## CASL/Program Review Committee Minutes October 10, 2019

BONH 330 1:30-2:50

Faculty Attendees (Voting members)	Jason Burgdorfer (PR chair), Nicole Faudree (CASL co-chair), Jeff Baker (CASL-Co Chari), Gary Quire (SB) Tony Law (Counseling); Kelly Burke (MSPH); Anh Co (MSPH)
Other Attendees	Kathy Bakhit (MSPH); Don Carlson (SB); Jenifer Smolos (Dean, VAPA)

	Recommendations/Actions/	Status
	Follow-up	The 9.25.19
	•	CASL-PR
	Gary Quire seconded	minutes were
		unanimously
		approved.
Historically each denartment has performed a program review when they		арргочса.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
request a programmeview.		
Current Program Review models for departments with multiple programs vary		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
at the time of department creation of reorganization.		
There can be senarate and yet overlanning needs when considering Program		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
,		
budget.		
The differences and similarities in the elements of the PR are considered when		
programs.		
In order to determine whether programs that share prefixes of courses need		
Telatea programs needs overlap.		
Denartments that senarate their programs review on the basis of the different		
prenices, this value in collecting and recordings the data separately.		
	Historically each department has performed a program review when they request a program review.  Current Program Review models for departments with multiple programs vary, to reflect departmental culture and dynamics, as well as the institutional policy at the time of department creation or reorganization.  There can be separate and yet, overlapping needs when considering Program Review elements as delineated in the PR tabs: Data Trend Analysis, SLOs, CE Addendum, Curriculum Scheduling, Facilities Staffing, Objectives/SWOT, and Budget.  The differences and similarities in the elements of the PR are considered when deciding whether to create one programs review for departments with multiple programs.  In order to determine whether programs that share prefixes of courses need separate program reviews, it is of value to consider the degree to which the related programs' needs overlap.  Departments that separate their programs review on the basis of the different prefixes, find value in collecting and recordings the data separately.	Historically each department has performed a program review when they request a program review.  Current Program Review models for departments with multiple programs vary, to reflect departmental culture and dynamics, as well as the institutional policy at the time of department creation or reorganization.  There can be separate and yet, overlapping needs when considering Program Review elements as delineated in the PR tabs: Data Trend Analysis, SLOs, CE Addendum, Curriculum Scheduling, Facilities Staffing, Objectives/SWOT, and Budget.  The differences and similarities in the elements of the PR are considered when deciding whether to create one programs review for departments with multiple programs.  In order to determine whether programs that share prefixes of courses need separate program reviews, it is of value to consider the degree to which the related programs' needs overlap.  Departments that separate their programs review on the basis of the different

3. CASL – Website/faculty	While combined program reviews provide operational benefits, Varying degrees of success are reported in the multiple programs under a department model. Department chairs with related but separate programs, can develop a combined vision if they have a combined Program Review. But they get budgeting information for each program under one budget code, but not for each program.  Mutual issues with combined or separate Program Reviews concern:  • finding a balanced operational model  • finding fair distribution ranking of priorities for different programs' needs  The need for combined or separate program review, can be determined by providing the different departments with a recommendation based on the data from the PR's elements such as staffing, facilities needs etc.  Main recommendations for website work included:	The PR Chair, Jason Burgdorfer will provide a list of the Program Review elements such as TOP codes.	
manual, continued	Walli recommendations for website work included.		
	<ul> <li>Move the historical information to a designated tab</li> <li>Create an eLumen tab where all the eLumen user tutorial reside</li> <li>Rename and merge Assessments guide</li> <li>Redesign the ISLOs and other Learning Outcomes tab to include accordion type drop options, as found in the Academic Senate page</li> <li>Rework the landing page to show tabs that lead to eLumen or print outs with instructions</li> <li>Determine the audience for the Assessment background and Assessment creation</li> <li>The SLO coordinators will create a map of the website with the required changes and additions. The SLO technician, will work on implementing the changes.</li> </ul>		
4. CASL- disaggregation	CASL recommends that the course data disaggregated by modalities is made	Kelly Burke motioned for the	The motion
of modality via eLumen	available via eLumen SLO performance reports or Tableau data.	recommendation to be send to the Academic Senate Gary Quire seconded the motion.	was approved unanimously.
5. CASL- Non-department	CASL's proposed timeline of completing the assessment cycle for all courses is	This item will be discussed	
class in degree impact on	Spring 2020. In Fall 2020 Academic Programs will look into the courses within	further at the next meeting.	
PSLO assessment (Ex.	their specific programs and see how they are impacting their student success.		
Business ADT)	How would courses from different disciplines, included in the ADT, be handled		
	as they relate to the Program SLOs Assessments?		