



COLLEGE OF THE CANYONS
ACADEMIC SENATE
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
SUMMARY

November 12, 2020
11:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. via Zoom

Meeting can be joined from PC, Mac, Linux, iOS or Android:

<https://cccconfer.zoom.us/j/99967545046>

Or iPhone one-tap (US Toll): +1-669-900-6833 or +1-253-215-8782, 99967545046#

AGENDA

Attendees: Claudia Acosta, David Andrus, Wendy Brill, Jason Burgdorfer, Teresa Ciardi, Gary Collis, Nicole Faudree, Miriam Golbert, Gary Quire, Lisa Hooper, Garrett Rieck, Dustin Silva, Julianne Johnson, Alisha Kaminsky, Patty Robinson, Robert Wonser

A. Routine Matters

1. Call to order: 11:03 am
2. Public Comment:
 - none
3. Approval of the Agenda
 - Motion to approve the agenda by Nicole Faudree, seconded by Wendy Brill. Votes counted using the participant's window in Zoom. Unanimous. Approved.

B. Reports:

1. ASCCC Area C Meeting report out, David Andrus
 - **ASCCC Fall 2020 Plenary:** David and Lisa attended the three day conference. There were more attendees than normal due to the conferences being statewide. Special thanks was given to ASCCC President Dolores Davidson on running the voting component of the resolutions. When attending these conference it is better to have more people attend due to them many workshops. Many of the workshops can sometimes overlap in time. The cost of the virtual conference is less than in person attendance. Many chairs of this committee would also benefit from attending the conference. The ASCCC Spring 2021 Plenary will be held from April 15th to the 17th.
 - **ASCCC Anti-Black Racism Discussion:** The major theme at the plenary session was on Anti-Black Racism and on equity, diversity and combating racism. There are many resources out there that will be shared soon. However, as much as

there are local efforts on the Call to Action and on Anti-Racism it is not clear if the college has all the resources needed. There is much human capital needed on campus to be able to address this issue. In particular, there were 6 to 8 faculty members, who are Black/African American colleges who had drafted an article for the Senate Rostrum and they were asked to present at a general session. This presentation as very powerful and there is interest to invite them to campus to present.

- **ASCCC Resolutions:** The final list of adopted resolutions will be shared with the Senate Executive Committee. David is encouraging committee members to consider attending plenary as there was a strong resolution on Academic Freedom. There is also a resolution on Academic Senate Statewide Mission, Diversity and Equity in all areas of Curriculum. For example, ethnic studies as enforcing the GE requirement as a separate graduation requirement.
- **ASCCC Award Process:** ASCCC offers awards annually. There was one applicant, Garrett Rieck in the Non-Credit program for which an application was sent in for the ASCCC Exemplary Award program. This application was due last Monday. The Hayward Award is due in a month. There have been multiple inquiries as far as whether or not the award has to be within the confines of how we define an educational program per AP and BP Program Viability. It was clarified that the definition does not need to be an education program that leads to a Certificate or a degree for transfer. It can be a broad interpretation of a program.

C. Action:

1. Adoption of September 15, 2020 Ex. Comm. Summary (pg. 2-5)
 - Motion to adopt the summary by Gary Quire, seconded by Lisa Hooper. Votes counted using the participant's window in Zoom. Unanimous. Approved.
2. Senate Report Template and Options (pg. 6)
 - **New Voting Roster List:** The updated voting member roster sheet was shared. The list includes all voting members as listed in the Academic Senate Bylaws. The other list is that of proposed new voting members. These are those who have been attending the meetings. This Action item is to motion to include the new committee voting members listed under the title of "New Proposed Voting Members." This will expand the members to allow for a more cohesive and broader approach at disseminating information to committee chairs of the Senate. This will now include all committee chairs of the Academic Senate sub-committees. If there is anyone who is not included that person can be added to the list and the list can return for action on the next agenda.
 - **Committee Chair Voting Right?** If there are two committee chairs such as a chair and co-chair is it one vote per committee? The committee will have one vote and that can be the committee chair or committee designee. If this is agreed upon, should those individuals be asked to decide who the voting person will be? There is also a question regarding having on faculty member chairs two committees, does this individual vote twice? The suggestion is yes, this chair would get two votes as they are representing two committees. As the role in terms of shared governance is further refined there may be a better understanding of how necessary one vote per committee is. If there is a chair

who is voting for two committee it needs to be clear if for example, those votes are being counted using the participants window or verbally? It was clarified that those members of Academic Senate who serve in two roles are allowed only one vote.

- There was a request to ensure there is a AFT representation on sub-committees as some committees discuss issues and complexities related to the AFT contract. David and Dr. Torres have created an Advisory Council which is similar to the Instructional Advisory Council meeting that is held once a month. These meetings are meant to provide another forum for coordination between instruction and the Senate, adjunct compensation issues and concerns. This item can return for more discussion regarding the structure of voting.
- A correction will be made to the roster sheet. Garrett Rieck is not the CE liaison but it is actually Gary Quire.
- Motion to expand the Executive Committee membership to include those listed in the proposed new voting member list and co-chairs are allowed to vote but each person is allowed one vote maximum regardless of the number of committee they represent by Gary Quire, seconded by Lisa Hooper. Votes counted using the participant's window in Zoom. One abstention from Teresa Ciardi. Unanimous. Approved.

D. Discussion

1. ASCCC Fall Plenary Resolutions, David Andrus
 - This item was discuss in the report section.
2. Consideration of ASCCC Resolutions Process
 - Anyone on campus can write a resolution and bring to ASCCC Area C meetings and then up to plenary. ASCCC wants people to propose resolutions that are meaningful which speak to a need and have a broad interest and not necessarily a self-interest. ASCCC also does not want any duplication. There was a strong Academic Freedom reinforcement resolution that was adopted. Chris Blakey is now heading the Academic Freedom standing committee. There was also another resolution just passed on strengthening and revisiting the need to strengthen Academic Freedom and awareness. The deadline to submit resolutions is March 22, 2021.
 - There was an idea proposed for a resolution stating what Academic Senate would like to see as a possible waiver for returning to campus. These could be similar as the K-12 waivers. David will send out a ASCCC resolution template to all committee chairs.
3. ASCCC Exemplary Program Award?
 - There are there awards, the Exemplary Award, Hayward Award (which has to do with outstanding full-time and part-time faculty), and the Diversity Award which is already listed on the Senates website.
4. Local Recognition Award Process?
 - An idea was presented regarding adopting a local recognition award process. The question is should the award process mirror the statewide awards? There are rubrics which the state uses for each one of these awards. Should there be one full-time award and part-time award? Should these be awarded annual or per semester? Should they be program awards? Awards also need to equitable.

- Should there also be a recognition from the Senate in terms of what faculty want for awards and processes? Should students also be involved in the award process as students should have a vote or a voice? Also who would be allowed to nominate, should it be peers or students? Awards should also be merit and not popularity based. Would this be recognition for a faculty member or program?
- David will bring back at the next meeting a template for a local award replicated process for these three ASCCC awards. In addition, an approach to how this could be implement for spring or the beginning of fall 2021. This will also serve as a discussion item regarding who is allowed to nominate student peers, faculty administrators, etc.

Return to Work Update:

- There are many faculty who are concerned about “What if’s?” How do a faculty respond when a student expresses they don’t feel well? This could be a student who is part of an essential infrastructure program on campus. What would be the on campus protocols? There are no faculty who are part of the Return to Work Taskforce. This concern has been discussed with Dr. Diane Fiero. There is discussion regarding creating a new work group. This workgroup will have representation from AFT and COCFA and Senate. There was a request to include CE faculty or the CE Committee Chair.

Senate Executive Committee Meetings for spring 2021:

- There is a proposal for one last Senate Executive Committee meeting for Dec. 3rd 11:00am to 12:00pm meeting. If there are multiple submission for the Hayward Award the idea is to use this meeting to review for those awards. The remaining meeting for the spring 2021 semester will be for Thursday from 11:00am to 12:00pm or 12:00pm to 1:00pm if needed.

E. Adjournment: 12:05 pm