SANTA CLARITA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEASURE M GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS ELECTION 2006

FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE AUDITS

JUNE 30, 2015

SANTA CLARITA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEASURE M GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS ELECTION 2006

FINANCIAL AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2015

FINANCIAL AUDIT TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2015

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings

FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report	2
Financial Statements	
Balance Sheet	4
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	12
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	
Financial Statement Findings	15

16

FINANCIAL SECTION

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Trustees and Citizens' Oversight Committee Santa Clarita Community College District Santa Clarita, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Santa Clarita Community College District's (the District) Measure M General Obligation Bonds (Measure M) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Measure M General Obligation Bonds (Measure M) of the District at June 30, 2015, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure M General Obligation Bonds (Measure M) and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of the District in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

Vauriner, Time, Day & Co., Ll.P.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 21, 2015, on our consideration of the District's Measure M General Obligation Bonds (Measure M) internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's Measure M General Obligation Bonds (Measure M) internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Rancho Cucamonga, California

December 21, 2015

BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2015

ASSETS	
Investments	\$ 27,235,469
Accounts receivable	92,040
Total Assets	\$ 27,327,509
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 1,478,603
FUND BALANCE	
Restricted	
Capital projects	25,848,906
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$ 27,327,509

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

REVENUES		
Local revenues	\$	177,620
EXPENDITURES		
Current Expenditures		
Classified salaries		79.067
		78,967
Employee benefits		23,568
Services and operating expenditures		72,603
Capital outlay		12,366,678
Total Expenditures		12,541,816
DEFICIENCY OF REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	(12,364,196)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)		
Other sources - proceeds from sale of bonds		26,187,886
Other uses - payment made to debt service fund		, ,
and other costs of issuance		(1,187,886)
Net Financing Sources (Uses)		25,000,000
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE		12,635,804
FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING		13,213,102
FUND BALANCE - ENDING	\$	25,848,906

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2015

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

The accounting policies of the Measure M General Obligation Bonds (Measure M) conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA).

Financial Reporting Entity

The audited financial statements include only the Measure M General Obligation Bonds of the Santa Clarita Community College District (the District). These funds were established to account for the expenditures of general obligation bonds issued under the Proposition 39 Measure M General Obligation Bonds. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and the changes in the financial position of the District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Measure M General Obligation Bonds are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Resources are allocated to and accounted for in the funds based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

The Measure M General Obligation Bonds are accounted for using a flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance reports on the sources (revenues and other financing sources) and uses (expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources. These fund financial statements do not include the adoption of GASB Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, as the District was not required to adopt GASB Statement No. 54 under the reporting requirements of Statement No. 35.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for all governmental funds. The District's governing board adopts an operating budget no later than July 1 in accordance with State law. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements. The Board revises this budget during the year to give consideration to unanticipated revenue and expenditures primarily resulting from events unknown at the time of budget adoption. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2015

Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances lapse at June 30.

Fund Balance - Governmental Funds

As of June 30, 2015, the fund balance of the Measure M General Obligation Bonds was classified as follows:

Restricted - amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation, or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 - INVESTMENTS

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under California Government Code to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State; U.S. Treasury instruments; registered State warrants or treasury notes; securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security; and collateralized mortgage obligations.

Investment in County Treasury

The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2015

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Remaining	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

Summary of Investments

Investments as of June 30, 2015, consist of the following:

	Reported
	Value
Los Angeles County Investment Pool	\$ 27,235,469

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The District does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the Los Angeles County Investment Pool.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2015

Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the District's investments to market interest rate fluctuation is provided by the following schedule that shows the distribution of the District's investment by maturity:

		Weighted
	Fair	Average Days
Investment Type	 Value	to Maturity
Los Angeles County Investment Pool	\$ 27,207,068	595

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The District's investment in the Los Angeles County Investment Pool is not required to be rated, nor has it been rated as of June 30, 2015.

NOTE 3 - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

The accounts receivable at June 30, 2015, in the amount of \$92,040 represents interest earnings that have yet to be received. All amounts have been determined by management to be fully collectable.

NOTE 4 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES

The accounts payable and accrued liabilities at June 30, 2015, represent amounts owed to vendors for both ongoing and completed construction projects in the amount of \$1,478,603.

NOTE 5 - FUND BALANCE

Fund balance is composed of the following element:

Restricted for capital projects

\$ 25,848,906

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2015

NOTE 6 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

As of June 30, 2015, the Measure M General Obligation Bonds had the following commitments with respect to unfinished capital projects:

	R	temaining	Expected
	Co	onstruction	Date of
CAPITAL PROJECT	Co	mmitment	Completion
Canyons Hall - Tenant Improvement	\$	360,385	October 2015
Canyons Hall - DSA Close Out		6,550	March 2016
Air Handler Replacement		14,700	October 2015
Bonelli Remodel		87,875	April 2015
Science Building		228,050	June 2017
Signage		150,050	November 2015
Soccer Field Turf		93,500	March 2016
Fire Alarm Panel Replacement		16,268	October 2015
	\$	957,378	

Litigation

The District is involved in various litigation arising from the normal course of business. In the opinion of management and legal counsel, the disposition of all litigation pending is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the overall financial position of the Measure M General Obligation Bonds (Measure M) at June 30, 2015.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT





INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Trustees and Citizens' Oversight Committee Santa Clarita Community College District Santa Clarita, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the accompanying financial statements of the Santa Clarita Community College District (the District) Measure M General Obligation Bonds (Measure M), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes of the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 21, 2015.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure M General Obligation Bonds (Measure M), and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of the District in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's Measure M General Obligation Bonds (Measure M) internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's Measure M General Obligation Bonds (Measure M) internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's Measure M General Obligation Bonds (Measure M) internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's Measure M General Obligation Bonds (Measure M) financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Measure M General Obligation Bonds (Measure M) of the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's Measure M General Obligation Bonds (Measure M) internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's Measure M General Obligation Bonds (Measure M) internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Rancho Cucamonga, California

Vauriner, Time, Day & Co., Ll.

December 21, 2015

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2015

None reported.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2015

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Financial Statement Findings.

SANTA CLARITA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEASURE M GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS ELECTION 2006

PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2015

PERFORMANCE AUDIT TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2015

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON PERFORMANCE	1
Authority for Issuance	2
Purpose of Issuance	2
Authority for the Audit	2
Objectives of the Audit	3
Scope of the Audit	3
Procedures Performed	3
Conclusion	8
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	9
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	10



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Board of Trustees and Citizens' Oversight Committee Santa Clarita Community College District Santa Clarita, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of Santa Clarita Community College District (the District) Measure M General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure M) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal control in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion of the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that the District expended Measure M General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure M) only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

Rancho Cucamonga, California

Vauviner, Time, Day & Co., Ll.

December 21, 2015

JUNE 30, 2015

AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE

The General Obligation Bonds were issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California *Education Code* and other applicable provisions of law.

The Bonds are authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the City and County Board of Supervisors adopted on March 27, 2007, pursuant to resolutions of the Board of Trustees of the District adopted on March 14, 2007 (the Resolution). The District received authorization from an election held on November 7, 2006, to issue Bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$160,000,000 to finance the construction, improvement, and repair of certain District facilities, the acquisition of equipment, and the improvement of aging plumbing, roofing, electrical, and earthquake safety systems, and to pay all legal financial and contingent costs in connection with the issuance of the Bonds. The proposition required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District (the 2006 Authorization). The Bonds represent the first, second, and third series of the authorized bonds to be issued under the 2006 Authorization.

PURPOSE OF ISSUANCE

The net proceeds of the Bonds issued under the 2006 Authorization will be used for the purposes specified in the District bond proposition submitted at the Election, which include the financing of the construction, improvement, and repair of certain District facilities, the acquisition of equipment, to refinance certain of the District's outstanding lease obligations, and the improvement of aging plumbing, roofing, electrical, and earthquake safety systems, and to pay all legal financial and contingent costs in connection with the issuance of the Bonds.

AUTHORITY FOR THE AUDIT

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools, and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55 percent of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in *Education Code* Sections 15278-15282:

- 1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.
- 2. The community college district must list the specific school facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure, and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction, and information technology needs in developing the project list.
- 3. Requires the community college district to appoint a citizens' oversight committee.

JUNE 30, 2015

- 4. Requires the community college district to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.
- 5. Requires the community college district to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT

- 1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Measure M General Obligation Bond Funds have been made in accordance with the Bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of the Measure M.
- 2. Determine whether salary transactions charged to the Measure M General Obligation Bond Funds were in support of Measure M and not for District general administration or operations.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2015, were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, for the Measure M General Obligation Bond Funds. Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and the Measure M as to the approved Bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

- 1. We reviewed the general ledger and noted that funds were accounted for separately in the accounting records to allow for accountability.
- 2. We selected a total of 59 percent of all expenditures, which in part included all materially significant expenditures charged to the Measure M General Obligation Bond Funds. For the items selected for testing, there were no exceptions noted in the District's procedures related to the disbursement of the Measure M General Obligation Bond Funds. The District utilizes purchase orders to document the approval process for Bond disbursements and uses encumbrance accounting to ensure all approved disbursements have been budgeted and funds are available. Documentation to support transactions including approved original invoices and specific documentation related to bid procedures were reviewed to ensure compliance with Proposition 39 regulations and Board policies related to purchasing and contracts. Budgets for specific projects have been approved and monitored in accordance with Bond requirements.

JUNE 30, 2015

3. We selected all employees charged to the Measure M General Obligation Bond Funds. For the employees selected for testing, there were no exceptions noted in the District's procedures related to the disbursement of the Measure M General Obligation Bond Funds. The District approves all employees charged to the Measure M General Obligation Bond Funds and uses encumbrance accounting to ensure all approved disbursements have been budgeted and funds are available. Documentation to support payroll transactions included personnel files and payroll records.

Fund 45

	Exp	enditures	Ex	penditures	Percentage		
Description	In	Incurred		Incurred Tested		Tested	of Total
Classified Salary Expense	\$	78,967	\$	78,967	100%		
Classified Benefits Expense		23,568		23,568	100%		
Service and Operating Expenditures		72,603		-	0%		
Capital Outlay	1	2,139,737		6,853,047	56%		
Total	\$ 1	2,314,875	\$	6,955,582	56%		

Fund 47

	Ex	penditures	Ex	penditures	Percentage
Description	1	Incurred		Tested	of Total
Capital Outlay	\$	226,941	\$		0%
Other Uses		1,187,886		1,187,886	100%
Total	\$	1,414,827	\$	1,187,886	84%

We prepared a schedule of all costs incurred between July 1, 2014 and June 30, 2015, by project, for the local bond measure funding and totaling inception-to-date expenses.

	July 1, 2014	
	to	Inception-to-Date
2012 General Obligation Bonds	June 30, 2015	Expenses
Fund 45	Actual Expenses	June 30, 2015
Repairs and Modernization	\$ 866,963	\$ 1,619,450
Site Upgrades	415,297	1,468,336
Technology	674,658	1,355,987
New Buildings - Valencia Campus	10,182,820	15,586,170
Miscellaneous Secondary Effects Projects	-	1,044,119
Master Plans	61,802	231,697
Miscellaneous Expenses	113,335	233,550
Cost of Issuance and Other Uses	<u></u> _	15,415,175
Total	\$ 12,314,875	\$ 36,954,484

JUNE 30, 2015

2006 General Obligation Bonds Fund 46	July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015 Actual Expenses	Inception-to-Date Expenses June 30, 2015
University Center - East Wing	\$ -	\$ 3,436,641
University Center - West Wing	Ψ -	3,879,943
Health and Safety	_	44,430
Library Expansion	_	6,393,216
Canyon Country Campus	_	32,011,265
Repairs and Modernization	_	4,681,206
Site Upgrades	_	1,663,079
Technology	_	1,176,109
Planned Equip and Tech Replacement	_	1,792,273
Hotel Restaurant Management	_	6,817
Student Services Admin Building	_	1,000,000
Culinary Arts Building	_	3,194,223
Mentry Hall	_	12,428,583
Applied Technology Education Center - Canyon Country Campus	_	7,114,272
Canyon Country Campus Parking Lot #2	_	1,050,875
Secondary Effects:		, ,
Mentry Hall	_	1,877,440
First Floor Bonelli Hall	-	1,284,215
Second Floor Bonelli Hall	-	319,693
Third Floor Bonelli Hall	-	228,222
Student Center	-	19,190
Student Support Center	-	257,326
Modular Renovation	-	88,746
Miscellaneous Projects	-	181,570
Management Personnel - Bond Implementation	-	583,957
Master Plans	-	461,459
Miscellaneous Expenses	-	43,860
Cost of Issuance and Other Uses		2,602,721
Total	\$ -	\$ 87,821,331

JUNE 30, 2015

	July 1, 2014				
		to		Inception-to-Date	
2014 General Obligation Bonds	June 30, 2015		Expenses		
Fund 47	Actual Expenses		June 30, 2015		
Repairs and Modernization	\$	184,086	\$	184,086	
Site Upgrades		35,730		35,730	
Secondary Effects:					
Bonelli Hall		7,125		7,125	
Cost of Issuance and Other Uses		1,187,886		1,187,886	
Total	\$	1,414,827	\$	1,414,827	

JUNE 30, 2015

4. We prepared a schedule of all projects started and/or completed since the inception of the bond and the total costs incurred from the inception of the bond.

	Total Estimated	Total Anticipated Contributions from	Total Estimated Measure M Contribution
Project Description	Cost of Project 1	Other Sources 1	First Issuance 1
Library Expansion	\$ 15,846,069	\$ 9,452,854	\$ 6,393,215
(Project also funded using Measure C)			
Canyon Country Campus	58,011,265	26,000,000	32,011,265
(Site development, modulars, and expanded scope)			
(Project also funded using Measure C)			
University Center - East Wing	30,704,793	27,268,152	3,436,641
(Project also funded using Measure C)			
University Center - West Wing	9,110,188	5,230,245	3,879,943
Repairs and Renovations	17,268,256	-	4,681,206
Site Upgrades	3,481,465	-	1,663,080
Health and Safety	44,430	-	44,430
Technology	4,365,861	-	1,176,109
Hotel Restaurant Management - New Kitchen	6,817	-	6,817
Del Valle Public Safety Training Facility	15,000,000	-	-
Student Services Admin Building	17,587,411	6,320,446	1,000,000
Student Services/Admin Tenant Improvement	1,250,000	-	=
Culinary Arts Building	9,876,777	1,320,600	3,194,222
Mentry Hall	12,428,583	-	12,428,583
Applied Technology Education Center - Canyon Country Campus	7,114,273	-	7,114,273
Canyon Country Campus Parking Lot #2	1,050,875	_	1,050,875
Canyon Country Campus - Science Building and			
Classroom/Office Building	27,290,000	13,810,000	=
Canyon Country Campus - Classroom/Office Building	18,526,152	TBD	=
Canyon Country Campus - Permanent Building 3	20,000,000	TBD	=
Canyon Country Campus - Parking Lot Improvement	1,500,000	_	=
Secondary Effects:	, ,		
Mentry Hall	1,877,440	_	1,877,440
First Floor Bonelli Hall	1,284,215	_	1,284,215
Second Floor Bonelli Hall	1,318,602	_	319,693
Third Floor Bonelli Hall	228,222	_	228,222
Student Center (S-130)	19,190	_	19,190
Student Support Center (formerly Interim UC)	257,326	_	257,326
Modular Renovations	88,746	_	88,746
Secondary Effects Holding	2,500,000	_	-
Bonelli Hall (2016)	750,000	_	_
Miscellaneous Secondary Effects Projects	726,779	_	181,569
Future Planned Equipment and Tech Replacement	1,792,272	_	1,792,272
Debt Service - Existing COPSs	12,838,050	_	1,772,272
Management Personnel - Bond Implementation	998,783	_	583,956
Master Plans	700,829	-	461,459
Costs of Issuances		-	
Miscellaneous Expenses	6,367,732	-	2,602,721
1	109,064		43,864
Subtotal	302,320,465	89,402,297	87,821,332
Add Contingency	2,647,532	-	-
	\$ 304,967,997	\$ 89,402,297	\$ 87,821,332

¹ Estimates updated by District personnel through August 15, 2015. These estimates were not subject to verification.

² Actual costs incurred through June 30, 2015.

Total Estimated Measure M Contribution Second Issuance ¹	Total Estimated Measure M Contribution Third Issuance ¹	Total Estimated Measure M Contributions Future Issuances ¹	Total GO Bond Expenditures Through June 30, 2015 ²	Total Remaining GO Bond Balance Outstanding ¹
\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,393,215	\$ -
			, ,	
-	-	-	32,011,265	-
-	-	-	3,436,641	-
-	-	-	3,879,943	-
2,022,727	10,564,323	-	6,484,743	10,783,513
1,618,385	200,000	-	3,167,145	314,320
-	-	-	44,430	-
1,689,752	1,500,000	-	2,532,096	1,833,765
-	-	-	6,817	· · ·
-	-	10,000,000	-	=
10,266,965	=	-	11,239,215	27,750
-	1,250,000	_	-	1,250,000
5,361,955	-	_	8,541,177	15,000
-	-	_	12,428,583	, <u>-</u>
-	-	_	7,114,273	_
-	-	_	1,050,875	_
			,,	
-	4,000,000	9,480,000	-	4,000,000
-	-	TBD	-	, , , <u>-</u>
=	=	TBD	=	=
-	1,500,000	-	-	1,500,000
	-			-
-	-	-	1,877,440	-
=	=	-	1,284,215	=
998,909	=	-	1,318,602	-
-	-	-	228,222	-
=	=	-	19,190	=
-	-	-	257,326	-
-	-	-	88,746	-
-	2,500,000	-	-	2,500,000
=	750,000	-	7,125	742,875
45,210	500,000	-	226,779	500,000
-	-	-	1,792,272	, =
12,838,050	=	-	12,838,050	-
201,150	213,677	=	785,106	213,677
239,370	- , - , · · · · -	=	693,156	7,673
2,577,125	1,187,886	-	6,367,732	, - -
43,200	22,000	=	76,261	32,803
37,902,798	24,187,886	19,480,000	126,190,640	23,721,376
	2,127,532	520,000	-,	2,127,530
\$ 37,902,798	\$ 26,315,418	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 126,190,640	\$ 25,848,906
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Ψ 25,000,000	Ψ 120,170,0·T0	\$ 25,040,700

JUNE 30, 2015

5. We calculated the balance available for expenditure of bond proceeds related to the approved projects within the bond project fund at June 30, 2015. As stated in the District's audited financial statements as of June 30, 2015, the expenditures from the Measure M General Obligation Bond Funds were \$13,729,702 for fiscal year 2014-2015, and total \$126,190,640 to date. Bond proceeds received to date total \$146,365,001, and interest revenue and other sources earned to date of \$5,674,545 provide \$25,848,906 from the second and third issuances available for future expenditures. There were no adjustments to the District's financial records as a result of our procedures.

CONCLUSION

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, Santa Clarita Community College District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Measure M General Obligation Bond Funds and that such expenditures were made for authorized Bond projects. Further, it was noted that funds held in the Measure M General Obligation Bond Funds, and expended by the District, were used for salaries of administrators only to the extent they perform administrative oversight work on construction projects as allowable per Opinion 04-110 issued on November 9, 2004, by the State of California Attorney General.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS JUNE 30, 2015

None reported.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2015

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.