the PV Committee may recommend that a Program proposed for discontinuance, or otherwise, continue with qualifications. These qualifications must include any requirements imposed by an external regulatory, governing or licensing body to which the Program is subject. The PV Committee's written recommendations shall include a specific timeline during which these interventions will occur and the expect outcomes. The PV Committee shall make its written recommendations available to all concerned parties. The recommendation will be maintained by the Academic Senate. In accordance with the established timeline, the Program will again be evaluated based upon the aforementioned qualitative and quantitative criteria by the PV Committee.

a. Revitalization Standards – if the PV Committee deems Revitalization is necessary for a particular Program, an ad hoc joint committee of Faculty and Administration may be necessary to provide the institutional support required to support the continued viability of a particular Program. External discipline or industry experts may be utilized for this process. The PV Committee's role is merely to adopt the objective standards for Revitalization; not to oversee implementation of those standards.

6. Recommendation to Discontinue

The PV Committee's recommendation that a Program be discontinued shall be based upon the aforementioned qualitative and quantitative evidence and documented in writing maintained by the Academic Senate.

a. Mandated Program Discontinuance

A recommendation to discontinue a Program is mandatory if ordered by an external regulatory, governing or licensing body to which the Program is subject, as stated in BP 4021 and substantiated under Section IV of this procedure.

B. Academic Senate Action

The President of the Academic Senate will place all the PV Committee's recommendations on the Academic Senate's agenda. The Academic Senate must send forward a scheduled implementation timeline on adoption of PV Committee recommendations. The Academic Senate's recommendation will then be forwarded to the CEO to be submitted to the Board of Trustees for approval. Pursuant to BP 7215, "the recommendation of the Senate will normally be accepted, and only in exceptional circumstances and for compelling reasons will the recommendation not be accepted." In accordance with BP 7215, the Board of Trustees must promptly communicate, in writing, its reasons for rejecting any Academic Senate recommendation made pursuant to this administrative procedure.

VI. Pilot Program Status

All newly initiated Programs, including Substantial Modifications, shall be deemed Pilot Programs for a minimum period of three years. Categorical Modifications will not be required to serve as Pilot Programs unless the PV Committee deems it necessary for compelling reasons. Regular status reports shall be provided to the PV Committee by the responsible faculty member overseeing the Program, such as the chair of the academic department housing the program or a program director, throughout the duration of the Program's pilot status. The PV Committee will provide an update of the status to the Academic Senate as soon as possible. The PV Committee will determine the appropriate timeline for all Program status reports. The original proposing party, or individual overseeing the Program, shall present the reports.⁶

A. Staffing Requirements

- 1. Any adopted recommendations that include proposals requesting the hiring of full-time temporary or tenure-track faculty shall adhere to the established, regular hiring process of the Academic Staffing Committee. If Program implementation is contingent upon the approval of a staffing request, said Program's pilot status per Section VI of this procedure shall commence upon the date the requested position is filled.
- 2. The authorization to hire full time staff to support any new Program may need to be restricted until the conclusion of the three-year pilot process. Any recommendation to restrict full-time staffing shall be determined and implemented through the regular and existing institutionalized District staffing processes.

B. Required Reporting Content

⁶ The level of detail required in the reports will vary. The content of the reports shall correlate to the nature and context of the original proposal and the Program content's historical existence on campus. See the italicized note under Section III of this proposal. The Chair of the PV Committee shall forward the findings of the report to the CIO.

- 1. Report #1 the report shall be an informational status update to include evidence of the Program's growth, success, and challenges to date.
- 2. Report #2 the report shall quantify the original proposal's projections that were included in the quantitative and qualitative evidentiary requests listed in Section III of this procedure. The report shall also include a substantiated projection as to the Program's likelihood for sustainable success by the end of its third year.
 - i. Revitalization Standards if, having received Report #2, the Academic Senate deems Revitalization might be necessary for a particular Pilot Program, it shall refer the Pilot Program back to the PV Committee for consideration of an ad hoc joint committee of Faculty and Administration to provide the institutional support required to ensure the continued viability of the Pilot Program. External discipline or industry experts may be utilized for this process. The PV Committee's role is merely to adopt the objective standards for Revitalization; not to oversee implementation of those standards.
- 3. Final Report the report shall quantify the original proposal's projections that were included in the quantitative and qualitative evidentiary requests listed in Section III of this procedure. The report shall also include a substantiated projection as to the Program's immediate institutional sustainability.
 - i. Revitalization Standards if, having received Report #3, the Academic Senate deems Revitalization might be necessary and institutionally worthwhile for a particular Pilot Program, it shall refer the Program back to the PV Committee for consideration of an ad hoc joint committee of Faculty and Administration to provide the institutional support required to ensure the continued viability of the Pilot Program. External discipline or industry experts may be utilized for this process. The PV Committee's role is merely to adopt the objective standards for Revitalization; not to oversee implementation of those standards.
- C. Final Approval upon receipt of the Final Report the PV Committee shall make a recommendation to the Academic Senate as to whether the Pilot Program shall be approved as permanent. The Academic Senate will subsequently approve or discontinue the Pilot Program. Approval will be secured by a majority vote of a quorum of the Academic Senate. The CIO must expressly concur with the Academic Senate for the outcome of the vote to be final. If the Academic Senate and CIO disagree on the outcome the parties will continue to meet until consensus is reached.
 - 1. Discontinuance all Pilot Programs failing to receive approval for permanent status after the third and final year will be deemed strictly discontinued requiring an immediate implementation plan per Section VII of this administrative procedure.

VII. IMPLEMENTATION OF FINAL DETERMINATION SUPPORTING

DISCONTINUANCE

If a Program is recommended or mandated for discontinuance, or to continue with qualifications, and such recommendation is subsequently approved by the Board of Trustees, the PV Committee will reconvene to propose an implementation plan for the finalized determination. The implementation plan does not require approval of the Academic Senate. The PV Committee will formally convey its proposed implementation plan to the CIO and Academic Senate President who will work in concert with the CEO to implement the plan in a timely manner, to its completion. The Academic Senate President will report back to the full Senate, from time to time, as to the status of implementation.

- A. Discontinuance Implementation Plan must include, but is not limited to:
 - 1. A plan and timeline for implementing the Program Discontinuance or qualifications to be established.
 - 2. A set of procedures to allow currently enrolled students to complete their Programs of study in accordance with the rights of students as stipulated in the District catalog. If Program completion is not viable, other equitable consideration must be accorded to students.
 - 3. A plan for the implementation of all affected collective bargaining requirements and matters for faculty and staff.
 - 4. Coordinating Program Discontinuance to be consistent with the District catalogue.

Approved 04/11/12 by the Academic Senate Revisions Approved 10/24/2013 by the Academic Senate Revisions Approved 05/26/2016 by the Academic Senate Revisions Approved 05/09/2019 by the Academic Senate Revisions Approved XX/XX/XXXX by the Academic Senate



AP 4021 Program Viability – Initiation, Modification, Discontinuance, and Revitalization

Reference: References:

Education Code Section 78015(a)(1), 78016(a); Title 5, Section(s) 51022, 53203(d) (1), 55130; ASCCC "Program Discontinuance: A Faculty Perspective"; ACCJC Standards.

I.——DEFINITIONS

I. Definitions

A. Program: An organized sequence of courses, or a single course, leading to a defined objective, a degree, certificate, diploma, license, or transfer to another institution of higher education—(CCR, as defined by Title 5, Sectionsec. 55000). (_, e.g._, completing a program of study leading to a certificate in Computer Maintenance TechnologyGlobal Competencies, an AS degree in Business, or transfer). For purposes of this procedure—"_Program" shall also be understood include a single course leading to mean any thematic cluster of courses within the purview of the Office of Academic Affairs that support a common outcomea defined programmatic objective, certificate, or license.

Academic Department — "academic department" hereinafter referred to as "department", is an organizational structure composed of one or more related disciplines. Academic Departments are governed by Administrative Procedure 4023.

The establishment and existence of a designated program review within the District's integrated institutional planning system does not by default confer the focus and object of that review to be a "program" Program if it has not met the requirements and standards of Administrative Procedure 4021 this administrative procedure.

B. Program Initiation—is the: <u>The</u> institution or adoption of a new <u>program Program</u> as defined by <u>Board Policy 4021 and implemented through Administrative Procedure 4021.this procedure.</u>

All newly initiated Programs shall be considered "Pilot Programs" as detailed in this administrative procedure.

- C. Program Modification—: Program modifications Modifications shall be categorized in the following three manners:
 - 1. Substantial Modification—is an: An alteration to an existing program-Program that substantially modifies the program-Program in terms of necessary institutional resources yet to be secured or acquired, or redirects such resources in a manner that requires institutional review beyond the mission of the Academic Senate's Curriculum Committee—of the Academic Senate.. Such modifications Substantial Modifications may concern, among other factors, of curriculum relevance and status, current faculty workload; physical or financial resources, academic outcomes and process; articulated coursework required for certificate, degree or transfer; or students' ability to achieve their educational goals in a reasonable amount of time. The recategorization of existing curriculum or proposed new curriculum might not necessarily constitute a substantial modification. A Substantial Modification—a Substantial Modification must be proposed and meet the procedural requirements found in this Administrative procedure.
 - Categorical Modifications proposals: Proposals that re-categorize existing programs in terms of their instructional value, degree or certificate status, or placement within the curricular organization established by the Office of Academic Affairs Instruction, and do not substantially modify the Program's terms or requirements of the program.
 - 3. Nominal Modifications—— are non-substantial modifications: Modifications determined by the Curriculum Committee to be nominal, normal, or customary revisions, scheduled or otherwise, that exist and are managed via the existing curriculum review process administered by the Academic Senate's Curriculum Committee, a sub-committee of the Academic Senate. Such revisions are generally for the purpose of maintaining currency and, or legally mandated changes. This category of program modification Program Modification shall be determined "nominal" in its effect and institutional impact and thus fall outside the this administrative procedure's purview and requirement of Administrative Procedure 4021. The Curriculum Committee may elect to deny a review of proposed modifications it deems "substantial" and to be a Substantial Modification and refer the proposing party to Administrative Procedure 4021 this administrative procedure for action.
- D. Program Viability Review—<u>is the: The</u> process of determining the appropriateness of a Program Initiation, <u>Program</u> Modification—<u>or Program</u>, Discontinuance, or Revitalization.

- E. Program Discontinuance—is the: The termination of an existing program Program, discipline, or department Department.
- F. De Facto Discontinuance: <u>is the The</u> unofficial, improper <u>discontinuance of a program Program Discontinuance</u> in circumvention of this administrative procedure, intended or unintended, that results from the reduction of course sections within that <u>program Program</u> or from any other institutional or administrative action; thereby rendering <u>program Program implementation</u> and completion impossible or improbable.
- G. <u>Program Viability</u> Committee: the <u>(sometimes referred to hereinafter as "PV Committee"):</u>
 The standing committee established by the Academic Senate will form a standing Program Viability committee. For purposes of in accordance with this administrative procedure, and unless otherwise noted, "Committee" refers to the to conduct Program Viability Committee whose membership is listed in Section IV of this procedureReview.
- H. Proposal to Revitalize: <u>is a A</u> proposal submitted to the <u>Program ViabilityPV</u> Committee to evaluate and assess the programmatic health and viability of a particular <u>educational programProgram</u>.
- <u>⊢I.</u> Revitalization: <u>aA</u> recommended action to remedy identified problematic areas of a <u>programProgram</u>.
- K.J. Determination Process: refers to the The sequential process of Section III through V of this Administrative Procedure administrative procedure.
- <u>L.K.</u>Short Term Staffing and <u>FiscalSustainable Budgetary</u> Plan<u>is a: A</u> proposed plan to project the staffing and fiscal needs of a <u>programProgram</u> from one to three years in duration.
- M.L. Long Term Staffing and Fiscal Sustainable Budgetary Plan is a: A proposed plan to project the staffing and fiscal needs of a program from three to five years in duration.
 - I. PROPOSING PROGRAM INITIATION, MODIFICATION OR DISCONTINUANCE
- M. Academic Department: As defined in Board Policy 4023.
- II. <u>Proposing Program initiation, modification, discontinuance Initiation, Modification, or</u>

 Discontinuance

<u>Program Initiation, Modification, Discontinuance</u> and <u>revitalization</u> <u>Revitalization</u> proposals, and De Facto <u>discontinuance</u>Discontinuance notifications, <u>can</u>may be

<u>initiated drafted</u> by the Chief Instructional Officer (CIO), School Dean, <u>Academic Department</u> Chair, or Academic Program Director. <u>He/she will consult with School Dean or Coordinator</u>.

Program Initiation, Modification, and Revitalization proposals must have a faculty sponsor that qualitatively understands and Chair of supports the proposal. Program Discontinuance proposals presented to the PV Committee by an administrator may be submitted only after the affected administrator has met with, and reviewed, the Program Discontinuance proposal with the chair of the department and any other potentially affected responsible for the Program (or, when applicable, the Program Coordinator) and must include a representation as to whether the department or faculty. He/she willchair or Program Coordinator consulted supports or opposes the Discontinuance. The proposal shall provide and include data and information as specified in Section III of this procedure to demonstrate the need for program initiation, modification or discontinuance. Program Initiation, Modification or Discontinuance. The completed proposal isshall then be submitted to the Academic Senate President along with supporting documents.

"Pursuant to BP 7215, whereby the Board of Trustees relies primarily on the advice of the Academic Senate in academic and professional matters, the Academic Senate shall have a fundamental and integral role in any discussion of Program Initiation, Modification or Discontinuance.

Nominal Modifications" as defined in Section 4021.3(b) of Board Policy 4021 and Section I(C) of this Administrative Procedure, shall be proposed viato the Curriculum Committee. If, after having reviewed a proposal, the Curriculum Committee deems it a substantial modification Substantial Modification, it shall deny the proposal and refer proposing party to Administrative Procedure 4021the PV Committee for consideration byof the Program Viability Committee Proposal.

IV. PROPOSAL GUIDELINES

VI.III. To ensure proper planning and advanced notice, the Program Viability Committee will notify the campus every spring semester of the timeline and procedural deadlines for submitting proposals during the fall semester. Program initiation, modification, discontinuance and revitalization proposals shall be submitted to the Academic Senate President no later than the eighth week of the fall semester.

² Proposals to initiate, modify, discontinue or revitalize that are intended to take programmatic effect by the start of the next academic year, may be initiated only in the Fall-semester due to the extended time requirement necessary for completion of the determination process (Sections III through V of AP 4021). The size and diversity of the Program Viability committee, coupled with the need for sufficient review and discernment of the proposal by the Academic Senate and Administration demands the process extend into-the following Spring semester. Furthermore, completion of the determination process by the

<u>IV.</u> eighth week of the Fall semester, or during the Spring semester, will be advanced but with no intent of program implementation by the start of the next academic year. <u>Proposal</u> <u>Guidelines</u>

Prioritization of proposals will be determined by the <u>PV</u> Committee in accordance with its committee operating procedures.

The initial proposal shall include, but is not limited to, the itemized quantitative and qualitative evidence listed below. Special attention must be given to the impact of program discontinuance Program Discontinuance upon those students who are currently enrolled in the program. Special attention must also be given to the impact a program initiation Program Initiation or modification Modification has on existing programs. Support services, staff, curriculum committee Curriculum Committee, curriculum cycle and development, and overall college District functions. The proposal must include a scheduled implementation timeline that takes into consideration the aforementioned concerns. Proposals advocating the establishment of a program Program supported by grant funding, even in cases where the District has already obtained the grant, shall not be deemed approved, established or initiated by default. Such proposals must also meet the evidentiary scrutiny established by this administrative procedure to obtain approval. All proposals must

end of the academic year is mandated by potential changes to Senate membership and Program Viability Committee composition. Section VI, Implementation, does not need to be completed within the same academic year as the determination process.

⁸ Grant funded staffing positions should be presented to the Academic Staffing Committee for long term staffing considerations and planning. The intent of such is to ensure equitable planning. The concern is that commonly funded non-grant positions could be adversely affected by positions initially grant funded but subsequently requiring funding from the traditional College budget. If a program is initiated and subsequent related hiring is grant funded, the proposal must include a plan institutionalizing the position after the grant funding ends.

⁹ Grant funded staffing positions should be presented to the Academic Staffing Committee for long term staffing considerations and planning. The intent of such is to ensure equitable and sustainable planning. The concern is that commonly funded non-grant positions could be adversely affected by positions initially grant funded but subsequently requiring funding from the traditional District budget. If a program is initiated and subsequent related hiring is grant funded, the proposal must include a plan institutionalizing the position after the grant funding ends.

¹⁰ Most grant funded <u>programsPrograms</u> are no different than any other program proposals placing increased pressure and demand on campus services and resources having unforeseen consequences on existing disciplines and support services. The <u>program viability committeePV</u> <u>Committee</u> must scrutinize campus instructional and support services to determine if they can absorb and support the grant funded <u>programProgram</u> without significantly diminishing the

include a shortShort and long-term staffing planLong-Term Staffing and Sustainable Budgetary Plan.

Categorical Modifications may be excused from the requirement of a full quantitative and qualitative proposal if it is determined by the <u>committeePV Committee</u> to be unnecessary. The proposing party should solicit such a determination from the <u>PV</u> Committee Chair in advance.

- A. Quantitative Evidence The quantitative evidence may include, but is not limited to the following inquiries: (Criteria may differ based on the nature of the proposal. Not all inquiries below will necessarily be required.)
 - 1. What are the enrollment trends over the past five years and how are they favorable to the acceptance of the proposal?
 - 2. What is the projected demand for the program in the future, and how does that demand support acceptance of the proposal?
 - 3. What is, or will be, the frequency of course section offerings and/or rationale as to their reduction, if applicable?
 - 4. What is the term-to-term persistence of students within the existing program. Program proposed program.
 - 5. What are the student success and program completion rates, and how are they favorable to the acceptance of the proposal?
 - 6. What is the current or projected student completion rate, and how is that rate favorable to the acceptance of the proposal?
 - 7. Does the productivity in terms of WSCH per FTE ratios favor acceptance of the proposal? If so, how?
 - 8. What are, and how do, the Success rate of students passing state and national licensing exams support the proposal?
 - 9. What data extracted from Program Review supports this proposal? And how?
 - 10. Career Education Considerations:
 - i. Is there a specific industry request for this programProgramProgramProgramProgram?
 - ii. Does any data from a CE Advisory Committee support this proposal? If so, how?
 - iii. Does the Regional Labor Data support this proposal? If so, how?
 - 11. Will there be an adverse student impact resulting from <u>discontinuanceProgram</u> Discontinuance or proposal?
 - 12. Implementation timeline for resulting new courses.
 - 13. The proposal shall substantiate adherence to standards of equity established by the State Chancellor's Office.
 established by the State Chancellor's Office.

effectiveness of existing services and detrimentally increasing workload.

- <u>15.14.</u> How does the proposed <u>program Program</u> compare to similar regional <u>programs Programs</u>?
- 16.15. How does this <u>programProgram</u> meet an ongoing need not otherwise met, or capable of being met, by an existing <u>programProgram</u>?
- B. Qualitative Evidence Factors to be considered may include, but are not limited to:
 - 1. Contemporary analysis of the relevance of a discipline.
 - 2. Current <u>collegeDistrict</u> curriculum offerings as they relate to the <u>District's</u> academic mission of the college.
 - 3. The effect of program initiation, modification Program Initiation, Modification or discontinuance on institutional outcomes.
 - 4. Are there any impacts on student equity?
 - 5. The quality of the program, which should include input from program review, student evaluations, articulating universities, local businesses and/or industry, advisory committees and the community.
 - 6. The ability of students to complete their degrees or certificates or to transfer. This includes maintaining rights of students as stipulated in the <u>collegeDistrict</u> catalog.
 - 7. Consideration of matters of articulation as they relate to curriculum.
 - 8. The existence of programs in surrounding community college districts.
 - 9. The ability of programs to meet standards of external accrediting agencies, licensing boards and governing bodies.
 - 10. The relation of the proposal to the <u>District's</u> goals and strategies of the College as outlined in the most recent Strategic and Master Plan.
 - 11. A clear understanding of which individual, academic department Academic Department and academic school will be responsible for maintaining the program Program.
 - 12. The ability of campus instructional and support services to absorb and support the proposed program without significantly diminishing the effectiveness of existing services and increasing workload detrimentally.
 - 13. If a program is initiated and subsequent related hiring is grant funded, the proposal must include a plan to sustainably institutionalize the position after the grant funding ends.

C. Sustainable Budget Evidence

All proposals shall include a multi-year projected budgetary estimate and analysis. Such analysis shall illustrate cost and revenue predictions and shall include a written narrative indicating how the proposal will be fiscally sustainable. A budgetary analysis tool will be provided by the District's Office of Business Services.

<u>C.D.</u> Incomplete Proposals

submission of insufficient benchmark supporting evidence may be returned to the proposing party by the subsequent Academic Senate Program Viability Committee authorized by Section IV of this procedure.

D.E. Vocational or Occupational Training Program Proposals

California Education Code Sectionsection 78015(a)(1) requires that the local governing board initiate a job market study of the labor market area for a proposed vocational or occupational training programProgram prior to its establishment. Consequently, the initiating party of such a proposal must, prior to the submission of the proposal to the President of the Academic Senate President and the Chief Instructional OfficerCIO, and in accord with Section III(A)(1)(I) of this administrative procedure, have requested and obtained the results of a relevant job market study of the labor market area to be included in their programProgram proposal. If a relevant study has already been completed within 6 months of the programProgram proposal, that study may be used to satisfy the Education Code requirement as well as the criteria of this administrative procedure and thus no new labor market study is will be necessary. The proposing party should provide an analysis of the study as it relates to their proposal and indicate how it supports any newly proposed curriculum.

E.F. Notifications of Possible De Facto Discontinuances Discontinuance

Any party listed in Section II of this proceduremember of the campus community may notify the Academic Senate President of a possible De Facto discontinuance. Discontinuance. Upon receipt of such notification, the Academic Senate President will inform the full Academic Senate of the notification at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Academic Senate. The Academic Senate President will request the CIO and any other relevant college District administrators or personnel to report, within 60 days of said notification, to the full Senate on the status of the program request in question. The Academic Senate President will request those same individuals provide the full Senate annual program regram status updates should a De Facto discontinuance Discontinuance remain in effect 12 twelve months after their initial report to the Academic Senate. FutureThe Academic Senate President will request future annual reports will be requested by the Senate President if the program requirements of this administrative procedure. The Academic Senate, in collaboration with the PV Committee, shall determine how to resolve purported De Facto Discontinuances.

I. FORMATION OF PROGRAM VIABILITY COMMITTEE

The Academic Senate shall establish a standing program viability committee. Upon receipt-by the Academic Senate President, the Academic Senate shall forward proposals to the Program Viability Committee at its next regularly scheduled meeting. The Senate President-may request the party initiating the proposal to be present at the Senate meeting when the proposal is on its published agenda.

- Program Viability Committee Composition

- O. Academic Senate President, or designee.
 - The President of the Academic Senate shall serve as Chair of the committee. The President may delegate this duty to another standing member of the committee.
- V. APV Committee Composition and Function

A. The PV Committee's membership shall be:

- 1. Faculty Chair (appointed by the Academic Senate President).
- 1.2. Two tenured or tenure-track faculty membermembers from a transfer discipline.
- 2.3. ACTE Liaison, or designee in consultation with the Academic Senate President, and one additional tenured or tenure-track faculty member from a CTE discipline.
- 3.4. CIO, or designee.
- 4.5. COCFA President, or designee.
- 5.6. AFT Part-time faculty union President, or designee.
- 6.7. A student representative appointed by the Associated Student Government.
- 7.8. A Counselor appointed by the Academic Senate President in consultation with the Counseling Chair.
- 8.9. Curriculum Committee Faculty Chair, or designee.
- 9.10. A member of the Program Review Committee Chair, or designee.

. External Experts

The Program Viability Committee reserves the right to solicit the opinion and participation of outside industry or discipline experts if deemed necessary for determining the appropriateness of any particular proposal.

- 11. C. Program Viability Vice-President of Business Services, or designee.
- 12. Vice-President of Facilities, or designee.
- 13. Vice-President of Information Technology, or designee.

Additional faculty members may be also appointed to the PV Committee by the Academic Senate President, in consultation with the Chair of the PV Committee.

A.B. PV Committee Functions

- 1. The <u>PV</u> Committee will use the quantitative and qualitative evidence contained within the initial proposal as a foundation to make a qualitative assessment as to determining the merit of initiation, modification or discontinuance. The <u>for its Program Viability Review. The PV</u> Committee will be charged with:
- a. Determining the initial proposal's evidentiary sufficiency per Section III (A) and b.a. (B) of in accordance with this administrative procedure, to include including

- fiscal projections related to the proposed proposal.
- <u>c.b.ReviewReviewing</u> and <u>assessassessing</u> the sufficiency of the quantitative and qualitative evidence per <u>Section IV(B) of this administrative</u> procedure.
- d.c. Exercising discretion to expand its membership to include <a href="program-Progra
- e.d. Gathering all qualitative and quantitative evidence into a written report.
- f.e. Recommending to the Academic Senate one of the six potential outcomes of the proposal process to include documenting its findings by a narrative. (Listed is Section V (A) of this procedure.) listed in Section V of this administrative procedure, supported by a narrative that documents its findings. The PV Committee's written recommendation must also include a scheduled implementation timeline developed in conjunction with the proposing party.
 - The Program Viability Committee, in conjunction with the proposing party, must develop a scheduled implementation timeline to be included with the recommendation to the full Senate.
- h.f. The Program ViabilityThe PV Committee must document any recommendations or requirements from external regulatory, governing or licensing body to which the programProgram is subject.
- 2. Revitalization Standards if the <u>PV</u> Committee deems <u>revitalizationProgram</u> <u>Revitalization</u> is necessary for a particular program, an ad hoc joint committee of Faculty and Administration may be necessary to provide the institutional support required for the continued viability of the <u>particular program</u>. <u>External discipline or industry experts may be utilized for this process</u>. <u>The Program Viability</u>. <u>The PV</u> Committee's role is merely to adopt the objective standards for <u>revitalizationRevitalization</u>; not to oversee implementation of those standards.
- 3. D. The PV Committee may solicit the opinion and participation of outside industry or discipline experts if it deems that to be necessary for determining the appropriateness of any proposal or for guidance with respect to Revitalization.

B.C. Mandated <u>Program</u> Discontinuance

A recommendation to discontinue <u>a Program</u> is mandated if so_ordered by an external regulatory, governing or licensing body to which the <u>program is subject, as stated in BP 4021.Program.</u> If such a mandate occurs, <u>discontinuance of the programProgram Discontinuance</u> will be <u>said to have beendeemed</u> approved upon <u>the District's proper notification toof</u> the Academic Senate. Such notification should clearly cite the governing entity and legal or administrative authority requiring <u>discontinuance.Program Discontinuance.</u> Pursuant to the mandate, the <u>Program Viability CommitteePV Committee's tasks</u> will be <u>formed for the sole purposessolely those</u> listed in Section <u>VIVII</u> of this <u>administrative</u> procedure.

V. Report of the PV Committee to the Academic Senate

The <u>Program ViabilityPV</u> Committee may return proposals to the proposing party it deems incomplete due to the submission of insufficient benchmark evidence. In such cases, the proposal is considered "ongoing" and can be resubmitted directly to the <u>PV</u> Committee at a future date. The <u>PV</u> Committee will determine a reasonable timeline for resubmission of the revised proposal. <u>No The PV</u> Committee <u>is not required to report need be forwarded</u> to the Academic Senate <u>as long as theif a proposal is "ongoing."</u>

If the proposal is determined complete, the <u>Program ViabilityPV</u> Committee shall submit its written report to the full Academic Senate no later than the <u>fifth weekend</u> of the <u>Springspring</u> semester of <u>thethat</u> academic year in which the proposal was submitted. The report shall include both quantitative and qualitative evidence that support its findings. The report should assess the <u>program's Program's</u> alignment with the <u>District's</u> mission, values, and goals of the <u>institution</u>, as well as access and equity for students. The report shall, in essence, create a narrative describing the rationale for the recommended approval or denial of the proposed <u>discontinuance</u>, <u>initiation or modification</u>. <u>Program Modification</u>, <u>Initiation or Modification</u>. The recommended rationale shall substantiate the likelihood of achieving necessary and legitimate educational and institutional goals as well as bear equivalence to relevant standards established by the State Chancellor's Office. <u>All reports shall include a multi-year projected budgetary estimate</u>.

A. Possible Recommendations of the Program Viability PV Committee

There are The PV Committee may make one of six possible recommendations to the Program Viability Committee can make. A program may be recommended to Academic Senate, including that a Program be initiated, not initiated, modified, continued, continued with qualifications, or discontinued. All recommendations, with the exception of discontinuance Program Discontinuance proposals, must provide evidence of short Short and long term fiscal Long Term Sustainable Budgetary and staffing plans, as well as evidence of Administrative administrative commitment forto those plans.

1. Recommendation to Initiate

The recommendation to initiate a program shall be based upon the aforementioned qualitative and quantitative criteria and will be documented in writing by the PV Committee and maintained by the Academic Senate. Any such recommendation must consider and address the appropriateness of the projected time-frametimeline for implementation as well as whether such-implementation will adversely affect existing college_District functions,

¹¹-The fifth week deadline is intended as a consideration of ongoing instructional planning for the next academic year as well as allowing sufficient time for Academic Senate and Board of Trustees action to conclude before the end of the Spring semester.

services and staff.

2. Recommendation to Not Initiate

The recommendation to not initiate a program must include a clearly stated rationale for arriving at such a conclusion recommendation based upon the aforementioned qualitative and quantitative criteria documented in writing by the PV Committee and maintained by the Academic Senate.

3. Recommendation to Modify

The recommendation to modify a program shall be based upon the aforementioned qualitative and quantitative criteria and will be documented in writing by the PV Committee and maintained by the Academic Senate. Any such recommendation must consider and address the appropriateness of the projected time-frametimeline for implementation as well as whether such implementation will adversely affect existing college_District functions, services and staff.

4. Recommendation to Continue

The recommendation for a <u>program Program</u> to continue shall be based upon the aforementioned qualitative and quantitative criteria and will be documented in writing by the PV Committee and maintained by the Academic Senate.

5. Recommendation to Continue with Qualifications to Revitalize

Based upon the aforementioned qualitative and quantitative criteria, a programthe PV Committee may recommend that was Program proposed for discontinuance, or otherwise, by this process may be recommended to continue with qualifications. These qualifications must include any requirements imposed by an external regulatory, governing or licensing body to which the programProgram is subject. AThe PV Committee's written recommendations shall include a specific time line will be provided timeline during which these interventions will occur. The expected and the expect outcomes will be specified in writing and made. The PV Committee shall make its written recommendations available to all concerned parties. All interventions and time lines will be documented in writing by the Committee and The recommendation will be maintained by the Academic Senate. In accordance with the established time linetimeline, the programProgram will again be evaluated based upon the aforementioned qualitative and quantitative criteria by the Program ViabilityPV Committee.

a. Revitalization Standards – if the <u>PV</u> Committee deems <u>revitalization</u> is necessary for a particular <u>program Program</u>, an ad hoc joint committee of Faculty and Administration may be necessary to provide the institutional support required to support the continued viability of a particular <u>program Program</u>. External discipline or industry experts may be utilized for this process. The <u>Program Viability PV</u>

Committee's role is merely to adopt the objective standards for revitalization; not to oversee implementation of those standards.

6. Recommendation to Discontinue

The <u>PV Committee's</u> recommendation <u>forthat</u> a <u>program to Program</u> be discontinued shall be based upon the aforementioned qualitative and quantitative evidence and <u>will be</u> documented in writing <u>by the Committee and maintained</u> by the Academic Senate.

a. Mandated Program Discontinuance

A recommendation to discontinue <u>a Program</u> is <u>mandated_mandatory</u> if <u>so</u> ordered by an external regulatory, governing or licensing body to which the <u>programProgram</u> is subject, as stated in BP 4021 and substantiated under Section IV (C) of this procedure.

C.B. Full-Academic Senate Action

The President of the Academic Senate will place all the PV Committee's recommendations of the Program Viability Committee on the agenda of the Academic Senate. Upon adoption of recommendations of the Program Viability Committee, the Senate's agenda. The Academic Senate must send forward a scheduled implementation timeline on adoption of PV Committee recommendations. The Academic Senate's recommendation will then be forwarded to the CEO to be submitted to the Board of Trustees for approval. Pursuant to BP 7215, "the recommendation of the Senate will normally be accepted. Only, and only in exceptional circumstances or and for compelling reasons will the recommendation not be accepted." If a recommendation is not accepted In accordance with BP 7215, the Board of Trustees shallmust promptly communicate, in writing, its reasons in writing to the for rejecting any Academic Senate: recommendation made pursuant to this administrative procedure.

1. Vocational and Occupational Training Programs

California Education Code Section 78016 mandates that every vocational or occupational training program offered by a community college district shall be reviewed every two years by the governing board of the district to ensure that each program meet particular criteria. The District shall ensure compliance by conducting such ongoing reviews for all initiated programs of this type.

II. PILOT PROGRAM STATUS

VI. Pilot Program Status

All newly initiated programs, to include substantial modifications Programs, including Substantial Modifications, shall be deemed pilot programs Pilot Programs for a minimum period of three years. Categorical modifications Modifications will not be required to serve as pilot programs Pilot Programs unless the Program ViabilityPV Committee deems it necessary for compelling reasons. An annual Regular status report must reports shall be provided to the PV Committee by the responsible faculty member overseeing the Program, such as the chair of the academic department housing the program or a program director, throughout the duration of the Program's pilot status. The PV Committee will provide an update of the status to the Academic Senate at the conclusion of the first, second and third year of the program's existence. as soon as possible. The PV Committee will determine the appropriate timeline for all Program status reports. The original proposing party, or individual overseeing the program rogram, shall present the reports. 12

A. Staffing Requirements

- 1. Any adopted recommendations that include proposals requesting the hiring of fulltime temporary or tenure-track faculty shall adhere to the established, regular hiring process of the Academic Staffing Committee. If programProgram implementation is contingent upon the approval of a staffing request, said program's Program's pilot status per Section VI of this procedure shall commence upon the date the requested position is filled.
- 2. The authorization to hire full time staff to support any new program Program may need to be restricted until the conclusion of the three-year pilot process. Any recommendation to restrict full-time staffing shall be determined and implemented through the regular and existing institutionalized District staffing processes.

B. Required Reporting Content

1. Year One Report #1 – the report shall be an informational status update to include evidence of the program's Program's growth, success, and challenges to date.

2. Year TwoReport #2 – the report shall quantify the original proposal's projections that were included in the quantitative and qualitative evidentiary requests listed in Section III of this procedure. The report shall also include a substantiated projection as to the Program's likelihood for sustainable success by the end of its third year.

i. Revitalization Standards – if, having received Report #2, the Academic Senate deems Revitalization might be necessary for a particular Pilot Program, it shall refer the Pilot Program back to the PV Committee for consideration of an ad hoc joint committee of Faculty and Administration to provide the institutional

¹² The level of detail required in the reports will vary. The content of the reports shall correlate to the nature and context of the original proposal and the program Program content's historical existence on campus. See the italicized note under Section III(A) of this proposal. The Senate President Chair of the PV Committee shall forward the findings of the report to the CIO.

support required to ensure the continued viability of the Pilot Program. External discipline or industry experts may be utilized for this process. The PV Committee's role is merely to adopt the objective standards for Revitalization; not to oversee implementation of those standards.

- 2.3. Final Report the report shall quantify the original proposal's projections that were included in the quantitative and qualitative evidentiary requests listed in Section III of this procedure. The report shall also include a substantiated projection as to the program's likelihood for sustainable success by the end of its third year Program's immediate institutional sustainability.
 - <u>i.</u> Revitalization Standards if, having received the Year Two-Report #3, the Academic Senate deems revitalization might be necessary for a particular piloted program, it shall refer the program back to the Program Viability Committee for consideration of an ad-hoc joint committee of Faculty and Administration to provide the institutional support required to ensure the continued viability of the piloted program. External discipline or industry experts may be utilized for this process. The Program Viability Committee's role is merely to adopt the objective standards for revitalization; not to oversee implementation of those standards.

a. Year Three Report – the report shall quantify the original proposal's projections that were included in the quantitative and qualitative evidentiary requests listed in Section III of this procedure. The report shall also include a substantiated projection as to the program's immediate institutional sustainability.

- Revitalization Standards—if, having received the Year Three Report, the Academic Senate deems—revitalization—might be necessary and institutionally worthwhile for a particular piloted program Pilot Program, it shall refer the program Program back to the Program Viability PV Committee for consideration of an ad hoc joint committee of Faculty and Administration to provide the institutional support required to ensure the continued viability of the piloted program. Pilot Program. External discipline or industry experts may be utilized for this process. The Program Viability PV Committee's role is merely to adopt the objective standards for revitalization Revitalization; not to oversee implementation of those standards.
- PV Committee shall make a determination recommendation to the Academic Senate as to whether the pilot program pilot Program shall be approved as permanent. The Academic Senate will subsequently approve or discontinue the Pilot Program. Approval will be secured by a majority vote of a quorum of the Academic Senate. The CIO must expressly concur with the Academic Senate for the outcome of the vote to be final. If the Academic Senate and CIO disagree on the outcome the parties will continue to meet until consensus is reached.

1. Discontinuance – all <u>pilot programsPilot Programs</u> failing to receive approval for permanent status after the third and final year will be deemed strictly discontinued requiring an immediate implementation plan per Section VII of this <u>administrative</u> procedure.

XXIII-VII. IMPLEMENTATION OF FINAL DETERMINATION SUPPORTING DISCONTINUANCE

If a <u>programProgram</u> is recommended or mandated for discontinuance, or to continue with qualifications, and <u>such recommendation</u> is subsequently approved by the Board of Trustees, the <u>original Program ViabilityPV</u> Committee will reconvene to propose an implementation plan for the finalized determination. The implementation plan does not require approval of the Academic Senate. The <u>PV</u> Committee will formally convey <u>theirits</u> proposed implementation plan to the CIO and Academic Senate President who will work in concert with the CEO to implement the plan in a timely manner, to its completion. The Academic Senate President will report back to the full Senate, from time to time, as to the status of implementation.

— Discontinuance Implementation Plan

C.A. The implementation plan must include, but is not limited to:

- 1. A plan and <u>time_line_timeline</u> for implementing the <u>discontinuance_Program_Discontinuance</u> or qualifications to be established.
- A set of procedures to allow currently enrolled students to complete their programs Programs of study in accordance with the rights of students as stipulated in the college District catalog. If program Program completion is not viable, other equitable consideration must be accorded to students.
- 3. A plan for the implementation of all affected collective bargaining requirements and matters for faculty and staff.
- 4. Coordinating program discontinuance Program Discontinuance to be consistent with the college District catalogue.

Board Review: September 11, 2019 Next Review Date: Fall, 2025 Approved 04/11/12 by the Academic Senate

Revisions Approved 10/24/2013 by the Academic Senate
Revisions Approved 05/26/2016 by the Academic Senate
Revisions Approved 05/09/2019 by the Academic Senate
Revisions Approved XX/XX/XXXX by the Academic Senate

Key Modifications to BP/AP 4021 (Program Viability)

- 1. Moves relevant definitions from the Board Policy to the Administrative Procedure.
- 2. Proposals for Program Initiation, Modification, and revitalization must "have a faculty sponsor that qualitatively understands and supports the proposal."
- 3. Proposals for Program Discontinuance may be submitted by an administrator only after the administrator has met with, and reviewed, the program discontinuance proposal with the department chair (or, when appropriate, Program Coordinator). The proposal must indicate whether the person with whom the administrator has consulted supports of opposes the proposal.
- 4. All program proposals must include a "Sustainable Budgetary Plan," which must include (1) a "multi-year projected budgetary estimate and analysis" that illustrates cost and revenue predictions and (2) a written narrative indicating how the proposal will be fiscally sustained. The District's Office of Business Services shall proposal a budgetary analysis tool for this purpose.
- 5. Any "member of the campus community" (rather than only the CIO, School Dean, Department Chair, or Academic Program Director/Coordinator) may alert the Academic Senate President of a "De Facto" program discontinuance. The Academic Senate, working with the PV Committee, will determine "how to resolve purported de facto program discontinuances."
- 6. The composition of the PV committee is changed to (1) remove Academic Senate President as the default PV Committee Chair, (2) add one additional transfer discipline faculty, (3) add CTE Liaison, (4) add VP of Business Services or designee, (5) add VP of Facilities or designee, (6) add VP of IT or designee, (7) allow Academic Senate President to appoint additional faculty members in consultation with VP Committee Chair.
- 7. Requires the PV Committee to submit a report of its findings in connection with completed proposals for Program Initiation, Modification, Discontinuance, and Revitalization to the Academic Senate by the end of the spring semester of "that academic year" in which the completed proposal was submitted, rather than "no later than the fifth week of the Spring semester"
- 8. Requires the PV Committee's report to the Academic Senate to discuss the "multi-year projected budgetary estimate."
- 9. All newly initiated programs will remain in pilot program status for a "minimum" of three years, rather than "three years."
- 10. Requires those overseeing approved new programs in pilot status to submit "regular" status reports to the PV Committee, the timing of which the PV Committee may control, and for those reports to be forwarded to the Academic Senate. The current AP calls for "annual" status reports "at the conclusion" of each of the three years in which a new program is in pilot status.
- **11.** Requires the PV Committee to make a recommendation to the Academic Senate, at the end of a new program's pilot status, as to whether the program be made permanent. The Academic Senate must "subsequently approve or discontinue the" program.



BP 5010 Admissions and Concurrent Enrollment

Reference:

Education Code Section 48000, 48800.5, 48802, 76000, 76001, 76002, 76004, 76140, 76300;

Labor Code Section 3077

1. Definitions

- a. Advanced scholastic or vocational work is identified as College of the Canyons degree applicable coursework.
- b. Special admission part-time student is identified as a concurrent high school student enrolled in 11.0 college units or less.
- c. Special admission full-time student is identified as a concurrent high school student that has been released by the high school to attend college full-time and enroll in 12.0 units or more.
- d. Highly gifted student would be a student in K- 10 who has a score of 150 or higher on the most current version of the WISC intelligence test.
- e. Dual enrollment is defined as a high school student enrolled in college courses during the high school day on the high school campus.
- f. Concurrent enrollment is defined as a special admission student taking college courses.
- g. College Now is defined as the college courses offered on the high school campus after the high school day.

g.h. Continuing education is defined as a noncredit college course.

- 2. Any person applying for admission to the college may be accepted to the college if the person is:
 - A graduate of high school, passed the California High School Proficiency Test, have a GED, or have a Certificate of Completion from high school; or
 - b. 18 years of age or over and be capable of profiting from the instruction offered; or
 - c. A special admission student pursuant to Sections 48800, 48800.5, 48802, 76001, 76002, and 76004 of the California Education Code.
 - i. Pursuant to California Education Code Section 48800 (a) students must be approved by their high school principal or designee for "advanced scholastic or vocational work," and identified as a special admission part-time or full-time student.

- Pursuant to California Education Code Section 48800 (b), a student maybe admitted from K- 10 if identified as "highly gifted".
- iii. Pursuant to California Education Code Section 76002 (a) and (b)(3), a special admission student is defined as:
 - a) A student enrolled in a class open to the general public, and
 - b) The student is currently enrolled in grades 11 12. Exceptions may be made for some special programs and course work, as defined in the AP 5010, and
 - The student has demonstrated eligibility for instruction by completing orientation.
- iv. Pursuant to California Education Code Section 76004, a class section would be deemed a dual enrollment course if the class section is:
 - a. Offered on the high school campus; and
 - b. Offered during the high school day; and
 - c. Closed to the public; and
 - d. Only available to the high school student; and
 - e. Offered by the Santa Clarita Community College District pursuant to a College and Career Access Pathways Partnership Agreement ("CCAP Agreement") with the high school district following approval by College faculty in the manner outlined in AP 5010.
- 3. Students enrolled in grades 11-12 in a public or private school district may apply for admission to the College upon submitting an approved Special Admission form as well as an Authorization to Consent to Medical Treatment form and any other forms as deemed necessary. Home school programs for grades 11–12 must meet state guidelines for special admission students to apply for admission.
 - a. Pursuant to California Education Code Section 76001 (d), special admission parttime students may not enroll in more than 11.0 units per semester.
 - Pursuant to California Education Code Section 76004, dual enrollment students may not enroll in more than 15.0 units per semester.
 - c. If a special admission part time student also takes a dual enrollment course, the total units may not exceed 11.0 units per semester.
 - d. Pursuant to California Education Code Section 76300 (f) special admission part- time students enrolled under Section 5010.3 above may be exempt from payment of the enrollment fees, and if appropriate, nonresident tuition, per special action of the Board. All other appropriate fees shall be assessed.
 - Special Admission students released from their high school to attend College
 of the Canyons, full-time, must pay the enrollment fee and all other
 appropriate fees as assessed.

- f. The College may restrict a special admission student's admission in some courses and programs.
- Students enrolled in grades 7-129-10 in a public or private school district may apply for admission to the College upon submitting an approved Special Admission form as well as an Authorization to Consent to Medical Treatment form and any other forms as deemed necessary.
 - A. Students enrolled in grades 7-10 are only eligible to enroll in continuing education courses.
 - B. Students enrolled in grades 7-12 may enroll in continuing education courses via dual or concurrent enrollment, but only after approval by the students' middle school or high school principal, as applicable.
 - A.C. Students enrolled in grades 9-12 are eligible for dual enrollment <u>credit</u> courses, <u>but only after approval by the student's onceapproved by their</u> high school principal.
 - B.D. Students enrolled in grades 9-10 are not ineligible to enroll in take concurrent enrollment courses if they fail to unless they meet the standards of "highly gifted" erand are not outside are part of the exemptions outlined in AP 5010.

ᠸ.	С,			

- 5. Students enrolled in grades 7-12 in a public or private school district may apply for admission to the College upon submitting an approved Special Admission form as well as an Authorization to Consent to Medical Treatment form and any other forms as deemed necessary.
 - A. Students enrolled in grades 7-12 are eligible for continuing education courses via dual or concurrent enrollment once approved by their middle school or high school principal.
 - B. Students enrolled in grades 7-10 are only eligible for continuing education courses.
- 6.5. Claims for state apportionment submitted by the district based on enrollment of specially admitted part time students enrolled shall satisfy the criteria established by statue and any applicable regulations of the Board of Governors.

See Administrative Procedures AP 5010

Board approved 7/7/21



AP 5010 Admissions and Concurrent Enrollment

Reference:

Education Code Section 48000, 48800.5, 48802, 76000, 76001, 76002, 76004, 76140, 76300; Labor Code Section 3077

- After meeting the admission criteria in Board Policy 5010, prospective students, 18 years of age or older, must apply for admission prior to the semester/term for which they wish to enroll in classes.
- 2. According to Title 5, section 54010, students returning to College of the Canyons after an absence of one semester or more, must re-apply for admission to meet California residency requirements.
- To best support the visa status of international students and their academic success, all
 prospective students lacking USA residency and seeking admission to College of the
 Canyons as permitted by any kind of study visa shall apply through the International
 Services & Programs office.
- 4. To be admitted to the college for concurrent enrollment purposes pursuant to Board Policy 5010 part-time and full-time special admission high school students must (a) apply for admission prior to the registration deadline for each semester/term for which the student intends to enroll in classes, (b) complete an orientation prior to the first semester/term of enrollment, and satisfy one of the following categories:
 - a. Be currently enrolled in grades 11-12 at a public or private high school in California.
 - b. Be a "highly gifted student" enrolled in grades K-10 who meets all of the requirements that apply to students enrolled in grades 11-12 and who has submitted a score of 150 or higher on the current version of the WISC intelligence test.
 - c. Be currently enrolled in grades 11 or 12 in a home school program that meets state guidelines for prospective students to be admitted. Programs deemed eligible must meet one of the following criteria: (1) The home school program must be affiliated with a county department of education program, (2) must be taught by a person holding a California teaching credential, or (3) must hold a current private school affidavit filed with the State Superintendent of Public Instruction.

- 5. To be admitted to the college for dual enrollment purposes pursuant to Board Policy 5010, part-time and full-time special admission high school students seeking admission must satisfy all of the following conditions:
 - Be currently enrolled in grades 9-12 at a public or private high school in California.
 - b. Apply for admission prior to the registration deadline each semester/term for which they plan to enroll in classes.
 - c. Complete an orientation prior to the first semester/term of enrollment.
- 6. To be admitted to the college for continuing education courses through concurrent and dual enrollment purposes pursuant to Board Policy 5010, part-time and full-time special admission middle school and high school students seeking admission must satisfy all-of the following conditions:
 - a. Be currently enrolled in grades 7-12 at a public or private middle or high school in California.
 - Apply for admission prior to the registration deadline each semester/term for which they plan to enroll in classes.
 - c. Complete an orientation prior to the first semester/term of enrollment.
- 6.7. Admissions personnel will determine which students will be eligible for admission to College of the Canyons.
- 7.8. Once approved for admission, all eligible special admission students must be recommended for each class they plan to enroll by the appropriate <u>middle school or</u> high school principal or designee. Evidence of approval must be presented at the time of registration.
- 8.9. Each potential student must submit a complete concurrent enrollment or dual enrollment form with appropriately recommended College of the Canyons degree applicable or continuing education courses, as well as appropriate signatures by the student, parents or guardians, and high school principals, counselors or designees.
- 9.10. Each potential student must submit an Authorization to Consent to Medical Treatment form and any other form deemed necessary with appropriate signatures included.
- 40.11. Home school students must follow the same procedures as outlined above. To meet required signatures for high school designees, private school authorities or administrators may be considered a designee, the high school or program administrators the student is affiliated with may be considered a designee, or the person holding the California teaching credential may be considered the designee.
- 11.12. Students currently in grades 11-12, attending concurrent enrollment courses, will register using a priority system, which is published each semester.

Continuing education students currently in grades 7-12, attending concurrent enrollment courses, will register using a priority system, which is published each semester.

- 12.13. Students attending a dual enrollment course will be enrolled in the course by the Admissions and Records staff. Continuing education students currently in grades 7-12, attending dual enrollment courses, will be enrolled in the course by the Admissions and Records staff.
- <u>13.14.</u> Special Admission high school students are restricted from taking the following courses:
 - a. HRMGT 226 Food and Wine;
 - b. HLHSCI 151 Emergency Medical Technician 1;
 - Physical Education and Recreation courses (except AOC students, Castaic high school students, and high school students registering for on- or offseason Intercollegiate Athletics courses);
 - d. Any course requiring the filing of a contract and/or waiver of liability also requires departmental consent for special admission students to enroll.
- 15. Students, in any grade level, participating in the Symphony of the Canyons program must follow the same requirements as other special admission students, except the district waives the grade level requirement for students taking the music classes in this program.
- 14.16. Students, in any grade level, participating in the Instructional service agreements for the LA County Sheriff, LA County Life Guard, LA Police Department, or LA County Fire Department programs for K-12 students, offered pursuant to an Instructional Service Agreement to which the District is a party, in K-12 programs must follow the same requirements as other special admission students, except the dDistrict waives the grade level requirement for students taking the classes associated with the ISA program.
- 15.17. Students participating in the Academy of the Canyons or Castaic High School programs will attend an orientation conducted COC. AOC students will take the assessment tests prior to their junior year of high school. Academy of the Canyons and Castaic High School students will not be restricted from enrolling in any College of the Canyons class for which they are otherwise eligible and will be exempt from enrollment fees.
 - a. Students enrolled in Academy of the Canyons or Castaic High School may enroll in special admission courses while in the 9-12 grades.
- 16.18. The Academic Senate will approve future program and/or course restrictions and exceptions.
- 17.19. Special admission part-time high school students may not be enrolled in more than 11.0 units per semester/term. The District shall not commit to offer any section of any credit or non-credit course for any semester/term pursuant to a College and Career

Access Pathways Partnership Agreement ("CCAP Agreement") with a <u>middle school or</u> high school district without the consent of District faculty. The process for securing <u>faculty</u> consent is as follows:

- a. For each section of each course that the District proposes to offer pursuant to a CCAP Agreement, the District shall notify the chair of the department responsible for that course of its proposal (including course name and proposed number of sections) or, in the case of a non-credit course, the Faculty Director of Continuing and Adult Education ("Faculty Director").
- b. The District shall notify the department chair or, if applicable, the Faculty Director, of its proposal sufficiently in advance of any statutory, contractual, or other deadline for confirming the course offerings to allow the department chair or, if applicable, Faculty Director, meaningful consideration of the proposal, and in no event less than 14 calendar days.
- c. The notified department chair or, if applicable, Faculty Director, shall undertake reasonable efforts under the circumstances to consult with full-time department faculty, if any, regarding the District's proposal, particularly the course/curriculum coordinator, if applicable, and faculty who are reasonably anticipated to be those offered the opportunity to teach the courses within the proposal and those faculty who have regularly taught the course historically and are reasonably anticipated to do so in the future, in an effort to secure the consensus of the departmental faculty regarding the appropriateness of offering the courses and sections to dual enrollment high school-students. In the case of a non-credit course, the Faculty Directory shall consult with relevant disciplinary faculty from other departments when reasonably necessary given the nature of the non-credit course. Regardless of the scope or outcome of this consultation process, the department chair or, if applicable, Faculty Director, possesses final authority to accept or reject the District's proposal.
- e.d. The department chair or, if applicable, Faculty Director, shall respond to the District's proposal as promptly as reasonably possible under the circumstances by expressing agreement to or rejection of the District's proposal. A department chair's response may provide partial consent by agreeing to fewer course sections of a particular course than the District has proposed. The District will not commit to offer any section of any course for any semester/term prior to receipt of the department chair's or, in applicable, Faculty Director's, affirmative consent.
- d.e. A department chair's of, applicable, Faculty Director's consent to a District proposal to offer a course for a particular semester/term pursuant to a CCAP Agreement will not constitute consent to offer that course for any subsequent semester/term. Rather, the District must utilize the procedure outlined herein to secure faculty consent to offer courses pursuant to a CCAP Agreement for each semester/term.
- e.f. The District will provide faculty teaching dual enrollment with appropriate professional development, before they commence with their teaching assignment, that includes mandated reporting and best practices for working with high school or middle school students.

- 18.20. Special admission full-time students may be enrolled in 12.0 units or more per semester/term upon submission of a letter from their high school releasing them to attend College of the Canyons full-time instead of attending their respective high school.
 - Dual Enrollment students may not enroll in more than 15.0 units per semester.
 - b. Special admission part time high school students who enroll in dual enrollment courses cannot take more than 11.0 units per semester.
- 19.21. Once registered in classes, specially admitted part-time students are waived from nonresident and enrollment fees. Specially admitted part –time and dual enrollment students must pay all other appropriate fees each semester/term.
- 20.22. Pursuant to AB 2364 and effective January 1, 2017, a district must exempt all qualifying nonresident special part-time students from the nonresident tuition fee.
- 21.23. The District is able to report special admission nonresident attendance as resident FTES for apportionment purposes.
- 22.24. Nonresident fees may be waived for specially admitted part-time students who would qualify for the AB 540, nonresident tuition exemption, at the time of graduation from high school.
- The District cannot claim apportionment for students who have their nonresident tuition waived under this section of Board Policy.
- 24.26. Once registered in classes, specially admitted students released from their high school to attend College of the Canyons full-time must pay all fees assessed, including the enrollment fee and nonresident fee, if appropriate.

Reviewed and Endorsed by CPC 5/25/2021